# VIEW

OF THE

EVIDENCES OF CHRISTIANITY.

VOL. I.

E

and PART

PART

PART

## VIEW

OF THE

## EVIDENCES OF CHRISTIANITY.

IN THREE PARTS.

PART I. Of the direct Historical Evidence of Christianity, and wherein it is distinguished from the Evidence alledged for other Miracles.

PART II. Of the Auxiliary Evidences of Christianity.

PART III. A brief Confideration of some popular Objections.

BY WILLIAM PALEY, M. A.

ARCHDEACON OF CARLISLE.

THE THIRD EDITION.

IN TWO VOLUMES .- VOL. I.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR R. FAULDER, NEW BOND STREET.

M.DCC.XCV.

X



MVSEVM BRITANNICVM

ACTION THANKS AND THE

## HONOURABLE AND RIGHT REVEREND

## JAMES YORK, D. D.

## LORD BISHOP

mentioned, increfage we all the object

MY, LORD, hat who and si onon WHEN five years ago an important station in the University of Cambridge awaited your Lordship's disposal, you were pleafed to offer it to me. The circumstances, under which this offer was made, demand a public acknowledgement. I had never feen your Lordship: I possessed no connection which could possibly recommend me to your favour: I was known to you, only by my endeavours, in common with

many others, to discharge my duty as a tutor in the University; and by some very imperfect, but certainly well intended, and, as you thought, useful publications since. In an age by no means wanting in examples of honourable patronage, although this deserve not to be mentioned, in respect of the object of your Lordship's choice, it is inserior to none, in the purity and disinterestedness of the motives which suggested it.

How the following work may be received, I pretend not to foretell. My first prayer concerning it is, that it may do good to any: my second hope, that it may affist, what it hath always been my earnest wish to promote, the religious part of an academical education. If in this latter view it might seem, in any degree, to excuse your Lordship's judgment

and a low the wind of the said

judgment of its author, I shall be gratified by the reflection, that, to a kindness flowing from public principles, I have made the best public return in my power.

In the mean time, and in every event, I rejoice in the opportunity here afforded me, of testifying the sense I entertain of your Lordship's conduct, and of a notice which I regard, as the most flattering distinction of my life.

I am,

My Lord,
With fentiments of gratitude
and respect,
Your Lordship's faithful,
And most obliged servant,

W, PALEY.

independent of the author, I find the gravif all by the religions that, to a limittion flowing again public principles, I have made the belt pricite extent in my power.

In the mean this yeard in ending order,
I rejoice in the opportunity hars affords
ed use, of iddiffing the fourir I enturion of your Herdinip's conduct; and
of, a notice which I regard, as the upok
freezensy diffination of my life.

enis.I

My Lord,

With fentiments of gratified

Your Lot limit's Linking. And see I obliged for only

## CONTENTS

OF THE

## FIRST VOLUME.

Preparatory Considerations—Of the antecedent credibiality of miracles — p. 1

#### PART I.

OF THE DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIA-NITY; AND WHEREIN IT IS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE EVIDENCE ALLEDGED FOR OTHER MIRACLES.

Propositions Stated

p. 17, 18

#### PROP. I.

#### CHAP. I.

Evidence of the sufferings of the first propagators of Christianity, from the nature of the case — ibid. CHAP.

#### [ x ]

#### CHAP. II.

Evidence	of	th	e suj	Ferin	igs	of	the	first	pro	pagators	of	Ch	rif-
tianity	fre	om	Pro	fane	T	'eft	imo	ny		_		p.	42

#### CHAP. III.

Indirect evidence of the fu	ferings of th	e first pr	opagators of
Christianity, from the			
Christian writings	1		p. 55

#### CHAP. IV.

Direct	evidence	of	the	fame	_	_	p. 66

#### CHAP. V.

Observations	upon	the	preceding	evidence			p.	9	5
--------------	------	-----	-----------	----------	--	--	----	---	---

#### CHAP. VI.

That the story, for which the first propagators of Christianity suffered, was miraculous — p. 106

#### CHAP. VII.

That it was in the main the story which we have now proved by indirect considerations - p. 114

#### CHAP. VIII.

The same proved from the authority of our Historical Scriptures — p. 142

#### CHAP. IX.

Of the Authenticity	of	the	Historical	Scriptures,	in	Ele	even
Sections	_		_	_		p.	168

SECT. I. Quotations of the Historical Scriptures by ancient
Christian writers — p. 183

SECT. II. Of the peculiar respect with which they were quoted — — p. 230

SECT ..

SECT. III. The scriptures were in very early times col- lected into a distinct volume — p. 239
SECT. IV. And distinguished by appropriate names and titles of respect — p. 247
SECT. V. Were publicly read and expounded in the re- ligious assemblies of the early Christians — p. 252
SECT. VI. Commentaries, &c. were anciently written upon the scriptures — — p. 257
SECT. VII. They were received by ancient Christians of different sects and persuasions — p. 268
SECT. VIII. The four Gospels, the Acts of the Apostles, thirteen Epistles of St. Paul, the first Epistle of John, and the first of Peter, were received without doubt by those who doubted concerning the other books of our present canon — p. 283
SECT IX. Our present Gospels were considered by the adversaries of Christianity, as containing the accounts upon which the religion was founded — p. 292
SECT. X. Formal catalogues of authentic Scriptures were published, in all which our present Gospels were included — p. 304
SECT. XI. The above propositions cannot be predicated of these books which are commonly called apocryphal books of the New Testament — P. 309
CHAP. X.
Recapitulation — — p. 320
OF

OF THE DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIS ANITY, AND WHEREIN IT IS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE EVIDENCE ALLEDGED FOR OTHER MIRACLES D. 320

#### PROP. II.

#### CHAP. I.

That there is NOT satisfactory evidence, that persons pretending to be original witnesses of any other similar miracles, have acted in the same manner, in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts p. 330

#### CHAP. II.

Consideration of some specific instances - p. 369

nitradius to remain

## Preparatory Considerations.

I DEEM it unnecessary to prove that mankind stood in need of a revelation, because I have met with no serious person who thinks that even under the Christian revelation we have too much light, or any degree of assurance which is superstuous. I desire moreover that in judging of Christianity it may be remembered, that the question lies between this religion and none: for if the Christian religion be not credible, no one, with whom we have to do, will support the pretensions of any other.

Suppose then the world we live in to have had a Creator; suppose it to appear from Vol. I. B

the predominant aim and tendency of the provisions and contrivances observable in the universe, that the Deity, when he formed it, confulted for the happiness of his fensitive creation; suppose the disposition which dictated this council to continue: suppose a part of the creation to have received faculties from their Maker, by which they are capable of rendering a moral obedience to his will, and of voluntarily purfuing any end for which he has designed them; suppose the Creator to intend for these his rational and accountable agents a fecond flate of existence in which their situation will be regulated by their behaviour in the first state, by which supposition (and by no other) the objection to the divine government in not putting a difference between the good and the bad, and the inconfistency of this confusion with the care and benevolence discoverable in the works of the Deity is done away; fuppose it to be of the utmost importance to the subjects of this dispensation to know what is intended for them, that is, suppose the knowledge of it to be highly conducive to the

happiness of the species, a purpose which fo many provisions of nature are calculated to promote: Suppose, nevertheless, almost the whole race, either by the imperfection of their faculties, the misfortune of their fituation, or by the loss of some prior revelation, to want this knowledge, and not to be likely without the aid of a new revelation to attain it; under these circumstances is it improbable that a revelation should be made? Is it incredible that God should interpose for fuch a purpose? Suppose him to design for mankind a future state, is it unlikely that he should acquaint them with it?

1

e

n

e

e

is

7-

e

)-

Now in what way can a revelation be made but by miracles? In none which we are able to conceive. Confequently in whatever degree it is probable or not very improbable that a revelation should be communicated to mankind at all, in the same degree is it probable or not very improbable that miracles should be wrought. Therefore when miracles are related to have been wrought in the promulgating of a reve-

lation B 2

lation manifestly wanted, and, if true, of inestimable value, the improbability which arises from the miraculous nature of the things related, is not greater than the original improbability that such a revelation should be imparted by God.

I wish it however to be correctly understood, in what manner, and to what extent, this argument is alledged. We do not assume the attributes of the Deity, or the existence of a future state, in order to prove the reality of miracles. That reality always must be proved by evidence. We affert only that in miracles adduced in fupport of revelation, there is not any fuch antecedent improbability as no testimony can furmount. And for the purpose of maintaining this affertion, we contend, that the incredibility of miracles related to have been wrought in attestation of a message from God, conveying intelligence of a future state of rewards and punishments, and teaching mankind how to prepare themselves for that state, is not in itself greater than the event,

event, call it either probable or improbable, of the two following propositions being true namely, first, that a future state of existence should be destined by God for his human creation; and fecondly, that, being fo destined, he should acquaint them with it. It is not necessary for our purpose that these propositions be capable of proof, or even that, by arguments drawn from the light of nature, they can be made out to be probable. It is enough that we are able to fay concerning them, that they are not fo violently improbable, fo contradictory to what we already believe of the Divine power and character, that either the propositions themselves, or facts strictly connected with the propositions, (and therefore no farther improbable than they are improbable) ought to be rejected at first fight, and to be rejected by whatever strength or complication of evidence they be attested,

This is the prejudication we would refift. For to this length does a modern objection to miracles go, viz. that no human
B 3 testi-

e

d

testimony can in any case render them credible. I think the reslection above stated, that, if there be a revelation, there must be miracles; and that, under the circumstances in which the human species are placed, a revelation is not improbable, or not improbable in any great degree, to be a fair answer to the whole objection.

But fince it is an objection which stands in the very threshold of our argument, and, if admitted, is a bar to every proof, and to all future reasoning upon the subject, it may be necessary, before we proceed farther, to examine the principle upon which it professes to be founded: which principle is concisely this, that it is contrary to experience that a miracle should be true, but not contrary to experience that testimony should be false.

Now there appears a small ambiguity in the term "experience," and in the phrases "contrary to experience," or "contradicting experience," which it may be necessary e

S

r

7

to remove in the first place. Strictly speaking, the narrative of a fact is then only contrary to experience, when the fact is related to have existed at a time and place, at which time and place we being present, did not perceive it to exist; as if it should be asferted, that in a particular room, and at a particular hour of a certain day, a man was raifed from the dead, in which room, and at the time specified, we being present and looking on, perceived no fuch event to have taken place. Here the affertion is contrary to experience properly fo called; and this is a contrariety which no evidence can furmount. It matters nothing, whether the fact be of a But although miraculous nature or not. this be the experience, and the contrariety, which Archbishop Tillotson alledged in the quotation with which Mr. Hume opens his essay, it is certainly not that experience, nor that contrariety, which Mr. Hume himself intended to object. And, short of this, I know no intelligible fignification which can be affixed to the term " contrary to experience," but one, viz. that of not having B 4

ourselves experienced any thing similar to the thing related, or such things not being generally experienced by others. I say not "generally," for to state concerning the sact in question, that no such thing was ever experienced, or that universal experience is against it, is to assume the subject of the controversy.

Now the improbability which arises from the want (for this properly is a want, not a contradiction) of experience, is only equal to the probability there is, that if the thing were true, we should experience things similar to it, or that fuch things would be generally experienced. Suppose it then to be true that miracles were wrought upon the first promulgation of Christianity, when nothing but miracles could decide its authority, is it certain that fuch miracles would be repeated fo often, and in fo many places, as to become objects of general experience? Is it a probability approaching to certainty? Is it a probability of any great strength or force? Is it fuch as no evidence can encounter?

counter? and yet this probability is the exact converse, and therefore the exact measure of the improbability which arises from the want of experience, and which Mr. Hume represents as invincible by human testimony.

t

C

1

d

-

e

e

d

3,

It is not like alledging a new law of nature, or a new experiment in natural philofophy, because, when these are related, it is
expected that, under the same circumstances,
the same effect will follow universally; and
in proportion as this expectation is justly
entertained, the want of a corresponding
experience negatives the history. But to
expect concerning a miracle that it should
succeed upon repetition, is to expect that
which would make it cease to be a miracle,
which is contrary to its nature as such, and
would totally destroy the use and purpose
for which it was wrought,

The force of experience as an objection to miracles is founded in the prefumption, either that the course of nature is invariable,

8

or that, if it be ever varied, variations will be frequent and general. Has the necessity of this alternative been demonstrated? Permit us to call the course of nature the agency of an intelligent Being, and is there any good reason for judging this state of the case to be probable? Ought we not rather to expect, that fuch a Being, upon occasions of peculiar importance, may interrupt the order which he had appointed, yet, that fuch occasions should return feldom; that these interruptions consequently should be confined to the experience of a few; that the want of it, therefore, in many, should be matter neither of furprise nor objection? gnotive. In a word, once believe that ther

But as a continuation of the argument from experience it is said, that, when we advance accounts of miracles, we assign effects without causes, or we attribute effects to causes inadequate to the purpose, or to cause of the operation of which we have no experience. Of what causes, we may ask, and of what effects does the objection speak? If it be answered that, when we ascribe the

11

y

-

e

e

e

r

IS

e

ıt

t

8

e

e

5

t

e

3

cure of the palfy to a touch, of blindness to the anointing of the eyes with clay, or the raifing of the dead to a word, we lay ourselves open to this imputation; we reply, that we ascribe no such effects to such causes. perceive no virtue or energy in these things more than in other things of the same kind. They are merely figns to connect the miracle with its end. The effect we ascribe fimply to the volition of the Deity; of whose existence and power, not to fay of whose presence and agency, we have previous and independent proof. We have therefore all we feek for in the works of rational agents, a sufficient power and an adequate motive. In a word, once believe that there is a God, and miracles are not incred ble.

Mr. Hume states the case of miracles to be a contest of opposite improbabilities, that is to say, a question whether it be more improbable that the miracle should be true, or the testimony salse; and this I think a fair account of the controversy. But herein I remark a want of argumentative justice, that,

in describing the improbability of miracles, he suppresses all those circumstances of extenuation, which refult from our knowledge of the existence, power, and disposition of the Deity, his concern in the creation, the end answered by the miracle, the importance of that end, and its subserviency to the plan purfued in the works of nature. As Mr. Hume has represented the question, miracles are alike incredible to him who is previously affured of the constant agency of a divine Being, and to him who believes that no fuch being exists in the universe. They are equally incredible, whether related to have been wrought upon occasions the most deferving, and for purposes the most beneficial, or for no affignable end whatever, or for an end confessedly trisling or pernicious. This furely cannot be a correct statement. In adjusting also the other side of the balance, the strength and weight of testimony, this author has provided an answer to every possible accumulation of historical proof by telling us, that we are not obliged to explain how the story or the evidence arose.

8,

-

e

f

e

e

S

1,

s

S

1

r

arose. Now I think that we are obliged; not, perhaps, to shew by positive accounts how it did, but by a probable hypothesis how it might so happen. The existence of the testimony is a phenomenon. The truth of the fact solves the phenomenon. If we reject this solution we ought to have some other to rest in; and none even by our adversaries can be admitted, which is not consistent with the principles that regulate human affairs and human conduct at present, or which makes men then to have been a different kind of beings from what they are now.

But the short consideration which, independently of every other, convinces me that there is no solid foundation in Mr. Hume's conclusion is the following. When a theorem is proposed to a mathematician, the first thing he does with it is to try it upon a simple case; and, if it produce a false result, he is sure that there must be some mistake in the demonstration. Now to proceed in this way with what may be called Mr.

Mr. Hume's theorem. If twelve men: whose probity and good fense I had long known, should seriously and circumstantially relate to me an account of a miracle wrought before their eyes, and in which it was impossible that they should be deceived; if the governor of the country, hearing a rumour of this account, should call these men into his presence, and offer them a short proposal, either to confess the imposture, or fubmit to be tied up to a gibbet; if they should refuse with one voice to acknowledge that there existed any falsehood or imposture in the case; if this threat were communicated to them feparately, yet with no different effect; if it was at last executed; if I myself faw them, one after another, confenting to be racked, burnt, or strangled, rather than give up the truth of their account; still, if Mr. Hume's rule be my guide, I am not to believe them. Now I undertake to fay that there exists not a fceptic in the world who would not believe them; or who would defend fuch incredulity.

Instances

## [ 15 ]

ng

n-

le

it

d;

a

efe

2 f-

t;
cod

re

d;

er, neir

ny 7 I

a.

ve re-

es

Instances of spurious miracles supported by strong apparent testimony undoubtedly demand examination. Mr. Hume has endeavoured to fortify his argument by some examples of this kind. I hope in a proper place to shew that none of them reach the strength or circumstances of the Christian evidence. In these however consists the weight of his objection. In the principle itself I am persuaded there is none.

PART

## PART I.

OF THE DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIANITY, AND WHEREIN IT IS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE EVI-DENCE ALLEDGED FOR OTHER MIRA-CLES.

THE two propositions which I shall endeavour to establish are these:

I. That there is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted from the same motive to new rules of conduct.

Vol. I.

C

II. That

### [ 18 ]

II. That there is not satisfactory evidence that persons professing to be original witnesses of other miracles, in their nature as certain as these are, have ever acted in the same manner, in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and properly in confequence of their belief of those accounts.

The first of these propositions, as it forms the argument, will stand at the head of the following *nine* chapters.

: Similar a dilidada of spovents

in beight so or suithing trans

the Christian actuality parties their his

under sencialist has angentificational

ands out to reinclose - whategroups

prior of the belief of the control of the second tree and tree

widel they delivered, + a while and

#### CHAP. I.

e

S

-

e

P.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted from the same motives to new rules of conduct.

To support this proposition, two points are necessary to be made out: first, that the founder of the institution, his associates and immediate followers, acted the part which the proposition imputes to them: secondly, that they did so in attestation of the miraculous history recorded in our scriptures, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of this history.

Before we produce any particular testi-C 2 mony mony to the activity and sufferings which compose the subject of our first affertion, it will be proper to consider the degree of probability which the affertion derives from the nature of the case, that is, by inferences from those parts of the case which, in point of fact, are on all hands acknowledged.

First then, the Christian religion exists, and therefore by fome means or other was Now it either owes the princiestablished. ple of its establishment, i. e. its first publication, to the activity of the person who was the founder of the inftitution, and of those who were joined with him in the undertaking, or we are driven upon the strange supposition, that, although they might lie by, others would take it up; although they were quiet and filent, other persons busied themfelves in the fuccess and propagation of their ftory. This is perfectly incredible. To me it appears little less than certain, that, if the first announcing of the religion by the founder had not been followed up by the zeal and industry of his immediate disciples, the ich

it

ro-

the

óm

of

sts,

vas

ci-

ca-

vas

ofe

ak-

ip-

by,

ere

m-

eir

To

if

the

the

les,

the

the attempt must have expired in its birth. Then as to the kind and degree of exertion which was employed, and the mode of life to which these persons submitted, we reafonably suppose it to be like that, which we observe in all others who voluntarily become missionaries of a new faith. Frequent, earnest and laborious preaching, constantly converfing with religious perfons upon religion, a sequestration from the common pleasures, engagements and varieties of life, and an addiction to one ferious object, compose the habits of such men. I do not fay that this mode of life is without enjoyment, but I fay that the enjoyment springs from fincerity. With a consciousness at the bottom of hollowness and falsehood, the fatigue and restraint would become infupportable. I am apt to believe that very few hypocrites engage in these undertakings; or, however, perfift in them long. Ordinarily speaking, nothing can overcome the indolence of mankind, the love which is natural to most tempers of cheerful fociety and cheerful scenes, or the desire, which is C 3 common

common to all, of personal ease and freedom, but conviction.

Secondly, it is also highly probable, from the nature of the case, that the propagation of the new religion was attended with difficulty and danger. As addressed to the Jews it was a system, adverse not only to their habitual opinions, but to those opinions upon which their hopes, their partialities, their pride, their consolation was founded. This people, with or without reason, had worked themselves into a persuasion, that some signal and greatly advantageous change was to be effected in the condition of their country, by the agency of a long-promised messenger from heaven \*. The rulers of the Jews, their leading sect, their

<sup>\*&</sup>quot; Percrebuerat oriente toto vetus et constans opinio, esse in fatis, ut eo tempore Judæa profecti rerum poti-rentur." Sueton. Vespasian. cap. 4—8.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Pluribus persuasio inerat, antiquis sacerdotum literis contineri, eo ipso tempore sore, ut valesceret oriens, prosectique Judæa rerum potirentur." Tacit. Hist. lib. v. cap. 9—13.

m

on fi-

he

to

ns

es,

d.

ad

at

us

n

5-

ie ir

0,

ti-

e-

13, It.

d

priesthood had been the authors of this perfuafion to the common people. So that it was not merely the conjecture of theoretical. divines, or the fecret expectation of a few recluse devotees, but it was become the popular hope and passion, and, like all popular opinions, undoubting, and impatient of contradiction. They clung to this hope under every misfortune of their country, and with more tenacity as their dangers or calamities increased. To find therefore that expectations so gratifying were to be worse than disappointed; that they were to end in the diffusion of a mild unambitious religion, which, instead of victories and triumphs, instead of exalting their nation and institution above the rest of the world, was to advance those whom they despised to an equality with themselves, in those very points of comparison in which they most valued their own distinction, could be no very pleafing discovery to a Jewish mind; nor could the messengers of such intelligence expect to be well received or eafily credited. The doctrine was equally harsh and novel. The C 4

The extending of the kingdom of God to those who did not conform to the law of. Moses, was a notion that had never before entered into the thoughts of a Jew.

The character of the new institution was, in other respects also, ungrateful to Jewish habits and principles. Their own religion was in a high degree technical. Even the enlightened Tew placed a great deal of stress upon the ceremonies of his law, faw in them a great deal of virtue and efficacy; the gross and vulgar had scarcely any thing elfe, and the hypocritical and oftentatious magnified them above measure, as being the instruments of their own reputation and influence. The Christian scheme, without formally repealing the Levitical code, lowered its estimation extremely. In the place of strictness and zeal in performing the observances which that code prescribed, or which tradition had added to it, the new feet preached up faith, well-regulated affections, inward purity and moral rectitude of dispofition, as the true ground, on the part of the worshipper,

of .

re

is,

n

ne

:s

m

ne

g

18

ie

d

ıt

7-

e

e

r

e

worshipper, of merit and acceptance with God. This, however rational it may appear, or recommending to us at present, did not by any means facilitate the plan then. On the contrary, to disparage those qualities which the highest characters in the country valued themselves most upon, was a sure way of making powerful enemies. As if the frustration of the national hope was not enough, the long-esteemed merit of ritual zeal and punctuality was to be decried, and that by Jews preaching to Jews.

The ruling party at Jerusalem had just before crucified the founder of the religion. That is a fact which will not be disputed. They therefore who stood forth to preach the religion, must necessarily reproach these rulers with an execution, which they could not but represent as an unjust and cruel murder. This would not render their office more easy, or their situation more safe.

With regard to the interference of the Roman

Roman government which was then established in Judea, I should not expect, that, despising, as it did, the religion of the country, it would, if left to itself, animadvert, either with much vigilance, or much feverity, upon the schisms and controversies which arose within it. Yet there was that in Christianity which might easily afford a handle of accusation with a jealous government. The Christians avowed an unqualified obedience to a new master. They avowed also that he was the person who had been foretold to the Jews under the suspected title of King. The spiritual nature of this kingdom, the confiftency of this obedience with civil fubjection, were distinctions too refined to be entertained by a Roman president, who viewed the business at a great distance, or through the medium of very hostile representations. Our histories accordingly inform us, that this was the turn which the enemies of Jesus gave to his character and pretentions in their remonstrances with Pontius Pilate. And Justin Martyr, about a hundred years afterwards, complains that

## [ 27 ]

ta-

at.

n-

rt,

re-

ies

nat l a

n-

i-

ey

ad

A-

of

li-

ns

an

at

ry

C-

rn

a-

es

r,

as

at

that the same mistake prevailed in his time; "ye having heard that we are waiting for a kingdom, suppose, without distinguishing, that we mean a human kingdom, when in truth we speak of that which is with God\*." And it was undoubtedly a natural source of calumny and misconstruction.

The preachers therefore of Christianity had to contend with prejudice, backed by power. They had to come forward to a disappointed people, to a priesthood possessing a considerable share of municipal authority, and actuated by strong motives of opposition and resentment; and they had to do this under a foreign government, to whose favour they made no pretensions, and which was constantly surrounded by their enemies. The well known, because the experienced, sate of resormers, whenever the resormation subverts some reigning opinion, and does not proceed upon a change already taken place in the sentiments of a country, will

<sup>\*</sup> Ap. 1me. p. 16. ed. Thirl.

not allow, much lefs lead us, to suppose, that the first propagators of Christianity at Jerusalem and in Judea, with the difficulties and the enemies which they had to contend with, and entirely destitute, as they were, of force, authority or protection, could execute their mission with personal ease and safety.

Let us next enquire what might reasonably be expected by the preachers of Christianity when they turned themselves to the heathen public. Now the first thing that strikes us is, that the religion they carried with them was exclusive. It denied without referve the truth of every article of heathen mythology, the existence of every object of their worship. It accepted no compromise: it admitted no comprehension. It must prevail, if it prevailed at all, by the overthrow of every statue, altar and temple, in the world. It will not eafily be credited that a defign, fo bold as this was, could in any age be attempted to be carried into execution with impunity.

ofe,

at

ies

nd

re,

ce-

nd

n-

of

es

ng

1-

ed

of

y

10

n.

ie

e,

d

n

or

For it ought to be confidered, that this was not fetting forth, or magnifying the character and worship of some new competitor for a place in the Pantheon, whose pretensions might be discussed or afferted without questioning the reality of any others. It was pronouncing all other gods to be false, and all other worship vain. From the facility with which the Polytheism of ancient nations admitted new objects of worship into the number of their acknowledged divinities, or the patience with which they might entertain proposals of this kind, we can argue nothing as to their toleration of a system, or of the publishers and active propagators of a fystem, which swept away the very foundation of the existing establishment. The one was nothing more than what it would be, in Popish countries, to add a faint to the calendar; the other was to abolish and tread under foot the calendar itself.

Secondly, it ought also to be considered, that this was not the case of philosophers pro-

propounding in their books, or in their schools, doubts concerning the truth of the popular creed, or even avowing their difbelief of it. These philosophers did not go about from place to place to collect profelytes from amongst the common people; to form in the heart of the country focieties professing their tenets; to provide for the order, instruction and permanency of these societies; nor did they enjoin their followers to withdraw themselves from the public worthip of the temples, or refuse a compliance with rites inflituted by the laws\*. Thefe things are what the Christians did, and what the philosophers did not: and in these confifted the activity and danger of the enterprise.

Thirdly, it ought also to be considered,

\* The best of the ancient philosophers, Plato, Cicero, and Epictetus, allowed, or rather enjoined, men to worship the gods of the country, and in the established form. See passages to this purpose, collected from their works by Dr. Clarke, Nat. and Rev. Rel. p. 180, ed. v. Except Socrates, they all thought it wiser to comply with the laws than to contend.

ir

**f**-

0

es

n

L

r,

-

0

-

e.

(e

at

1-

1-

1,

0,

to

ir

v.

ly

it

that this danger proceeded not merely from folemn acts and public refolutions of the state, but from sudden bursts of violence at particular places, from the licence of the populace, the rashness of some magistrates and the negligence of others; from the influence and infligation of interested adversaries, and, in general, from the variety and warmth of opinion which an errand fo novel and extraordinary could not fail of exciting. I can conceive that the teachers of Christianity might both fear and fuffer much from these causes, without any general persecution being denounced against them by imperial authority. Some length of time, I should suppose, might pass, before the vast machine of the Roman empire would be put in motion, or its attention be obtained to religious controversy: but, during that time, a great deal of ill usage might be endured, by a set of friendless, unprotected travellers, telling men, wherever they came, that the religion of their ancestors, the religion in which they had been brought up, the religion of the

the state and of the magistrate, the rites which they frequented, the pomp which they admired, was throughout a system of folly and delusion.

Nor do I think that the teachers of Chriftianity would find protection in that general difbelief of the popular theology, which is supposed to have prevailed amongst the intelligent part of the heathen public. It is by no means true that unbelievers are usually tolerant. They are not disposed (and why should they?) to endanger the present state of things, by suffering a religion of which they believe nothing, to be disturbed by another of which they believe as little. They are ready themselves to conform to any thing; and are, oftentimes, amongst the foremost to procure conformity from others, by any method which they think likely to be efficacious. When was ever a change of religion patronized by infidels? How little, notwithstanding the reigning scepticism, and the magnified liberality, of that age, the true principles

p

f

y

n

ti

p

ai

principles of toleration were understood by the wifest men amongst them, may be gathered from two eminent and uncontested examples. The younger Pliny, polished, as he was, by all the literature of that foft and elegant period, could gravely pronounce this monstrous judgment: " Those who perfifted in declaring themselves Christians, I ordered to be led away to punishment (i. e. to execution), for I DID NOT DOUBT. whatever it was that they confessed, that contumacy and inflexible obstinacy ought to be punished." His master, Trajan, a mild and accomplished prince, went, nevertheless, no farther in his fentiments of moderation and equity, than what appears in the following rescript: " The Christians are not to be fought for; but if any are brought before you, and convicted, they are to be punished." And this direction he gives, after it had been reported to him by his own prefident, that, by the most strict examination, nothing could be discovered in the principles of these persons, but " a bad and excessive superstition," accompanied, it VOL. I. feems,

feems, with an oath or mutual federation, to allow themselves in no crime or immoral conduct whatever." The truth is, the ancient heathens confidered religion entirely as an affair of state, as much under the tuition of the magistrate as any other part of the police. The religion of that age was not merely allied to the state: it was incorporated into it. Many of its offices were administered by the magistrate. Its titles of pontiffs, augurs, and flamens, were borne by fenators, confuls, and generals. Without discussing therefore the truth of the theology, they refented every affront put upon the established worship, as a direct opposition to the authority of govern-

Add to which, that the religious systems of those times, however ill supported by evidence, had been long established. The ancient religion of a country has always many votaries, and sometimes not the sewer because its origin is hidden in remoteness and obscurity. Men have a natural venera-

o tion

tı

n

pl

a

an

th

fic

po vai

fro

 $M_1$ 

eve

pub

amı

cele

taug

tion for antiquity, especially in matters of religion. What Tacitus fays of the Jewish, was more applicable to the heathen establishment, " hi ritus, quoquo modo inducti, antiquitate defenduntur." It was also a splendid and fumptuous worship. It had its priesthood, its endowments, its temples. Statuary, painting, architecture, and music, contributed their effect to its ornament and magnificence. It abounded in festival shows and folemnities, to which the common people are greatly addicted; and which were of a nature to engage them much more than any thing of that fort among us. These things would retain great numbers on its fide by the fascination of spectacle and pomp, as well as interest many in its preservation by the advantage which they drew from it. "It was moreover interwoven," as Mr. Gibbon rightly represents it, " with every circumstance of business or pleasure, of public or private life, with all the offices and amusements of society." Upon the due celebration also of its rites, the people were taught to believe, and did believe, that the prosperity D 2

y

e

er

fs

a-

n

prosperity of their country in a great meafure depended.

I am willing to accept the account of the matter which is given by Mr. Gibbon: "The various modes of worship which prevailed in the Roman world, were all confidered by the people as equally true, by the philosophers as equally false, and by the magistrate as equally useful:" and I would ask, from which of these three classes of men were the Christian missionaries to look for protection or impunity? Could they expect it from the people, " whose acknowledged confidence in the public religion" they subverted from its foundation? from the philosopher, who, " considering all religions as equally false," would of course rank theirs amongst the number, with the addition of regarding them as bufy and troublesome zealots? or from the magistrate, who, fatisfied with the "utility" of the fubfifting religion, would not be likely to countenance a spirit of proselytism and innovation; a system, which declared war against every

(

fi

e

0

W

de

pi

th

It

m

every other, and which, if it prevailed, must end in a total rupture of public opinion; an upstart religion, in a word, which was not content with its own authority, but must disgrace all the settled religions in the world? It was not to be imagined that he would endure with patience, that the religion of the emperor and of the state should be calumniated and borne down, by a company of superstitious and despicable Jews.

1

f

d

-

?

11

e

le

d

e,

b-

n-

a-

ıst

ry

Lastly, the nature of the case affords a strong proof, that the original teachers of Christianity, in consequence of their new profession, entered upon a new and fingular course of life. We may be allowed to prefume, that the institution which they preached to others, they conformed to in their own persons; because this is no more than what every teacher of a new religion both does, and must do, in order to obtain either profelytes or hearers. The change which this would produce was very confiderable. It is a change which we do not eafily eftimate, because, ourselves and all about us being D 3

being habituated to the institution from our infancy, it is what we neither experience nor observe. After men became Christians, much of their time was spent in prayer and devotion, in religious meetings, in celebrating the eucharist, in conferences, in exhortations, in preaching, in an affectionate intercourse with one another, and correspondence with other societies. Perhaps their mode of life in its form and habit was not very unlike the Unitas Fratrum, or of modern Methodists. Think then what it was to become fuch at Corinth, at Ephesus, at Antioch, or even at Jerusalem. How new! How aliene from all their former habits and ideas, and from those of every body about them! What a revolution there must have been of opinions and prejudices to bring the matter to this!

We know what the precepts of the religion are; how pure, how benevolent, how difinterested a conduct they enjoin; and that this purity and benevolence is extended to the very thoughts and affections. We

are not perhaps at liberty to take for granted, that the lives of the preachers of Christianity were as perfect as their lessons: but we are entitled to contend, that the obfervable part of their behaviour must have agreed in a great measure with the duties which they taught. There was, therefore, which is all that we affert, a course of life purfued by them, different from that which they before led: and this is of great importance. Men are brought to any thing almost fooner than to change their habit of life, especially when the change is either inconvenient, or made against the force of natural inclination, or with the loss of accustomed indulgences. " It is the most difficult of all things to convert men from vicious habits to virtuous ones, as every one may judge from what he feels in himfelf, as well as from what he fees in others "." It is almost like making men over again.

Left then to myself, and without any

\* Hartley's Ess. on Man, p. 190.

more information than a knowledge of the existence of the religion, of the general story upon which it is founded, and that no act of power, force, or authority, was concerned in its first success, I should conclude, from the very nature and exigency of the case, that the author of the religion during his life, and his immediate disciples after his death, exerted themselves in spreading and publishing the institution throughout the country in which it began, and into which it was first carried; that, in the prosecution of this purpose, they underwent the labours and troubles, which we observe the propagators of new fects to undergo: that the attempt must necessarily have also been in a high degree dangerous; that from the subject of the mission, compared with the fixed opinions and prejudices of those to whom the missionaries were to address themselves, they could hardly fail of encountering strong and frequent opposition; that, by the hand of government, as well as from the fudden fury and unbridled licence of the people, they would oftentimes experience injurious and

and cruel treatment; that, at any rate, they must have always had so much to fear for their personal safety, as to have passed their lives in a state of constant peril and anxiety; and lastly, that their mode of life and conduct, visibly at least, corresponded with the institution which they delivered, and, so far, was both new, and required continual self-denial.

bellef of the truth of thefa acousts; and

dish sa washi wakani abilmaa guda da il TV 41.

ferber 15 minuals as the reception of their

legitor of aid on enger areasty here

sister that offer feet wired from the line was

and coughtreetingue; char, at may ture

## tol acid or dame of bed spewle even from the last of their visits of the **C.H.A.P.** (**H.A.P.**)

There is satisfactory evidence, that many profesfing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of these accounts; and that they also submitted from the same motive to new rules of conduct.

AFTER thus confidering what was likely to happen, we are next to enquire how the transaction is represented in the several accounts that have come down to us. And this enquiry is properly preceded by the other, forasmuch as the reception of these accounts may depend in part upon the credibility of what they contain.

The obscure and distant view of Christianity,

anity, which some of the heathen writers of that age had gained, and which a few paffages in their remaining works incidentally discover to us, offers itself to our notice in the first place: because, so far as this evidence goes, it is the concession of adversaries; the fource from which it is drawn is unfuspected. Under this head a quotation from Tacitus, well known to every scholar, must be inferted as deferving of particular attention. The Reader will bear in mind that this passage was written about seventy years after Christ's death, and that it relates to transactions which took place about thirty years after that event. Speaking of the fire which happened at Rome in the time of Nero, and of the fuspicions which were entertained that the emperor himself was concerned in causing it, the historian proceeds in his narrative and observations thus:

<sup>&</sup>quot;But neither these exertions, nor his largesses to the people, nor his offerings to the gods, did away the infamous imputation under

under which Nero lay, of having ordered the city to be fet on fire. To put an end therefore to this report, he laid the guilt, and inflicted the most cruel punishments upon a fet of people, who were held in abhorrence for their crimes, and called by the vulgar Christians. The founder of that name was Christ, who suffered death in the reign of Tiberius, under his procurator Pontius Pilate.—This pernicious superstition, thus checked for a while, broke out again; and spread not only over Judea, where the evil originated, but through Rome also, whither every thing bad upon earth finds its way, and is practifed. Some who confessed their sect were first seized, and afterwards, by their information, a vast multitude were apprehended, who were convicted, not fo much of the crime of burning Rome, as of hatred to mankind. Their fufferings at their execution were aggravated by infult and mockery, for some were disguised in the skins of wild beafts, and worried to death by dogs - fome were crucified - and others

were wrapt in pitched shirts\*, and set on fire when the day closed, that they might serve as lights to illuminate the night. Nero lent his own gardens for these executions; and exhibited at the same time a mock Circensian entertainment, being a spectator of the whole in the dress of a charioteer, sometimes mingling with the crowd on foot, and sometimes viewing the spectacles from his car. This conduct made the sufferers pitied; and though they were criminals, and deserving the severest punishment, yet they were considered as sacrificed, not so much out of a regard to the public good, as to gratify the cruelty of one man."

Our concern with this passage at present is only so far, as it affords a presumption in support of the proposition which we maintain, concerning the activity and sufferings

<sup>\*</sup> This is rather a paraphrase, but is justified by what the Scholiast upon Juvenal says—" Nero malesicos homines teda et papyro et cera supervestiebat, et sic ad ignem admoveri jubebat." Lard. Jewish and Heath. Test. vol. i. p. 359.

of the first teachers of Christianity. Now, confidered in this view, it proves three things: Ist, that the founder of the institution was put to death; 2dly, that, in the same country in which he was put to death, the religion, after a short check, broke out again and fpread; adly, that it so spread, as that, within thirty-four years from the author's death, a very great number of Christians (ingens corum multitudo) were found at Rome. From which fact, the two following inferences may be fairly drawn: first, that, if, in the space of thirty-four years from its commencement, the religion had fpread throughout Judea, had extended itfelf to Rome, and there had numbered a great multitude of converts, the original teachers and missionaries of the institution could not have been idle; fecondly, that when the author of the undertaking was put to death as a malefactor for his attempt, the endeavours of his followers to establish his religion, in the fame country, amongst the same people, and in the same age, could not but be attended with danger.

Suctonius,

Suetonius, a writer contemporary with Tacitus, describing the transactions of the same reign, uses these words, "Affecti supplicies Christiani, genus hominum superstitionis novæ et malesicæ\*." "The Christians, a set of men, of a new and mischievous (or magical) superstition, were punished."

Since it is not mentioned bere that the burning of the city was the pretence of the punishment of the Christians, or that they were the Christians of Rome who alone suffered, it is probable that Suetonius refers to some more general persecution than the short and occasional one which Tacitus describes.

Juvenal, a writer of the same age with the two former, and intending, as it should seem, to commemorate the cruelties exercised under Nero's government, has the following lines †:

<sup>\*</sup> Suet. Nero, cap. 16. + Sat. i. ver. 155.

" Pone Tigellinum, tedâ lucebis in illa, Quâ stantes ardent, qui fixo gutture sumant, Et latum media sulcum \* deducit arenâ."

"Describe Tigellinus (a creature of Nero's), and you shall suffer the same punishment with those who stand burning in their own slame and smoke, their head being held up by a stake sixed to their chin, till they make a long stream of blood and melted sulphur on the ground."

If this passage were considered by itself, the subject of the allusion might be doubtful; but when connected with the testimony of Suetonius, as to the actual punishment of the Christians by Nero; and with the account given by Tacitus of the species of punishment which they were made to undergo; I think it sufficiently probable, that these were the executions to which the poet refers.

These things, as hath already been ob-

\* Forfan " deducis."

8

ferved,

t

to

·lo

CC

ye

to

H

ferved, took place within thirty-one years after Christ's death, that is, according to the course of nature, in the life-time, probably, of some of the apostles, and certainly in the life-time of those who were converted by the apostles, or who were converted in their If then the founder of the religion was put to death in the execution of his defign; if the first race of converts to the religion, many of them, suffered the greatest extremities for their profession; it is hardly credible, that those who came between the two, who were companions of the author of the institution during his life, and the teachers and propagators of the institution after his death, could go about their undertaking with eafe and fafety.

The testimony of the younger Pliny belongs to a later period; for although he was contemporary with Tacitus and Suetonius, yet his account does not, like theirs, go back to the transactions of Nero's reign, but is confined to the affairs of his own time. His celebrated letter to Trajan was written

VOL. I. E about

about seventy years after Christ's death; and the information to be drawn from it, fo far as it is connected with our argument, relates principally to two points: first, to the number of Christians in Bithynia and Pontus, which was fo confiderable as to induce the governor of these provinces to speak of them in the following terms, " Multi, omnis ætatis, utriusque sexûs etiam -neque enim civitates tantum, sed vicos etiam et agros, superstitionis istius contagio pervagata est." "There are many of every age and of both fexes-nor has the contagion of this superstition seized cities only, but fmaller towns also, and the open country." Great exertions must have been used by the preachers of Christianity to produce this state of things within this time. Secondly, to a point which hath been already noticed, and which I think of importance. to be observed, namely, the sufferings to which Christians were exposed, without any public perfecution being denounced against them by fovereign authority. For, from Pliny's doubt how he was to act, his filence concerning

f

ti

OI

ft

W

at

concerning any fubfifting law upon the fubject, his requesting the emperor's rescript, and the emperor, agreeably to his request, propounding a rule for his direction, without reference to any prior rule, it may be inferred, that there was, at that time, no public edict against the Christians in force. Yet from this same epistle of Pliny it appears "that accufations, trials, and examinations were, and had been, going on against them, in the provinces over which he prefided; that schedules were delivered by anonymous informers, containing the names of persons who were suspected of holding or of favouring the religion; that, in confequence of these informations, many had been apprehended, of whom some boldly avowed their profession, and died in the cause; others denied that they were Christians; others, acknowledging that they had once been Christians, declared that they had long ceased to be such." All which demonstrates, that the profession of Christianity was at that time (in that country at least) attended with fear and danger: and yet this E 2 took

)

1

S

C

n

t

,,

y

e

-

y

ce

to

y

It

m

ce

ng

Roman sovereign, commanding or authorizing the persecution of Christians. This observation is farther confirmed by a rescript of Adrian to Minucius Fundanus, the proconsul of Asia \*: from which rescript it appears that the custom of the people of Asia was to proceed against the Christians with tumult and uproar. This disorderly practice, I say, is recognized in the edict, because the emperor enjoins, that, for the future, if the Christians were guilty they should be legally brought to trial, and not be pursued by importunity and clamour.

Martial wrote a few years before the younger Pliny; and, as his manner was, made the sufferings of the Christians the subject of his ridicule †. Nothing however could

<sup>\*</sup> Lard. Heath. Test. v. ii. p. 110.

<sup>†</sup> In matutina nuper spectatus arena Mucius, imposuit qui sua membra socis, Si patiens sortisque tibi durusque videtur, Abderitanæ pectora plebis habes;

could shew the notoriety of the fact with more certainty than this does. Martial's testimony, as well indeed as Pliny's, goes also to another point, viz. that the deaths of these men were martyrdoms in the strictest sense, that is to say, were so voluntary, that it was in their power, at the time of pronouncing the sentence, to have averted the execution, by consenting to join in heather sacrifices.

The constancy, and by consequence the sufferings, of the Christians of this period, is also referred to by Epictetus, who imputes their intrepidity to madness, or to a kind of fashion or habit; and about fifty years afterwards, by Marcus Aurelius, who ascribes it to obstinacy. "Is it possible (Epictetus asks) that a man may arrive at this temper, and become indifferent to those things, from

Nam cum dicatur tunica præsente molesta, Ure \* manum, plus est dicere, non facio.

" Ferfan " thure manum."

E 3

madness

## [ 54 ]

madness or from habit, as the Galileans \*?"

"Let this preparation of the mind (to die)
arise from its own judgment, and not from
obstinacy like the Christians †."

\* Epic. l. iv. c. 7. † Marc. Aur. Med, l. xi. c. 3.

## CHAP. III.

There is satisfactory evidence, that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted from the same motive to new rules of conduct.

OF the primitive condition of Christianity, a distant only and general view can be acquired from heathen writers. It is in our own books that the detail and interior of the transaction must be sought for. And this is nothing different from what might be expected. Who would write a history of Christianity but a Christian? Who was likely to record the travels, sufferings, labours, or successes of the Apostles, but one of their own number, or of their followers?

E 4

Now

Now these books come up in their accounts to the full extent of the proposition which we maintain. We have four histories of Jesus We have a history taking up the Christ. narrative from his death, and carrying on an account of the propagation of the religion, and of some of the most eminent persons engaged in it, for a space of nearly thirty years. We have, what some may think still more original, a collection of letters, written by certain principal agents in the business, upon the business, and in the midst of their concern and connection with it. And we have these writings severally attesting the point which we contend for, viz. the fufferings of the witnesses of the history, and attesting it in every variety of form in which it can be conceived to appear; directly and indirectly, expressly and incidentally, by affertion, recital, and allusion, by narratives of facts, and by arguments and discourses built upon these facts, either referring to them, or neceffarily presupposing them.

I remark this variety, because in examin-

ing ancient records, or indeed any species of testimony, it is, in my opinion, of the greatest importance to attend to the information or grounds of argument which are casually and undesignedly disclosed; forasmuch as this species of proof is, of all others, the least liable to be corrupted by fraud or mistrepresentation.

I may be allowed therefore, in the enquiry which is now before us, to suggest some conclusions of this fort, as preparatory to more direct testimony.

1. Our books relate, that Jesus Christ, the founder of the religion, was, in consequence of his undertaking, put to death, as a male-factor, at Jerusalem. This point at least will be granted, because it is no more than what Tacitus has recorded. They then proceed to tell us, that the religion was, not-withstanding, set forth at this same city of Jerusalem, propagated from thence throughout Judea, and afterwards preached in other parts of the Roman empire. These points also

are fully confirmed by Tacitus, who informs us that the religion, after a short check, broke out again in the country where it took its rife; that it not only fpread throughout Judea, but had reached Rome; and that it had there great multitudes of converts: and all this within thirty years after its commencement. Now these facts afford a strong inference in behalf of the proposition which we maintain. What could the disciples of Christ expect for themselves when they saw their master put to death? Could they hope to escape the dangers, in which he had perished? If they have persecuted me, they will also persecute you, was the warning of common sense. With this example before their eyes, they could not be without a full sense of the peril of their future enterprise.

2. Secondly, all the histories agree in representing Christ as foretelling the persecution of his followers.

tl

<sup>&</sup>quot;Then shall they deliver you up to be afflicted, and shall kill you, and ye shall be

be hated of all nations for my name's fake\*."

e

S

t

t

1

3

f

f

- "When affliction or perfecution ariseth for the word's sake, immediately they are offended †."
- "They shall lay hands on you, and perfecute you, delivering you up to the synagogues, and into prisons, being brought before kings and rulers for my name's sake and ye shall be betrayed both by parents and brethren, and kinsfolks and friends, and some of you shall they cause to be put to death ‡."
- "The time cometh, that he that killeth you will think that he doeth God service. And these things will they do unto you, because they have not known the father nor me. But these things have I told you, that when the time shall come ye may remember that I told you of them §."

<sup>\*</sup> Matt. xxiv. 9. † Mark iv. 17. See also x. 39.

<sup>‡</sup> Luke xxi. 12-16. See also xi. 49.

<sup>§</sup> John xvi. 4. See also xv. 20, and xvi. 33.

I am not entitled to argue from these pasfages, that Christ actually did foretel these events, and that they did accordingly come to pass, because that would be at once to asfume the truth of the religion: but I am entitled to contend, that one fide or other of the following disjunction is true; either that the evangelists have delivered what Christ really spoke, and that the event corresponded with the prediction; or that they put the prediction into Christ's mouth, because, at the time of writing the history, the event had turned out so to be: for the only two remaining suppositions appear in the highest degree incredible, which are, either that Christ filled the minds of his followers with fears and apprehensions, without any reason or authority for what he said, and contrary to the truth of the cafe; or that, although Christ had never foretold any fuch thing, and the event would have contradicted him if he had, yet historians who lived in the age when the event was known, falfely as well as officiously, ascribed these words to him.

3. Thirdly,

h

u

y

3. Thirdly, these books abound with exhortations to patience, and with topics of comfort under distress.

C

e

c C

n

er

at -

y :-

7,

e

n

2,

١,

r

y

0

e

- "Who shall separate us from the love of Christ? Shall tribulation, or distress, or perfecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword? Nay, in all these things we are more than conquerors through him that loved us \*."
- "We are troubled on every fide, yet not distressed; we are perplexed, but not in despair; persecuted, but not forsaken; cast down, but not destroyed; always bearing about in the body the dying of the Lord Jesus, that the life also of Jesus might be made manifest in our body—knowing that he which raised up the Lord Jesus shall raise us up also by Jesus and shall present us with you—for which cause we faint not, but, thoughour outward man perish, yet the inward man is renewed day by day; for our

\* Rom. viii. 35. 37.

I

B)

light affliction which is but for a moment, worketh for us a far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory \*."

"Take, my brethren, the prophets, who have spoken in the name of the Lord, for an example of suffering affliction, and of patience. Behold we count them happy which endure. Ye have heard of the patience of Job, and have seen the end of the Lord, that the Lord is very pitiful, and of tender mercy †."

"Call to remembrance the former days, in which, after ye were illuminated, ye endured great fight of afflictions, partly whilft ye were made a gazing-stock both by reproaches and afflictions, and partly whilft ye became companions of them that were so used; for ye had compassion of me in my bonds, and took joyfully the spoiling of your goods, knowing in yourselves that ye have in heaven a better and an enduring sub-

stance.

C

b

ai

th

to

\* H

<sup>\* 2</sup> Cor. iv. 8, 9, 10. 14. 16, 17.

<sup>+</sup> James v. 10, 11.

stance. Cast not away therefore your confidence, which hath great recompense of reward; for ye have need of patience, that after ye have done the will of God, ye might receive the promise \*."

"So that we ourselves glory in you in the churches of God, for your patience and faith in all your persecutions and tribulations that ye endure. Which is a manifest token of the righteous judgment of God, that ye may be accounted worthy of the kingdom for which ye also suffert."

1

"We rejoice in hope of the glory of God; and not only so, but we glory in tribulations also; knowing that tribulation worketh patience, and patience experience, and experience hope ‡."

"Beloved, think it not strange concerning the fiery trial which is to try you, as though some strange thing happened unto you, but rejoice, inasmuch as ye are

<sup>\*</sup> Heb. x. 32-36. † 2 Theff. i. 1-5. ‡ Rom. v. 3, 4.

partakers of Christ's sufferings. Wherefore let them that suffer according to the will of God, commit the keeping of their souls to him in well doing as unto a faithful Creator\*."

What could all these texts mean, if there was nothing in the circumstances of the times which required patience, which called for the exercise of constancy and resolution? or will it be pretended that these exhortations (which, let it be observed, come not from one author, but from many) were put in, merely to induce a belief in after-ages, that the first Christians were exposed to dangers which they were not exposed to, or underwent fufferings which they did not undergo? If these books belong to the age to which they lay claim, and in which age, whether genuine or spurious, they certainly did appear, this supposition cannot be maintained for a moment; because I think it impossible to believe, that passages, which must be deemed not only unintelligible, but false, by the persons into whose hands the books upon their publication were to come, should nevertheless be inserted, for the purpose of producing an effect upon remote generations. In forgeries which do not appear till many ages after that to which they pretend to belong, it is possible that some contrivance of that fort may take place; but in no others can it be attempted.

Judgist of consuct.

THE account of the treatment of

preachers, as flated in-our Marghires such

a professed history of particulties, or in

rounceded townser in which, an about recite it, but duperiedly and realignally the court of a mixed, generally any, who

clear the first and the first section and th

religion and of the everyppe of

VOL. I.

re II

ls

1

e

e

d

3

1-

t

0

r

t

e

y

\*

e

F

CHAP.

B

## CHAP. IV.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted from the same motives to new rules of conduct.

THE account of the treatment of the religion and of the exertions of its first preachers, as stated in our scriptures (not in a professed history of persecutions, or in the connected manner in which I am about to recite it, but dispersedly and occasionally, in the course of a mixed, general history, which circumstance alone negatives the supposition of any fraudulent design), is the following:

"That the founder of Christianity, from the commencement of his ministry to the time

tl

pi

hi

fr

lei

ing

an

time of his violent death, employed himfelf wholly in publishing the institution in Judea and Galilee; that, in order to affift him in this purpose, he made choice, out of the number of his followers, of twelve persons, who might accompany him as he travelled from place to place; that, except a short abfence upon a journey, in which he fent them, two by two, to announce his mission, and one, of a few days, when they went before him to Jerusalem, these persons were flatedly and conftantly attending upon him; that they were with him at Jerusalem when he was apprehended and put to death; and that they were commissioned by him, when his own ministry was concluded, to publish his gospel, and collect disciples to it from all countries of the world." The account then proceeds to state, "That, a few days after his departure, these persons, with some of his relations, and fome who had regularly frequented their fociety, affembled at Jerufalem; that, confidering the office of preaching the religion as now devolved upon them, and one of their number having deferted the

a

e

0

n

h

n

:

n

ie.

ne

F 2

cause,

B

cause, and, repenting of his perfidy, having destroyed himself, they proceeded to elect another into his place; and that they were careful to make their election out of the number of those who had accompanied their master from the first to the last, in order, as they alledged, that he might be a witness, together with themselves, of the principal facts which they were about to produce and relate concerning him\*; that they began their work at Jerusalem, by publicly afferting, that this Jesus, whom the rulers and inhabitants of that place had fo lately crucified, was, in truth, the person in whom all their prophecies and long expectations terminated; that he had been fent amongst them by God; and that he was appointed by God the future judge of the human species; that all who were folicitous to fecure to themselves happiness after death, ought to receive him as fuch, and to make profession of their belief, by being baptized in his name †." The history goes on to relate, " that confiderable

numbers

a

ti

d

f

n

fc

pl

th

aff

Je

aff

thi

fup

thr

if

pre

obl

the " to

and

<sup>\*</sup> Acts i. 21, 22. + Acts xi.

numbers accepted this proposal, and that they who did fo, formed amongst themselves a strict union and society\*; that, the attention of the Jewish government being soon drawn upon them, two of the principal perfons of the twelve, and who also had lived most intimately and constantly with the founder of the religion, were feized as they were discoursing to the people in the temple; that, after being kept all night in prison, they were brought the next day before an affembly, composed of the chief persons of the Jewish magistracy and priesthood; that this affembly, after some confultation, found nothing, at that time, better to be done towards suppressing the growth of the sect, than to threaten their prisoners with punishment. if they perfifted; that these men, after expreffing, in decent but firm language, the obligation under which they confidered themselves to be, to declare what they knew, " to speak the things which they had seen and heard," returned from the council, and

1

d

n

5>

1,

r

1;

;

1-

11

35

m

e-

ne

le

rs

# Acts v. 41.

reported what had passed to their companions; that this report, whilst it apprized them of the danger of their fituation and undertaking, had no other effect upon their conduct, than to produce in them a general resolution to persevere, and an earnest prayer to God to furnish them with affistance, and to inspire them with fortitude, proportioned to the increasing exigency of the service "." A very short time after this, we read "that all the twelve apostles were feized and cast into prison; that being brought a second time before the Jewish Sanhedrim, they were upbraided with their difobedience to the injunction which had been laid upon them, and beaten for their contumacy; that being charged once more to defift, they were fuffered to depart; that however they neither quitted Jerusalem, nor ceased from preaching, both daily in the temple, and from house to house ‡; and that the twelve considered themselves as so entirely and exclusively devoted to this office, that they now trans-

p

as

th

ef

af

\* Acts iv. † Acts v. 18. ‡ Acts v. ferred

ferred, what may be called the temporal affairs of the fociety, to other hands\*."

d

r

1

r

d

d

23

at

ft

d

re

1-

n,

g

f-

er

h-

fe

ed

ly

1-

ed

I do not know that it has ever been infinuated, that the Christian mission, in the hands of the apostles, was a scheme for making a fortune, or for getting money. But it may nevertheless be fit to remark upon this passage of their history, how perfectly free they appear to have been from any pecuniary or interested views whatever. The most tempting opportunity which occurred, of making a gain of their converts, was by the custody and management of the public funds, when some of the richer members, intending to contribute their fortunes to the common support of the fociety, fold their possessions, and laid down the prices at the apostles's feet. Yet so insensible, or undesirous, were they of the advantage which that confidence afforded, that, we find, they very foon disposed of the truft, by putting it into the hands, not of nominees of their own, but of stewards formally elected for the purpose by the society at large.

We may add also, that this excess of generosity, which cast private property into the public stock, was so far from being required by the apostles, or imposed as a law of Christianity, that Peter reminds Ananias that he had been guilty, in his behaviour, of an officious and voluntary prevarication; for whilst, says he, thy estate remained unfold, "was it not thine own? and, after it was fold, was it not in thine own power?"

F 4

Hitherto

B

Hitherto the preachers of the new religion feem to have had the common people on their fide; which is affigned as the reason, why the Jewish rulers did not, at this time, think it prudent to proceed to greater extremities. It was not long, however, before the enemies of the institution found means to represent it to the people as tending to subvert their law, degrade their law-giver, and dishonour their temple\*. And these infinuations were dispersed with so much success, as to induce the people to join with their superiors in the stoning of a very active member of the new community.

The death of this man was the fignal of a general perfecution, the activity of which may be judged of from one anecdote of the time: "As for Saul, he made havock of the church, entering into every house, and haling men and women, committed them to prifon." This persecution + raged at Jerusa-

F

al

ft

m

<sup>\*</sup> Acts vi. 12. + Acts viii, 3.

dem with so much sury, as to drive most\*
of the new converts out of the place, except the twelve apostles. The converts,
thus "scattered abroad," preached the religion wherever they came: and their preaching was, in effect, the preaching of the
twelve; for it was so far carried on in concert and correspondence with them, that,
when they heard of the success of their emissaries in a particular country, they sent two
of their number to the place to complete and
confirm the mission.

An event now took place of great importance in the future history of the religion. The perfecution which had begun at Jerusalem, followed the Christians to other cities, in which the authority of the Jewish

1

1

<sup>\*</sup> Acts viii. 1. "And they were all scattered abroad;" but the term "all" is not, I think, to be taken strictly, or as denoting more than the generality; in like manner as in Acts ix. 35. "And all that dwelt at Lydda and Saron saw him, and turned to the Lord."

<sup>+</sup> Acts ix.

Sanhedrim over those of their own nation was allowed to be exercifed. A young man, who had fignalized himself by his hostility to the profession, and had procured a commission from the council at Jerusalem to seize any converted Jews whom he might find at Damascus, suddenly became a proselyte to the religion which he was going about to extirpate. The new convert not only shared, upon this extraordinary change, the fate of his companions, but brought upon himfelf a double measure of enmity from the party which he had left. The Jews at Damascus, upon his return to that city, watched the gates night and day with fo much diligence, that he escaped from their hands only by being let down in a basket by the wall. Nor did he find himfelf in greater fafety at Terusalem, whither he immediately repaired. Attempts were there also foon set on foot to destroy him, from the danger of which he was preferved by being fent away to Cilicia, his native country.

For fome reason, not mentioned, perhaps not

not known, but probably connected with the civil history of the Jews, or with some danger\* which engroffed the public attention, an intermission about this time took place in the fufferings of the Christians. This happened, at the most only seven or eight, perhaps only three or four, years after Christ's death. Within which period, and notwithstanding that the late perfecution occupied part of it, churches, or focieties of believers, had been formed in all Judea Galilee, and Samaria; for we read that the churches in these countries " had now rest, and were edified, and, walking in the fear of the Lord, and in the comfort of the Holy Ghost, were multiplied †." The original preachers of the religion did not remit their

\* Dr. Lardner (in which he is followed also by Dr. Benson) ascribes this cessation of the persecution of the Christians to the attempt of Caligula to set up his own statue in the Temple of Jerusalem, and to the consternation thereby excited in the minds of the Jewish people; which consternation for a season suspended every other contest.

† Acts ix. 31.

1

2

f

f

labours or activity during this feason of quietness; for we find one, and he a very principal person amongst them, passing throughout all quarters. We find also those who had been before expelled from Jerusalem by the persecution which raged there, travelling as far as Phænice, Cyprus, and Antioch\*: and lastly, we find Jerusalem again the centre of the mission, the place whither the preachers returned from their several excursions, where they reported the conduct and effects of their ministry, where questions of public concern were canvassed and settled, from whence directions were sought, and teachers sent forth.

The time of this tranquillity did not, however, continue long. Herod Agrippa, who had lately acceded to the government of Judea, "firetched forth his hand to vex certain of the church †." He began his cruelty by beheading one of the twelve original apostles, a kinsman and constant com-

\* Acts xi. 19. + Acts xii. 1.

panion

1

b

p

fi

ri

tł

## [ 77 ]

panion of the founder of the religion. Perceiving that this execution gratified the Jews,
he proceeded to feize, in order to put to
death, another of the number; and him, like
the former, affociated with Christ during his
life, and eminently active in the fervice fince
his death. This man was, however, delivered from prison, as the account states\*,
miraculously, and made his escape from Jerusalem.

These things are related, not in the general terms under which, in giving the outlines of the history, we have here mentioned them, but with the utmost particularity of names, persons, places, and circumstances; and, what is deserving of notice, without the smallest discoverable propensity in the historian to magnify the fortitude, or exaggerate the sufferings, of his party. When they sled for their lives, he tells us. When the churches had rest, he remarks it. When the people took their part, he does not leave

it without notice. When the apostles were carried a fecond time before the Sanhedrim. he is careful to observe that they were brought without violence. When milder, councils were fuggested, he gives us the author of the advice, and the speech which contained it. When, in confequence of this advice, the rulers contented themselves with threatening the apostles, and commanding them to be beaten with stripes, without urging at that time the perfecution farther, the historian candidly and destinctly records their forbearance. When, therefore, in other instances, he states heavier persecutions, or actual martyrdoms, it is reasonable to believe that he states them because they were true; and not from any wish to aggravate, in his account, the fufferings which Chriftians fustained, or to extol, more than it deferved, their patience under them.

Our history now pursues a narrower path. Leaving the rest of the apostles, and the original associates of Christ, engaged in the propagation of the new faith (and who there is not the least reason to believe abated in

their .

their diligence or courage), the narrative proceeds with the separate memoirs of that eminent teacher, whose extraordinary and fudden conversion to the religion, and corresponding change of conduct, had before been circumftantially described. This person, in conjunction with another, who appeared amongst the earliest members of the society at Jerusalem, and amongst the immediate adherents\* of the twelve apostles, set out from Antioch upon the express business of carrying the new religion through the various provinces of the Lesser Asia +. During this expedition we find, that in almost every place to which they came, their persons were infulted, and their lives endangered. After being expelled from Antioch in Pisidia, they repaired to Iconium ‡. At Iconium an attempt was made to stone them. At Lystra, whither they fled from Iconium, one of them actually was stoned, and drawn out of the city for dead §. These two men, though not themselves original apostles, were acting

<sup>\*</sup> Acts iv. 36.

<sup>+</sup> Acts xiii. 2.

<sup>†</sup> Acts xiii. 50.

<sup>§</sup> Acts xiv. 5.

in connection and conjunction with the original apostles; for, after the completion of their journey, being sent upon a particular commission to Jerusalem, they there related to the apostles \* and elders the events and success of their ministry, and were, in return, recommended by them to the churches, "as men who had hazarded their lives in the cause."

The treatment which they had experienced in the first progress, did not deter them from preparing for a second. Upon a dispute, however, arising between them, but not connected with the common subject of their labours, they acted as wife and sincere men would act; they did not retire in disgust from the service in which they were engaged, but, each devoting his endeavours to the advancement of the religion, they parted from one another, and set forwards upon separate routes. The history goes along with one of them; and the second enterprise to him was attended with the

h

tl

n

G

W

ar w

ur

th

in

fal

un

the

we

ran

and

in

lod

mie

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xv. 12-26.

1

1

1

e

r

t

f

e

[-

e

S

s

es

d

e

10

fame dangers and perfecutions as both had met with in the first. The apostle's travels hitherto had been confined to Asia. now crosses, for the first time, the Ægean Sea, and carries with him, amongst others, the person whose accounts supply the information we are stating \*. The first place in Greece at which he appears to have stopped was Philippi in Macedonia. Here himself and one of his companions were cruelly whipped, cast into prison, and kept there under the most rigorous custody, being thrust, whilst yet smarting with their wounds, into the inner dungeon, and their feet made fast in the stocks †. Notwithstanding this unequivocal specimen of the usage which they had to look for in that country, they went forward in the execution of their errand. After paffing through Amphipolis and Appollonia, they came to Thessalonica; in which city the house in which they lodged was affailed by a party of their enemies, in order to bring them out to the

\* Acts xvi.11. † V. 23, 24. 33. Vol. I. G populace.

populace. And when, fortunately for their preservation, they were not found at home, the mafter of the house was dragged before the magistrate for admitting them within his doors\*. Their reception at the next city was fomething better: but neither here had they continued long before their turbulent adversaries, the Jews, excited against them fuch commotions amongst the inhabitants, as obliged the apostle to make his escape by a private journey to Athens †. The extremity of the progress was Corinth. His abode in this city, for some time, seems have been without molestation. length, however, the Jews found means to ftir up an insurrection against him, and to bring him before the tribunal of the Roman prefident ‡. It was to the contempt which that magistrate entertained for the Jews and their controversies, of which he accounted Christianity to be one, that our apostle owed his deliverance §.

> \* Acts xviii. 1—5. † V. 13. ‡ Acts xviii. 12. § V. 18.

This

(

re

te

W

at

th

tra

of

E

in

ye

th

eft

Th

he

ho

hir

fus

Afi

r

٠,

e

n

ct

e

1-

ft.

a-,

is

t.

h.

ns.

At

to

to

an

ch

nd

ed

ed

his

This indefatigable teacher, after leaving Corinth, returned by Ephefus into Syria; and again visited Jerusalem, and the society of Christians in that city, which, as hath been repeatedly observed, still continued the center of the mission \*. It suited not, however, with the activity of his zeal to remain long at Jerusalem. We find him going from thence to Antioch, and, after some flay there, traverfing once more the northern provinces of Asia Minor †. This progress ended at Ephefus; in which city the apostle continued in the daily exercise of his ministry two years, and until his fuccess, at length, excited the apprehenfions of those who were interefted in the support of the national worship. Their clamour produced a tumult, in which he had nearly lost his life ‡. Undismayed, however, by the dangers to which he faw himself exposed, he was driven from Ephefus only to renew his labours in Greece §. After paffing over Macedonia, he thence

G 2 proceeded

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xviii. 22. + V. 23. ‡ Acts xix. 1. 9, 10. § V. 29. 31.

t

h

C

hi

of

af

ple

en

die

no

me

ted

his

wre

his

and

proceeded to his former station at Corinth\*. When he had formed his defign of returning by a direct course from Corinth into Syria, he was compelled by a conspiracy of the Jews, who were prepared to intercept him on his way, to trace back his steps through Macedonia to Philippi, and from thence to take shipping into Asia. the coast of Asia he pursued his voyage with all the expedition he could command, in order to reach Jerusalem against the feast of Pentecost †. His reception at Jerusalem was of a piece with the usage he had experienced from the Jews in other places. He had been only a few days in that city when the populace, infligated by some of his old opponents in Asia, who attended this feast, feized him in the temple, forced him out of it, and were ready immediately to have deftroyed him, had not the fudden presence of the Roman guard rescued him out of their hands ‡. The officer, however, who had thus feafonably interposed, acted from his

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xix. 1. † V. 16. ‡ Acts xxi. 27—33.

care of the public peace, with the preservation of which he was charged, and not from any favour to the apostle, or indeed any disposition to exercise either justice or humanity towards him; for he had no sooner secured his person in the fortress, than he was proceeding to examine him by torture \*.

From this time to the conclusion of the history, the apostle remains in public custody of the Roman government. After escaping assassing assassing assassing assassing assassing assassing to the plot, and delivering himself from the influence of his enemies by an appeal to the audience of the emperor †, he was sent, but not until he had suffered two years imprisonment, to Rome ‡. He reached Italy after a tedious voyage, and after encountering in his passage the perils of a desperate shipwreck §. But although still a prisoner, and his fate still depending, neither the various and long-continued sufferings which he had

0

f

t

8

n

g h

r-

of

m

e-

Ie

en

ld

ft,

of

e-

of

eir

ad

his

33.

are

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xxii. 12. 24. ‡ Acts xxiv. 27.

<sup>†</sup> Acts xxv. 9. 11.

<sup>§</sup> Acts xvii.

undergone, nor the danger of his present situation, deterred him from persisting in preaching the religion; for the historian closes the account by telling us, that, for two years, he received all that came unto him in his own hired house, where he was permitted to dwell with a soldier that guarded him, "preaching the kingdom of God, and teaching those things which concern the Lord Jesus Christ with all considence."

Now the historian, from whom we have drawn this account, in the part of his narrative which relates to St. Paul, is supported by the strongest corroborating testimony that a history can receive. We are in possession of letters written by St. Paul himself upon the subject of his ministry, and either written during the period which the history comprises, or, if written afterwards, reciting and referring to the transactions of that period. These letters, without borrowing from the history, or the history from them, unintentionally consirm the account which the history delivers in a great variety of particulars.

What

n b

W

cl

h in

le

ne to

in fir

po

nt

in

an

or

ito

ras

rd-

od,

the

ave

ar-

rted

that

fion

pon

rit-

om-

and

riod.

the

hif-

lars.

What

What belongs to our present purpose is the description exhibited of the apostle's sufferings: and the reprefentation, given in the history, of the dangers and distresses which he underwent, not only agrees, in general, with the language which he himself uses, whenever he speaks of his life or ministry, but is also, in many inflances, attefted by a specific correspondency of time, place, and order of events. If the historian puts down in his narrative that at Philippi the apostle "was beaten with many stripes, cast into prison, and there treated with rigor and indignity\*," we find him, in a letter to a neighbouring church, reminding his converts, that, " after he had fuffered before, and was shamefully intreated at Philippi, he was bold, neverthelefs, to speak unto them (to whose city he next came) the Gospel of God." If the history relate ‡, that, at Thessalonica, the house in which the apostle was lodged, when he first came to that place, was assaulted by the populace, and the master of it dragged be-

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xvi. 24. † 1 Theff. ii. 2. ‡ Acts xvii. 57. G 4 fore

fore the magistrate for admitting such a guest within his doors, the apostle, in his letters to the Christians of Thessalonica, calls to their remembrance "how they had received the Gospel in much affliction\*." If the history deliver an account of an infurrection at Ephefus, which had nearly cost the apostle his life, we have the apostle himself, in a letter written a short time after his departure from that city, describing his despair, and returning thanks for his deliverance +. If the history inform us, that the apostle was expelled from Antioch in Pisidia, attempted to be stoned at Iconium, and actually stoned at Lystra, there is preserved a letter from him to a favorite convert, whom, as the same history tells us, he first met with in these parts; in which letter he appeals to that disciple's knowledge" of the perfecutions which befell him at Antioch, at Iconium, at Lystra ‡." If the history make the apostle, in his speech to the Ephesian elders, remind them, as one

<sup>\* 1</sup> Theff. i. 6. † Acts xix. 2 Cor. i. 8, 9. ‡ Acts xiii. 50. xix. 5. 19. 2 Tim. iii. 10, 11. proof

ft

rs

ir

C

y

at

le

a

-

r,

١.

18

d

d

m

ſ-.

;

's

11

h

ie

of

proof of the disinterestedness of his views, that, to their knowledge, he had supplied his own and the necessities of his companions by personal labour \*, we find the same aposstle, in a letter written during his residence at Ephesus, asserting of himself, " that even to that hour he laboured, working with his own hands †."

These coincidences, together with many relative to other parts of the apostle's history, and all drawn from independent sources, not only confirm the truth of the account, in the particular points as to which they are observed, but add much to the credit of the narrative in all its parts; and support the author's profession of being a contemporary of the person whose history he writes, and, throughout a material portion of his narrative, a companion.

What the epiftles of the apostles declare of the suffering state of Christianity, the writ-

ings

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xx. 34. + 1 Cor. iv. 11, 12.

ings which remain of their companions, and immediate followers, expressly confirm.

Clement, who is honourably mentioned by St. Paul in his epistle to the Philippians\*. hath left us his attestation to this point in the following words: "Let us take (fays he) the examples of our own age. Through zeal and envy the most faithful and righteous pillars of the church have been perfecuted even to the most grievous deaths. fet before our eyes the holy apostles. Peter, by unjust envy, underwent, not one or two, but many fufferings; till at last being martyred, he went to the place of glory that was due unto him. For the same cause did Paul, in like manner, receive the reward of his patience. Seven times he was in bonds; he was whipped, was stoned; he preached both in the east and in the west, leaving behind him the glorious report of his faith: and fo having taught the whole world righteousness, and for that end travelled even

\* Acts iv. 3.

d

d

n

h

3

d

5

,

ł

unto the utmost bounds of the west, he at last suffered martyrdom by the command of the governors, and departed out of the world, and went unto his holy place, being become a most eminent pattern of patience unto all ages. To these holy apostles were joined a very great number of others, who, having through envy undergone, in like manner, many pains and torments, have left a glorious example to us. For this, not only men, but women, have been persecuted; and having suffered very grievous and cruel punishments, have finished the course of their faith with sirmness \*."

Hermas, faluted by St. Paul in his Epistle to the Romans, in a piece very little connected with historical recitals, thus speaks—" Such as have believed and suffered death for the name of Christ, and have endured with a ready mind, and have given up their lives with all their hearts †."

<sup>\*</sup> Clem. ad Cor. c. v. vi. Abp. Wake's trans.

<sup>+</sup> Shepherd of Hermas, c. xxviii.

Polycarp, the disciple of John, though all that remains of his works be a very short epistle, has not left this subject unnoticed. -" I exhort (fays he) all of you, that ye obey the word of righteousness, and exercise all patience, which ye have feen fet forth before your eyes, not only in the bleffed Ignatius, and Lorimus and Rufus, but in others among yourselves, and in Paul bimself and the rest of the apostles; being confident in this, that all these have not run in vain, but in faith and righteousness; and are gone to the place that was due to them from the Lord, with whom also they suffered. For they loved not this present world, but him who died and was raifed again by God for us \*."

Ignatius, the contemporary of Polycarp, recognifes the same topic, briefly indeed, but positively and precisely. "For this cause (i. e. for having felt and handled Christ's body after his resurrection, and being convinced, as Ignatius expresses it, both by his

<sup>\*</sup> Pol. ad Phil. c. ix.

flesh and spirit), they (i. e. Peter, and those who were present with Peter at Christ's appearance) despised death, and were found to be above it \*."

Would the reader know what a perfecution in these days was, I would refer him to a circular letter, written by the church of Smyrna foon after the death of Polycarp, who, it will be remembered, had lived with St. John; and which letter is entitled a relation of that bishop's martyrdom. fufferings (fay they) of all the other martyrs were bleffed and generous, which they underwent according to the will of God. fo it becomes us, who are more religious than others, to ascribe the power and ordering of all things unto him. And indeed who can choose but admire the greatness of their minds, and that admirable patience and love of their mafter, which then appeared in them? who, when they were fo flayed with whipping, that the frame and structure of

## [ 94 ]

their bodies were laid open to their very inward veins and arteries, nevertheless endured it. In like manner, those who were condemned to the beasts, and kept a long time in prison, underwent many cruel torments, being forced to lie upon sharp spikes laid under their bodies, and tormented with divers other sorts of punishments; that so, if it were possible, the tyrant, by the length of their sufferings, might have brought them to deny Christ."

\* Rel. Mor. Pol. c. ii.

CHAP.

r settale per produce cald

or violating principles of and

miller all guirdean levels 1966

deline to Parotition of an all

the suggest fruit Highedies, most

a divine part of the particular

congress account of the agone

## CHAP. V.

There is satisfactory evidence, that many, professing to have been original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

UPON the history, of which the last chapter contains an abstract, there are a few observations which it may be proper to make, by way of applying its testimony to the particular propositions for which we contend.

I. Although our scripture history leaves the general account of the apostles in an early part of the narrative, and proceeds with the separate account of one particular apostle,

apostle, yet the information which it delivers fo far extends to the rest, as it shews the nature of the service. When we see one apostle fuffering persecution in the discharge of his commission, we shall not believe, without evidence, that the same office could, at the fame time, be attended with ease and fafety to others. And this fair and reasonable inference is confirmed by the direct attellation of the letters, to which we have so often re-The writer of these letters not only alludes, in numerous passages, to his own fufferings, but speaks of the rest of the apoftles as enduring like fufferings with himfelf. " I think that God hath fet forth us the apofiles last, as it were, appointed to death; for we are made a spectacle unto the world, and to angels, and to men-even unto this prefent hour, we both hunger and thirst, and are naked, and are buffeted, and have no certain dwelling-place; and labour, working with our own hands: being reviled, we blefs; being persecuted, we suffer it; being defamed, we entreat: we are made as the filth of the world, and as the offscouring of all things unto

tl

ń

n

gl

di

le

is

it

e

-

n

-

y

f.

r

d

d

0

g

e

S

0

unto this day "." Add to which, that in the short account that is given of the other apostles, in the former part of the history, and within the short period which that account comprises, we find, first, two of them feized, imprisoned, brought before the Sanhedrim, and threatened with further punishment t; then, the whole number imprisoned and beaten 1: foon afterwards, one of their adherents stoned to death, and so hot a persecution raised against the sect, as to drive most of them out of the place; a short time only fucceeding, before one of the twelve was beheaded, and another fentenced to the fame fate; and all this passing in the single city of Jerusalem, and within ten years after the founder's death, and the commencement of the institution.

II. Secondly; We take no credit at prefent for the miraculous part of the narrative, nor do we infift upon the correctness of single passages of it. If the whole story be not a novel, a romance; the whole action a dream; if Peter, and James and Paul, and \* 1 Cor. iv. et seq. † Ass iv. 3. 21. ‡ Acts v. 18. 40. Vol. I. B

the rest of the apostles, mentioned in the account, be not all imaginary persons; if their letters be not all forgeries, and, what is more, forgeries of names and characters which never existed; then is there evidence in our hands sufficient to support the only fact we contend for (and which, I repeat again, is, in itself, highly probable), that the original sollowers of Jesus Christ exerted great endeavours to propagate his religion, and underwent great labours, dangers, and sufferings, in consequence of their undertaking.

III. The general reality of the apostolic history is strongly confirmed by the consideration, that it, in truth, does no more than assign adequate causes for effects which certainly were produced, and describe consequences naturally resulting from situations which certainly existed. The effects were certainly there, of which this history sets forth the cause, and origin, and progress. It is acknowledged on all hands, because it is recorded by other testimony than that of the Christians themselves, that the religion

2

began

fi

e

th

th

de

ho

W

fo

log

W

tha

we

ons

ir

is

rs i-

rt

h,

:),

fli

is

n-

eir .

lic

fi-

an

er-

fe-

ns

ere

ets

ess.

e it

of

ion

gan

began to prevail at that time, and in that country. It is very difficult to conceive how it could begin, or prevail at all, without the exertions of the founder and his followers in propagating the new perfuafion. The history now in our hands describes these exertions, the persons employed, the means and endeavours made use of, and the labours undertaken in the profecution of this purpofe. Again, the treatment which the history represents the first propagators of the religion to have experienced, was no other than what naturally refulted from the fituation in which they were confessedly placed. It is admitted that the religion was adverse, in a great degree, to the reigning opinions, and to the hopes and wishes of the nation to which it was first introduced; and that it overthrew. fo far as it was received, the established theology and worship of every other country. We cannot feel much reluctance in believing that, when the messengers of such a system went about not only publishing their opinions, but collecting profelytes, and forming H 2 regular

regular focieties of profelytes, they should meet with opposition in their attempts, or that this opposition should sometimes proceed to fatal extremities. Our history details examples of this opposition, and of the sufferings and dangers which the emissaries of the religion underwent, perfectly agreeable to what might reasonably be expected, from the nature of their undertaking, compared with the character of the age and country in which it was carried on.

IV. Fourthly; The records before us fupply evidence of what formed another member of our general proposition, and what, as hath already been observed, is highly probable, and almost a necessary confequence of their new profession, viz. that, together with activity and courage in propagating the religion, the primitive followers of Jesus assumed, upon their conversion, a new and peculiar course of private life. Immediately after their master was withdrawn from them, we hear of their "continuing with one accord in prayer and supplication,"

d

h

ai

ld

or

0-

e-

he

ies

ee-

ed.

m-

nd

us

her

ind

is

on-

at,

pa-

rers

, a

m-

wn

ing

ica-

n,"

tion \*," of their " continuing daily with one accord in the temple +," of " many being gathered together praying t." We know what strict injunctions were laid upon the converts by their teachers. Wherever they came, the first word of their preaching was, "Repent!" We know that these injunctions obliged them to refrain from many species of licentiousness, which were not, at that time, reputed criminal. We know the rules of purity, and the maxims of benevolence, which Christians read in their books; concerning which rules, it is enough to observe, that, if they were, I will not fay, completely obeyed, but in any degree regarded, they would produce a system of conduct, and, what is more difficult to preferve, a disposition of mind, and a regulation of affections, different from any thing to which they had hitherto been accustomed, and different from what they would fee in others. The change and diffinction of manners, which refulted

from their new character, is perpetually referred to in the letters of their teachers. "And you hath he quickened, who were dead in trespasses and fins, wherein in times past ye walked, according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience; among whom also we had our conversation in times past, in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the defires of the flesh, and of the mind, and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others \*." - " For the time past of our life. may fuffice us to have wrought the will of the Gentiles, when we walked in lasciviousnefs, luft, excefs of wine, revellings, banquetings, and abominable idolatries, wherein they think it strange that ye run not with them to the same excess of riot †." St. Paul, in his first letter to the Corinthians, after enumerating, as his manner was, a catalogue of vicious characters, adds, "Such were some

<sup>\*</sup> Eph. ii. 1-3. see also Tit. iii. 3. † 1 Pet. iv. 3, 4. of

re-

ers.

ere.

nes

of

the

rk-

ng

nes

the

ind

ren

life

of ul-

et-

bey

to to

his

ne-

Vi-

me

of

of you, but ye are washed, but ye are sancti-In like manner, and alluding to fied \*." the same change of practices and sentiment, he asks the Roman Christians " what fruit they had in those things whereof they are now ashamed †?" The phrases which the fame writer employs to describe the moral condition of Christians, compared with their condition before they became Christians, fuch as " newness of life," being "freed from fin," being " dead to fin;" " the destruction of the body of sin, that, for the future, they should not serve fin;" " children of light and of the day," as opposed to "children of darkness and of the night," " not fleeping as others," imply, at leaft, a new fystem of obligation, and, probably, a new feries of conduct, commencing with their conversion.

The testimony which Pliny bears to the behaviour of the new sect in his time, and

\* 1 Cor. vi. 11. + Rom. vi. 21.

H 4

which

which testimony comes not more than fifty years after that of St. Paul, is very applicable to the subject under consideration. The character which this writer gives of the Christians of that age, and which was drawn from a pretty accurate enquiry, because he confidered their moral principles as the point in which the magistrate was interested, is as follows:-He tells the emperor, "that fome of those who had relinquished the society, or who, to fave themselves, pretended that they had relinquished it, affirmed that they were wont to meet together, on a stated day, before it was light, and fung among themfelves alternately a hymn to Christ as a God; and to bind themselves, by an oath, not to the commission of any wickedness, but that they would not be guilty of theft or robbery, or adultery; that they would never falfify their word, nor deny a pledge committed to them, when called upon to return it." This proves that a morality, more pure and strict than was ordinary, prevailed at that time in Christian societies.

And

# [ 105 ]

ty

ole

he

he

vn

he

nt

as ne

y,

ey ny, m-

a th,

ís, eft

ıld

ge to

ty,

es.

nd

And to me it appears, that we are authorised to carry this testimony back to the age of the apostles; because it is not probable that the immediate hearers and disciples of Christ were more relaxed than their successors in Pliny's time, or the missionaries of the religion than those whom they taught.

CHAP.

#### CHAP. VI.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to have been original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

WHEN we consider, first, the prevalency of the religionat this hour; secondly, the only credible account which can be given of its origin, viz. the activity of the sounder and his associates; thirdly, the opposition which that activity must naturally have excited; sourthly, the fate of the sounder of the religion, attested by heathen writers as well as our own; sistely, the testimony of the same writers to the sufferings of Christians, either contemporary with, or immediately succeed-

ro.

the

la-

rily

ich

of

ts;

me

cy

n-

its

nd

ich

d;

eli-

as

me

ner

ed-

ng,

ing, the original fettlers of the institution; fixthly, predictions of the fufferings of his followers atcribed to the founder of the religion, which ascription alone proves, either that fuch predictions were delivered and fulfilled, or that the writers of Christ's life were induced by the event to attribute fuch predictions to him; feventhly, letters now in our possession, written by some of the principal agents in the transaction, referring expressly to extreme labours, dangers, and sufferings, fustained by themselves and their companions; lastly, a history, purporting to be written by a fellow traveller of one of the new teachers, and, by its unsophisticated correspondency with letters of that person still extant, proving itself to be written by fome one well acquainted with the subject of the narrative, which history contains accounts of travels, perfecutions, and martyrdoms, answering to what the former reasons lead us to expect: when we lay together these confiderations, which, taken feparately, are, I think, correctly fuch as I have stated them in the preceding chapters, there cannot much doubt

doubt remain upon our minds, but that a number of persons at that time appeared in the world, publicly advancing an extraordinary story, and, for the sake of propagating the belief of that story, voluntarily incurring great personal dangers, traversing seas and kingdoms, exerting great industry, and sustaining great extremities of ill usage and persecution. It is also proved that the same persons, in consequence of their persuasion or pretended persuasion of the truth of what they asserted, entered upon a course of life in many respects new and singular.

From the clear and acknowledged parts of the case, I think it to be likewise in the highest degree probable, that the story, for which these persons voluntarily exposed themselves to the fatigues and hardships which they endured, was a miraculous story; I mean, that they pretended to miraculous evidence of some kind or other. They had nothing else to stand upon. The designation of the person, that is to say, that Jesus of Nazareth, rather than any other person, was the

ta

in

or-

at-

n-

ng

у,

ge

he

er-

th

rfe

rts he

or

ed

ps

y;

us

ad

on of

as

he

the Messiah, and, as such, the subject of their ministry, could only be founded upon supernatural tokens attributed to him. Here were no victories, no conquests, no revolutions, no furprifing elevation of fortune, no achievements of valour, of strength, or of policy, to appeal to; no discoveries in any art or science, no great efforts of genius or learning to produce. A Galilean peafant was announced to the world as a divine lawgiver. A young man of mean condition, of a private and fimple life, and who had wrought no deliverance for the Jewish nation, was declared to be their Messiah. This, without ascribing to him at the same time fome proofs of his mission, (and what other but fupernatural proofs could there be?) was too abfurd a claim to be either imagined, or attempted, or credited. In whatever degree, or in whatever part, the religion was argumentative, when it came to the question, " is the carpenter's fon of Nazareth the person whom we are to receive and obey?" there was nothing but the miracles attributed to him, by which his pretenfions could be main-

maintained for a moment. Every controverfy and every question must presuppose thefe; for, however fuch controversies, when they did arife, might, and naturally would, be discussed upon their own grounds of argumentation, without citing the miraculous evidence which had been afferted to attend the founder of the religion (which would have been to enter upon another, and a more general, question), yet we are to bear in mind, that, without previously supposing the existence or the pretence of such evidence, there could have been no place for the discussion or the argument at all. Thus, for example, whether the prophecies, which the Jews interpreted to belong to the Meffiah, were, or were not, applicable to the history of Jesus of Nazareth, was a natural fubject of debate in those times: and the debate would proceed, without recurring at every turn to his miracles, because it set out with supposing these; inasmuch as without miraculous marks and tokens (real or pretended), or without fome fuch great change effected by his means in the public condition

# 1111 7

n

-

8

d

d

a

r

g

-

r

3,

h

[-

e

ıl

-

it

It

it

e

-

n

tion of the country, as might have fatisfied the then received interpretation of these prophecies, I do not fee how the question could ever have been entertained. Apollos, we read, " mightily convinced the Jews, shewing by the scriptures that Jesus was Christ\*;" but unless Jesus had exhibited some distinction of his person, some proof of supernatural power, the argument from the old scriptures could have had no place. It had nothing to attach upon. A young man, calling himself the Son of God, gathering a crowd about him, and delivering to them lectures of morality, could not have excited fo much as a doubt amongst the Jews whether he was the object in whom a long feries of ancient prophecies terminated, from the completion of which they had formed fuch magnificent expectations, and expectations of a nature for opposite to what appeared: I mean, no such doubt could exist when they had the whole case before them, when they saw him put to death for his officiousness, and when by

his death the evidence concerning him was closed. Again, the effect of the Messiah's coming, supposing Jesus to have been him, upon Jews, upon Gentiles, upon their relation to each other, upon their acceptance with God, upon their duties and their expectations; his nature, authority, office, and agency; were likely to become subjects of much confideration with the early votaries of the religion, and to occupy their attention and writings. I should not, however, expect that in these disquisitions, whether preserved in the form of letters, speeches, or set treatifes, frequent or very direct mention of his miracles would occur. Still miraculous evidence lay at the bottom of the argument. In the primary question, miraculous pretenfions, and miraculous pretenfions alone, were what they had to rely upon.

That the original story was miraculous, is very fairly also inferred from the miraculous powers which were laid claim to by the Christians of succeeding ages. If the accounts of these miracles be true, it was a

con-

1

n

tr

th

fc

of

to

th

th

continuation of the same powers; if they be false, it was an imitation, I will not say, of what had been wrought, but of what had been reported to have been wrought, by those who preceded them. That imitation should follow reality; fiction be grafted upon truth; that if miracles were performed at first, miracles should be pretended afterwards, agrees fo well with the ordinary courfe of human affairs, that we can have no great difficulty in believing it. The contrary supposition is very improbable, namely, that miracles should be pretended to by the followers of the apostles and first emissaries. of the religion, when none were pretended to, either in their own persons or that of their master, by these apostles and emissaries themselves.

Vol. I.

CHAP.

#### CHAP. VII.

There is satisfactory evidence, that many, professing to have been original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

IT once then being proved, that the first propagators of the Christian institution did exert great activity, and subject themselves to great dangers and sufferings, in consequence, and for the sake of an extraordinary, and I think we may say, of a miraculous story of some kind or other; the next great question is, Whether the account, which our scriptures contain, be that story; that which these men delivered, and for which they acted and suffered as they did?

This

1

i

ve

be

cre

a d

ten

tia

COI

ftai

brie

wh

as

bear

litt

This question is, in effect, no other than, whether the story which Christians have now, be the story which Christians had then? and of this the following proofs may be deduced from general considerations, and from considerations prior to any enquiry into the particular reasons and testimonies by which the authority of our histories is supported.

In the first place, there exists no trace or vestige of any other story. It is not, like the death of Cyrus the Great, a competition between opposite accounts, or between the credit of different historians. There is not a document, or scrap of account, either contemporary with the commencement of Christianity, or extant within many ages after that commencement, which assigns a history substantially different from ours. The remote, brief, and incidental notices of the affair, which are found in heathen writers, so far as they do go, go along with us. They bear testimony to these facts; that the institution originated from Jesus; that the

1

3

19

ıt

ır

h

y

18

12

founder :

t

t

a

u

wi

no

ing

is

the

any

WO

the

mo

and

all I

the

who law thin

Luc

founder was put to death, as a malefactor, at Jerusalem, by the authority of the Roman governor, Pontius Pilate; that the religion nevertheless spread in that city, and throughout Judea; and that it was propagated from thence to distant countries; that the converts were numerous; that they fuffered great hardships and injuries for their profession; and that all this took place in the age of the world which our books have affigned. They go on further, to describe the manners of Christians in terms perfectly conformable to the accounts extant in our books; that they were wont to affemble on a certain day; that they tung hymns to Christ as to a god; that they bound themselves by an oath not to commit any crime, but to abstain from theft and adultery, to adhere strictly to their promises, and not to deny money deposited in their hands \*; that they worshipped him who was crucified in Palestine; that this, their first law-giver, had taught them

<sup>\*</sup> Vide Pliny's Letter. Bonnet, in his lively way of expressing himself, says—" Comparing Pliny's Letter with

them that they were all brethren; that they had a great contempt for the things of this world, and looked upon them as common; that they flew to one another's relief; that they cherished strong hopes of immortality; that they despised death, and surrendered themselves to sufferings \*." This is the account of writers who viewed the subject at a great distance; who were uninformed and uninterested about it. It bears the charac-

with the account in the Acts, it seems to me that I had not taken up another author, but that I was still reading the historian of that extraordinary society." This is strong; but there is undoubtedly an affinity, and all the affinity that could be expected.

\* "It is incredible what expedition they use when any of their friends are known to be in trouble. In a word, they spare nothing upon such an occasion—for these miserable men have no doubt they shall be immortal, and live for ever; therefore they contemn death, and many surrender themselves to sufferings. Moreover, their first law-giver has taught them that they are all brethren, when once they have turned and renounced the gods of the Greeks, and worship the master of theirs who was crucified, and engage to live according to his laws. They have also a sovereign contempt for all the things of this world, and look upon them as common." Lucian. de Morte Peregrini, t. i. p. 565. ed. Græv.

ıt

n

of

ter

th

13.

ters

ters of such an account upon the face of it, because it describes effects, namely, the appearance in the world of a new religion, and the conversion of great multitudes to it, without descending, in the smallest degree, to the detail of the transaction upon which it was founded, the interior of the institution, the evidence or arguments offered by those who drew over others to it. Yet still here is no contradiction of our story; no other or different story set up against it; but so far a confirmation of it, as that, in the general points upon which the heathen account touches, it agrees with that which we find in our own books.

The same may be observed of the very few Jewish writers, of that and the adjoining period, which have come down to us. Whatever they omit, or whatever difficulties we may find in explaining the omission, they advance no other history of the transaction than that which we acknowledge. Josephus, who wrote his Antiquities, or History of the Jews, about sixty years after the

17

com-

tl

n

m

bı

hi

fa

Jo

W

**fta** 

tha

be

WC

commencement of Christianity, in a passage generally admitted as genuine, makes mention of John under the name of John the Baptist; that he was a preacher of virtue; that he baptized his profelytes; that he was well received by the people; that he was imprisoned and put to death by Herod; and that Herod lived in a criminal cohabitation with Herodias, his brother's wife\*. In another passage, allowed by many, although not without confiderable question being moved about it, we hear of "James, the brother of him who was called Jesus, and of his being put to death †." In a third paffage, extant in every copy that remains of Josephus's history, but the authenticity of which has nevertheless been long disputed, we have an explicit testimony to the substance of our history in these words:-" At that time lived Jesus, a wise man, if he may be called a man, for he performed many wonderful works. He was a teacher of fuch

S

[-

2,

[-

q

<sup>\*</sup> Antiq. l. xviii. cap. v. fect. 1, 2.

<sup>†</sup> Antiq. l. xx. cap. ix. fect. 1.

men as received the truth with pleafure. He drew over to him many Jews and Gentiles. This was the Christ; and when Pilate, at the instigation of the chief men among us, had condemned him to the cross, they, who before had conceived an affection for him, did not cease to adhere to him; for on the third day he appeared to them alive again, the divine prophets having foretold these and many wonderful things concerning him. And the fect of the Christians, fo called from him, subsists to this time \*." Whatever become of the controversy concerning the genuineness of this passage; whether Josephus go the whole length of our history, which, if the passage be sincere, he does; or whether he proceed only a very little way with us, which, if the passage be rejected, we confess to be the case; still what we afferted is true, that he gives no other or different history of the subject from ours, no other or different account of the origin of the institution. And I think also

n b

tı

ir

P

h

<sup>\*</sup> Antiq. 1. xviii. cap. iii. fect. 3.

that it may with great reason be contended, either that the passage is genuine, or that the filence of Josephus was defigned. For, although we should lay aside the authority of our own books entirely, yet when Tacitus, who wrote not twenty, perhaps not ten, years after Josephus, in his account of a period in which Josephus was near thirty years of age, tells us, that a vast multitude of Christians were condemned at Rome; that they derived their denomination from Christ, who, in the reign of Tiberius, was put to death, as a criminal, by the procurator Pontius Pilate; that the superstition had spread not only over Judea, the fource of the evil, but had reached Rome also: -when Suetonius, an historian contemporary with Tacitus, relates, that, in the time of Claudius, the Jews were making disturbances at Rome, Chrestus being their leader; and that, during the reign of Nero, the Christians were punished; under both which emperors Jofephus lived: --- when Pliny, who wrote his celebrated epiftle not more than thirty years after the publication of Josephus's history,

history, found the Christians in such numbers in the province of Bithynia as to draw from him a complaint, that the contagion had feized cities, towns, and villages, and had fo feized them as to produce a general defertion of the public rites; and when, as hath already been observed, there is no reason for imagining that the Christians were more numerous in Bithynia than in many other parts of the Roman empire: it cannot, I should suppose, after this, be believed, that the religion, and the transaction upon which it was founded, were too obscure to engage the attention of Josephus, or to obtain a place in his history. Perhaps he did not know how to represent the business, and disposed of his difficulties by passing it over in filence. Eusebius wrote the life of Constantine, yet omits entirely the most remarkable circumstance in that life, the death of his fon Crispus; undoubtedly for the reason here given. The referve of Josephus upon the subject of Christianity appears also in his passing over the banishment of the Jews by Claudius, which Suetonius, we have feen,

8

p

k

pi

th

th

has recorded with an express reference to Christ. This is at least as remarkable as his silence about the infants of Bethlehem \*. Be, however, the fact, or the cause of the omission in Josephus †, what it may, no other or different history of the subject has been given by him, or is pretended to have been given.

# But farther; the whole series of Christian

\* Michaelis has computed, and, as it should seem, fairly enough, that probably not more than twenty children perished by this cruel precaution. Michael. Introd. to the N. Test. translated by Marsh, Vol. I. c. ii. sect. 11.

† There is no notice taken of Christianity in the Mishna, a collection of Jewish traditions compiled about the year 180, although it contains a Tract, "De cultu peregrino," of strange or idolatrous worship; yet it cannot be disputed but that Christianity was perfectly well known in the world at this time. There is extremely little notice of the subject in the Jerusalem Talmud, compiled about the year 300, and not much more in the Babylonish Talmud, of the year 500, although both these works are of a religious nature, and although, when the first was compiled, Christianity was upon the point of becoming the religion of the state, and, when the latter was published, had been so for 200 years.

writers,

writers, from the first age of the institution down to the present, in their discussions, apologies, arguments, and controversies, proceed upon the general story which our scriptures contain, and upon no other. The main facts, the principal agents, are alike in all. This argument will appear to be of great force, when it is known that we are able to trace back the series of writers to a contact with the historical books of the New Testament, and to the age of the first emissaries of the religion, and to deduce it, by an unbroken continuation, from that end of the train to the present.

The remaining letters of the apostles (and what more original than their letters can we have?), though written without the remotest design of transmitting the history of Christ, or of Christianity, to suture ages, or even of making it known to their contemporaries, incidentally disclose to us the following circumstances: "Christ's descent and family, his innocence, the meekness and gentleness of his character (a recognition which goes

to the whole gospel history), his exalted nature, his circumcision, transsiguration, his life of opposition and suffering, his patience and resignation, the appointment of the eucharist and the manner of it, his agony, his confession before Pontius Pilate, his stripes, crucifixion, burial, resurrection, his appearance after it, first to Peter, then to the rest of the apostles, his ascensioninto heaven, and his designation to be the future judge of mankind: the stated residence of the apostles at Jerusalem, the working of miracles by the first preachers of the gospel, who were also the hearers of Christ\*: the success-

ful

<sup>\*</sup> Heb. ii. 3. "How shall we escape if we neglect so great salvation, which, at the first, began to be spoken by the Lord, and was confirmed unto us by them that heard him, God also bearing them witness, both with signs and wonders, and with divers miracles, and gifts of the Holy Ghost?" I alledge this epistle without hesitation; for whatever doubts may have been raised about its author, there can be none concerning the age in which it was written. No epistle in the collection carries about it more indubitable marks of antiquity than this does. It speaks, for instance, throughout, of the temple as then standing, and of the worship of the temp

ful propagation of the religion, the perfecution of its followers, the miraculous converfion of Paul, miracles wrought by himself, and alledged in his controversies with his adversaries, and in letters to the persons amongst whom they were wrought; finally, that MIRACLES were the signs of an apostle\*."

In an epiftle bearing the name of Barnabas the companion of Paul, probably genuine, certainly belonging to that age, we have the fufferings of Christ, his choice of apostles and their number, his passion, the scarlet robe, the vinegar and gall, the mocking and piercing, the casting lots for his coat †, his

ple as then subsissing.—Heb. viii. 4. "For if he were on earth, he should not be a priest, seeing there are priests that offer according to the law."—Again, Heb. xiii. 10. "We have an altar whereof they have no right to eat which serve the tabernacle."

Arrest 77013 statist statis av

\* 2 Cor. xii. 12. "Truly, the signs of an apostle were wrought among you in all patience, in signs and wonders, and mighty deeds."

† Ep. Bar. c. vii.

refurrection

n

C

CC

th

W

G

of the week\*), and the commemorative distinction of that day, his manifestation after his resurrection, and lastly, his ascension. We have also his miracles generally but positively referred to in the following words: "finally teaching the people of Israel, and doing many wonders and signs among them, he preached to them, and shewed the exceeding great love which he bare towards them †."

In an epiftle of Clement, a hearer of St. Paul, although written for a purpose remotely connected with the Christian history, we have the resurrection of Christ, and the subsequent mission of the apostles, recorded in these satisfactory terms: "The apostles have preached to us from our Lord Jesus Christ from God—For having received their command, and being thoroughly assured by the resurrection of our Lord Jesus Christ, they went abroad, publishing that the kingdom of God was at hand ‡." We find noticed also,

<sup>\*</sup> Ep. Bar. c, vi. † Ibid c. v.

<sup>‡</sup> Ep. Clem. Rom. c. xlii.

the humility, yet the power of Christ\*, his descent from Abraham, his crucifixion. We have Peter and Paul represented as faithful and righteous pillars of the church, the numerous sufferings of Peter, the bonds, stripes, and stoning of Paul, and more particularly his extensive and unwearied travels.

In an epistle of Polycarp, a disciple of St. John, though only a brief hortatory letter, we have the humility, patience, sufferings, resurrection, and ascension of Christ, together with the apostolic character of St. Paul, distinctly recognized †. Of this same father we are also assured by Irenæus, that he (Irenæus) had heard him relate, "what he had received from eye-witnesses concerning the Lord, both concerning his miracles and his doctrine ‡."

In the remaining works of Ignatius, the contemporary of Polycarp, larger than those

h

fp

<sup>\*</sup> Ep. Clem. Rom. c. xvi.

<sup>+</sup> Pol. Ep. ad Phil. c. v. viii. ii. iii.

<sup>‡</sup> Ir. ad Flor. ap. Euf. l. v. c. 20.

of Polycarp (yet, like those of Polycarp, treating of subjects in no wife leading to any recital of the Christian history), the occasional allusions are proportionably more numerous. The descent of Christ from David, his mother Mary, his miraculous conception, the star at his birth, his baptism by John, the reason assigned for it, his appeal to the prophets, the cintment poured on his head, his fufferings under Pontius Pilate and Herod the tetrarch, his refurrection, the Lord's day called and kept in commemoration of it, and the Eucharist, in both its parts, are unequivocally referred to. Upon the refurrection this writer is even circumstantial. He mentions the apostles eating and drinking with Christ after he was risen, their feeling and their handling him; from which last circumstance Ignatius raises this just reflection -"They believed, being convinced both by his flesh and spirit; for this cause they defpifed death, and were found to be above it \*." , infinitely at solation on I'v

.g . Ad Smyr. c. iii,

VOL. I.

d

e

S

e

e

of

K Quadratus,

Quadratus, of the same age with Ignatius, has left us the following noble testimony:

—"The works of our Saviour were always conspicuous, for they were real; both they that were healed, and they that were raised from the dead: who were seen not only when they were healed or raised, but for a long time afterwards. Not only whilst he dwelled on this earth, but also after his departure, and for a good while after it, insomuch that some of them have reached to our times \*."

Justin Martyr came little more than thirty years after Quadratus. From Justin's works, which are still extant, might be collected a tolerably complete account of Christ's life, in all points agreeing with that which is delivered in our scriptures; taken indeed, in a great measure, from those scriptures, but still proving that this account, and no other, was the account known and extant in that age. The miracles in particular, which

form

0

n

ai

fe

CI

lec

tru

ma bee

<sup>\*</sup> Ap. Euf. H. E. l. iv. c. 3.

form the part of Christ's history most material to be traced, stand fully and distinctly recognized in the following passage:—"He healed those who had been blind, and deaf, and lame, from their birth, causing, by his word, one to leap, another to hear, and a third to see; and by raising the dead, and making them to live, he induced, by his works, the men of that age to know him\*."

It is unnecessary to carry these citations lower, because the history, after this time, occurs in ancient Christian writings as familiarly as it is wont to do in modern sermons; occurs always the same in substance, and always that which our evangelists represent.

This is not only true of those writings of Christians which are genuine, and of acknowledged authority, but it is, in a great measure, true of all their ancient writings which remain; although some of these may have been erroneously ascribed to authors to

K 2 whom

s,

e, e-

in

ut

er,

at

ch

rm

<sup>\*</sup> Just. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 288 ed. Thirl.

whom they did not belong, or may contain false accounts, or may appear to be undeferving of credit, or never indeed to have obtained any. Whatever fables they have mixed with the narrative, they preserve the material parts, the leading facts, as we have them; and, fo far as they do this, although they be evidence of nothing elfe, they are evidence that these points were fixed, were received and acknowledged by all Christians in the ages in which the books were written. At least it may be afferted, that, in the places where we were most likely to meet with such things, if fuch things had existed, no reliques appear of any story substantially different from the present, as the cause, or as the pretence, of the institution.

Now that the original story, the story delivered by the first preachers of the institution, should have died away so entirely as to have left no record or memorial of its existence, although so many records and memorials of the time and transaction remain; and that another story should have stepped into

its place, and gained exclusive possession of the belief of all who professed themselves disciples of the institution, is beyond any example of the corruption of even oral tradition, and still less consistent with the experience of written history; and this improbability, which is very great, is rendered still greater by the reflection, that no fuch change, as the oblivion of one story and the substitution of another, took place in any future period of the Christian æra. Christianity hath travelled through dark and turbulent ages; nevertheless it came out of the cloud and the storm, such, in substance, as it entered in. Many additions were made to the primitive history, and these entitled to different degrees of credit; many doctrinal errors also were from time to time grafted into the public creed, but still the original ftory remained, and remained the same. In all its principal parts it has been fixed from the beginning.

e

28

h

es

nt

e-

ry

u-

to

no-

nto

its

Thirdly, The religious rites and usages that prevailed amongst the early disciples of K 3 Christianity,

Christianity, were such as belonged to, and forung out of, the narrative now in our hands; which accordancy shews, that it was the narrative upon which these persons acted, and which they had received from their teachers. Our account makes the founder of the religion direct that his disciples should be baptized: we know that the first Christians were baptized. Our account makes him direct that they should hold religious affemblies: we find that they did hold religious affemblies. Our accounts make the apoftles assemble upon a stated day in the week: we find, and that from information perfectly independent of our accounts, that the Chriftians of the first century did observe stated days of affembling. Our histories record the institution of the rite which we call the Lord's Supper, and a command to repeat it in perpetual fuccession: we find, amongst the early Christians, the celebration of this rite universal. And indeed we find concurring in all the above-mentioned observances, Christian societies of many different nations and languages, removed from one another

by

h

tl

by tia

cor

diff

by great distance of place and dissimilitude of situation. It is also extremely material to remark, that there is no room for insurating that our books were fabricated with a studious accommodation to the usages which obtained at the time they were written; that the authors of the books found the usages established, and framed the story to account for their original. The scripture accounts, especially of the Lord's Supper, are too short and cursory, not to say too obscure, and, in this view, desicient, to allow a place for any such suspicion\*.

Amongst the proofs of the truth of our proposition, viz. that the story, which we have now, is, in substance, the story which the Christians had then, or, in other words, that the accounts in our gospels are, as to

Breches vicince. Chromimuch (18)

K4

S

r

their

<sup>\*</sup> The reader who is conversant in these researches, by comparing the short scripture accounts of the Christian rites above mentioned with the minute and circumstantial directions contained in the pretended apostolical constitutions, will see the force of this observation; the difference between truth and forgery.

their principal parts at least, the accounts which the apostles and original teachers of the religion delivered, one arises from obferving, that it appears by the gospels themfelves, that the story was public at the time; that the Christian community was already in possession of the substance and principal parts of the narrative. The gospels were not the original cause of the Christian history being believed, but were themselves among the consequences of that belief. This is expressly affirmed by St. Luke in his brief, but, as I think, very important and instructive preface. "Forasmuch (says the evangelist) as many have taken in hand to fet forth in order a declaration of those things which are most surely believed amongst us, even as they delivered them unto us, which, from the beginning, were eye-witnesses and ministers of the word; it seemed good to me alfo, having had perfect understanding of all things from the very first, to write unto thee in order, most excellent Theophilus, that thou mightest know the certainty of those things wherein thou hast been instructed."

This

£

y

al

re

**[-**

ęs

is

is

n-

he

to

se

gft

ch,

and

me

all

nee

hat

ofe

d."

his

This short introduction testifies, that the substance of the history, which the evangelist was about to write, was already believed by Christians; that it was believed upon the declarations of eye-witnesses and ministers of the word; that it formed the account of their religion, in which Christians were instructed; that the office which the historian proposed to himself, was to trace each particular to its origin, and to fix the certainty of many things which the reader had before heard of. In St. John's Gospel, the same point appears from hence, that there are some principal facts, to which the historian refers, but which he does not relate. A remarkable instance of this kind is the afcension, which is not mentioned by St. John in its place, at the conclusion of his history, but which is plainly referred to in the following words of the fixth chapter \*: " What and if ye shall fee the Son of man afcend up where he was before." And still more positively in the words which Christ, according to our evan-

<sup>\*</sup> Alfo John iii, 13. and xvi. 28.

gelist, spoke to Mary after his refurrection, "Touch me not, for I am not yet ascended to my Father; but go unto my brethren, and fay unto them, I afeend unto my Father and your Father, unto my God and your God ." This can only be accounted for by the fupposition, that St. John wrote under a sense of the notoriety of Christ's ascension, amongst thefe by whom his book was likely to be read. The fame account must also be given of St. Matthew's omission of the same important fact. The thing was very well known, and it did not occur to the historian, that it was necessary to add any particulars concerning it. It agrees also with this folution, and with no other, that neither Matthew nor John disposes of the person of our Lord in any manner whatever. Other intimations in St. John's Gospel of the then . general notoriety of the flory are the following: His manner of introducing his narrative, (ch. i. v. 15.) "John bare witness of him, and cried, faying," evidently presupposes

7

n

tl

pi

in

pı

ni

a

to

d

d

d

)-

of

ft

e

n

-

11

ì,

rs

1-|-

ır i-

n v-

of

es

at

that his readers knew who John was. His rapid parenthetical reference to John's imprisonment, " for John was not yet cast into prison \*," could only come from a writer whose mind was in the habit of considering John's imprisonment as perfectly notorious. The description of Andrew by the addition " Simon Peter's brother †," takes it for granted that Simon Peter was well known. His name had not been mentioned before. The evangelist's noticing ‡ the prevailing misconstruction of a discourse, which Christ held with the beloved disciple, proves that the characters and the discourse were already public. And the observation which these instances afford, is of equal validity for the purpose of the present argument, whoever were the authors of the histories.

THESE four circumstances, first, the recognition of the account in its principal parts by a series of succeeding writers; secondly, the total absence of any account of the origin of

<sup>\*</sup> John iii. 24. † Ibid. i. 40. ‡ Ibid. xxi. 24. the

the religion substantially different from ours; thirdly, the early and extensive prevalence of rites and institutions, which result from our account; fourthly, our account bearing, in its construction, proof that it is an account of facts, which were known and believed at the time; are sufficient, I conceive, to fupport an affurance, that the story, which we have now, is, in general, the story which Christians had at the beginning. general; by which term I mean, that it is the same in its texture, and in its principal For instance, I make no doubt, for the reasons above stated, but that the resurrection of the founder of the religion was always a part of the Christian story. can a doubt of this remain upon the mind of any one, who reflects that the refurrection is, in some form or other, afferted, referred to, or assumed, in every Christian writing, of every description, which hath come down to us.

f

n

tl

it

And if our evidence stopped here, we should have a strong case to offer: for we should have

rs;

ce

n.

ıg,

int

red

to

ich

in

18

pal

for

ur-

vas Vor

nd

on red

ng, me

L

uld uld

ave

have to alledge, that, in the reign of Tiberius Cæsar, a certain number of persons set about an attempt of establishing a new religion in the world; in the profecution of which purpose, they voluntarily encountered great dangers, undertook great labours, fustained great fufferings, all for a miraculous story which they published wherever they came; and that the refurrection of a dead man, whom, during his life, they had followed and accompanied, was a constant part of this ftory. I know nothing in the above statement which can, with any appearance of reason, be disputed: and I know nothing in the history of the human species similar to it.

al capitolità en diletti

CHAP.

# inode to another in addmin demons, the sind

sweets alledge, that, in the reign of Thereins

There is satisfactory evidence, that many persons, professing to have been original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

THAT the story which we have now is, in the main, the story which the apostles published, is, I think, nearly certain from the considerations which have been proposed. But whether, when we come to the particulars and the detail of the narrative, the historical books of the New Testament be deferving of credit as histories, so that a fact ought to be accounted true because it is found in them; or whether they are entitled to be considered as representing the accounts,

fe

### [ 143 ]

which, true or false, the apostles published; whether their authority, in either of these views, can be trusted to, is a point which necessarily depends upon what we know of the books, and of their authors.

Now, in treating of this part of our argument, the first, and a most material, observation upon the subject is, that, such was the fituation of the authors to whom the four gospels are ascribed, that, if any one of the four be genuine, it is fufficient for our purpose. The received author of the first was an original apostle and emissary of the religion. The received author of the fecond was an inhabitant of Jerusalem at the time, to whose house the apostles were wont to resort, and himself an attendant upon one of the most eminent of that number. The received author of the third was a stated companion and fellow traveller of the most active of all the teachers of the religion, and in the course of his travels frequently in the fociety of the original apostles. The received author of the fourth, as well as of the first,

#### [ 144 ]]

was one of these apostles. No stronger evidence of the truth of a history can arise from the fituation of the historian than what is here offered. The authors of all the histories lived at the time and upon the spot. The authors of two of the histories were present at many of the scenes which they describe; eye-witnesses of the facts, ear-witnesses of the discourses; writing from personal knowledge and recollection; and, what strengthens their testimony, writing upon a subject in which their minds were deeply engaged, and in which, as they must have been very frequently repeating the accounts to others, the passages of the history would be kept continually alive in their memory. Whoever reads the gospels (and they ought to be read for this particular purpose) will find in them not merely a general affirmation of miraculous powers, but detailed circumstantial accounts of miracles, with specifications of time, place, and persons; and these accounts many and various. In the Gospels, therefore, which bear the names of Mathew and ·John, these narratives, if they really proceeded

of

ceeded from these men, must either be true, as far as the fidelity of human recollection is usually to be depended upon, that is, must be true in substance, and in their principal parts (which is fufficient for the purpose of proving a supernatural agency), or they must be wilful and meditated falsehoods. Yet the writers who fabricated and uttered these falsehoods, if they be such, are of the number of those who, unless the whole contexture of the Christian story be a dream, facrificed their ease and safety in the cause, and for a purpose the most inconsistent that is possible with dishonest intentions. They were villains for no end but to teach honesty, and martyrs without the least profpect of honour or advantage.

The gospels which bear the name of Mark and Luke, although not the narratives of eye-witnesses, are, if genuine, removed from that only by one degree. They are the narratives of contemporary writers, of writers themselves mixing with the business, one of the two probably living in the place Vol. I. L which

f

ts

d

0-

ed

which was the principal scene of action, both living in habits of fociety and correspondence with those who had been present at the transactions which they relate. The latter of them accordingly tells us (and with apparent fincerity, because he tells it without pretending to personal knowledge, and without claiming for his work greater authority than belonged to it), that the things, which believed amongst Christians, came from those who from the beginning were eye-witnesses and ministers of the word; that he had traced up accounts to their fource; and that he was prepared to instruct his reader in the certainty of the things which he related\*. Very few histories lie so close to their facts; very few historians are so nearly connected with the subject of their narrative,

or

p

W

cu

ve

the

the

ed,

fyf

WI

tha

<sup>\*</sup> Why should not the candid and modest preface of this historian be believed as well as that which Dion Cassius prefixes to his Life of Commodus? "These things and the following I write not from the report of others, but from my own knowledge and observation." I see no reason to doubt but that both passages describe truly enough the situation of the authors.

## [ 147 ]

or possess such means of authentic information, as these.

t

e

e

e;

is

h

to

ly

e,

of

ion refe

t of

n."

ribe

or

The fituation of the writers applies to the truth of the facts which they record. But at present we use their testimony to a point somewhat short of this, namely, that the facts recorded in the gospels, whether true or false, are the facts, and the fort of facts, which the original preachers of the religion alledged. Strictly speaking, I am concerned only to shew, that what the gofpels contain is the fame as what the apostles preached. Now how stands the proof of this point? A fet of men went about the world publishing a story composed of miraculous accounts (for miraculous from the very nature and exigency of the case they must have been), and, upon the strength of these accounts, called upon mankind to quit the religions in which they had been educated, and to take up, from thenceforth, a new fystem of opinions, and new rules of action. What is more, in attestation of these accounts, that is, in support of an institution of which L 2 thefe

these accounts were the foundation, the same men voluntarily exposed themselves to haraffing and perpetual labours, dangers, and We want to know what thefe fufferings. accounts were. We have the particulars, i. e. many particulars, from two of their own We have them from an attendant of one of the number, and who there is reafon to believe was an inhabitant of Jerusalem at the time. We have them from a fourth writer, who accompanied the most laborious missionary of the institution in his travels; who, in the course of these travels, was frequently brought into the fociety of the rest; and who, let it be observed, begins his narrative by telling us, that he is about to relate the things which had been delivered by those who were ministers of the word and eve-witnesses of the fact. I do not know what information can be more fatisfactory We may, perhaps, perceive the than this. force and value of it more fenfibly, if we reflect how requiring we should have been if we had wanted it. Supposing it to be fufficiently proved, that the religion, now professed

a

te

ha

W

cu

tef

kn

tef

cul fro

Wit

n

it

1-

n

h

us

3;

eł;

a-

ate

by

nd

WC

ory

the

we

een

be

ow

ffed

professed amongst us, owed its original to the preaching and ministry of a number of men, who, about eighteen centuries ago, fet forth in the world a new system of religious opinions, founded upon certain extraordinary things which they related of a wonderful person who had appeared in Judea: suppose it to be also sufficiently proved, that, in the course and prosecution of their miniflry, these men had subjected themselves to extreme hardships, fatigue, and peril; but suppose the accounts which they published had not been committed to writing till fome ages after their times, or at least that no histories, but what had been composed some ages afterwards, had reached our hands; we should have faid, and with reason, that we were willing to believe these men under the circumstances in which they delivered their testimony, but that we did not, at this day, know with fufficient evidence what their testimony was. Had we received the particulars of it from any of their own number, from any of those who lived and conversed with them, from any of their hearers, or even L 3 from

from any of their contemporaries, we should have had something to rely upon. Now, if our books be genuine, we have all these. We have the very species of information which, as it appears to me, our imagination would have carved out for us, if it had been wanting.

But I have faid, that, if any one of the four gospels be genuine, we have not only direct historical testimony to the point we contend for, but testimony which, so far as that point is concerned, cannot reasonably be rejected. If the first gospel was really written by Matthew, we have the narrative of one of the number from which to judge what were the miracles, and the kind of miracles, which the apostles attributed to Jesus. Although, for argument's sake, and only for argument's fake, we should allow that this gospel had been erroneously ascribed to Matthew, yet if the gospel of St. John be genuine, the observation holds with no less strength. Again, although the gospels both of Matthew and John could be supposed to be spurious, yet, if the gospel of St. Luke

n

of

pr

ho

ne

fic

ed

fig

ent

Luke was truly the composition of that person, or of any person, be his name what it might, who was actually in the situation in which the author of that gospel professes himself to have been; or if the gospel which bears the name of Mark really proceeded from him; we still, even upon the lowest supposition, possess the accounts of one writer at least, who was not only contemporary with the apostles, but associated with them in their ministry; which authority seems sufficient, when the question is simply what it was which these apostles advanced.

y

y

e

of

to

id

W

i-

hn

no

els

p-

St.

ke

I think it material to have this well noticed. The New Testament contains a great number of distinct writings, the genuineness of any one of which is almost sufficient to prove the truth of the religion: it contains, however, four distinct histories, the genuineness of any one of which is perfectly sufficient. If, therefore, we must be considered as encountering the risk of error in affigning the authors of our books, we are entitled to the advantage of so many separate

rate probabilities. And although it should appear that some of the evangelists had seen and used each other's works, this discovery, whilst it subtracts indeed from their character as testimonies strictly independent, diminishes, I conceive, little, either their separate authority, by which I mean the authority of any one that is genuine, or their mutual confirmation. For let the most disadvantageous supposition possible be made concerning them; let it be allowed, what I should have no great difficulty in admitting, that Mark compiled his history almost entirely from those of Matthew and Luke; and let it also, for a moment, be supposed that these histories were not, in fact, written by Matthew and Luke; yet if it be true that Mark, a contemporary of the apostles, living in habits of fociety with the apostles, a fellow-traveller and fellow-labourer with fome of them; if, I fay, it be true that this person made the compilation, it follows, that the writings from which he made it existed in the times of the apostles, and not only so, but that they were then in fuch esteem and credit

credit that a companion of the apostles formed a history out of them. Let the gospel of Mark be called an epitome of that of Matthew; if a person, in the situation in which Mark is described to have been, actually made the epitome, it affords the strongest possible attestation to the character of the original.

Again, parallelisms in sentences, in words, and in the order of words, have been traced out between the gospet of Matthew and that of Luke; which concurrence cannot eafily be explained otherwise than by suppoling, either that Luke had confulted Matthew's history, or, what appears to me in no wife incredible, that minutes of some of Christ's discourses, as well as brief memoirs of some passages of his life, had been committed to writing at the time, and that fuch written accounts had by both authors been occasionally admitted into their histories. Either supposition is perfectly confistent with the acknowledged formation of St. Luke's narrative, who professes not to write as an eye-witness, but to have investigated

1

d

d

gated the original of every account which he delivers; in other words, to have collected them from fuch documents and teftimonies, as he, who had the best opportunities of making enquiries, judged to be Therefore, allowing that this authentic. writer also, in some instances, borrowed from the gospel which we call Matthew's, and once more allowing, for the fake of stating the argument, that that gospel was not the production of the author to whom we ascribe it, yet still we have, in St. Luke's gospel, a history given by a writer immediately connected with the transaction, with the witnesses of it, with the persons engaged in it, and composed from materials which that person, thus situated, deemed to be safe fources of intelligence: in other words, whatever supposition be made concerning any or all the other gospels, if St. Luke's gospel be genuine, we have in it a credible evidence of the point which we maintain.

The gospel according to St. John appears to be, and is on all hands allowed to be, an indeindependent testimony, strictly and properly so called. Notwithstanding, therefore, any connection, or supposed connection, between some of the gospels, I again repeat, what I before said, that, if any one of the four be genuine, we have in that one, strong reason from the character and situation of the writer to believe, that we possess the accounts which the original emissaries of the religion delivered.

II. In treating of the written evidences of Christianity, next to their separate, we are to consider their aggregate authority. Now there is in the evangelic history a cumulation of testimony which belongs hardly to any other history, but which our habitual mode of reading the scriptures sometimes causes us to overlook. When a passage, in any wise relating to the history of Christ, is read to us out of the epistle of Clemens Romanus, the epistles of Ignatius, of Polycarp, or from any other writing of that age, we are immediately sensible of the confirmation which it affords to the scripture account.

Here is a new witness. Now if we had been accustomed to read the gospel of Matthew alone, and had known that of Luke only as the generality of Christians know the writings of the apostolical fathers, that is, had known that fuch a writing was extant and acknowledged; when we came, for the first time, to look into what it contained, and found many of the facts which Matthew recorded, recorded also there, many other facts of a fimilar nature added, and throughout the whole work the same general series of transactions stated, and the same general character of the person who was the subject of the history preserved, I apprehend that we should feel our minds strongly impressed by this discovery of fresh evidence. We should feel a renewal of the same sentiment in first reading the gospel of St. John. That of Mark perhaps would ftrike us as an abridgement of the history with which we were already acquainted; but we should naturally reflect, that if that history was abridged by fuch a person as Mark, or by any person of so early an age, it afforded one

of the highest possible attestations to the value of the work. This fuccessive disclosure of proof would leave us affured, that there must have been at least some reality in a ftory which, not one, but many, had taken in hand to commit to writing. The very existence of four separate histories would satisfy us that the subject had a foundation; and when, amidst the variety which the different information of the different writers had supplied to their accounts, or which their different choice and judgement in selecting their materials had produced, we observed many facts to stand the same in all; of thefe facts, at least, we should conclude, that they were fixed in their credit and publicity. If, after this, we should come to the knowledge of a distinct history, and that also of the same age with the rest, taking up the fubject where the others had left it, and carrying on a narrative of the effects produced in the world by the extraordinary causes of which we had already been informed, and which effects subfift at this day, we should think the reality of the original story in no little.

little degree established by this supplement. If fubfequent enquiries should bring to our knowledge, one after another, letters written by some of the principal agents in the bufiness, upon the bufiness, and during the time of their activity and concern in it, affuming all along and recognizing the original story, agitating the questions that arose out of it, preffing the obligations which refulted from it, giving advice and directions to those who acted upon it, I conceive that we should find, in every one of these, a still further support to the conclusion we had formed. At present the weight of this fuccessive confirmation is, in a great measure, unperceived by us. The evidence does not appear to us what it is; for, being from our infancy accustomed to regard the New Teftament as one book, we fee in it only one The whole occurs to us as a testimony. fingle evidence; and its different parts, not as distinct attestations, but as different portions only of the same. Yet in this conception of the subject we are certainly mistaken; for the very difcrepancies amongst the

the feveral documents which form our volume, prove, if all other proof was wanting, that in their original composition they were feparate, and most of them independent productions.

If we dispose our ideas in a different order, the matter stands thus:—Whilst the transaction was recent, and the original witnesses were at hand to relate it; and whilst the apostles were busied in preaching and travelling, in collecting disciples, in forming and regulating societies of converts, in supporting themselves against opposition; whilst they exercised their ministry under the harassings of frequent persecution, and in a state of almost continual alarm, it is not probable that, in this engaged, anxious, and unsettled condition of life, they would think immediately of writing histories for the information of the public or of posterity.

\* This thought occurred to Eufebius—" Nor were the apostles of Christ greatly concerned about the writing of books, being engaged in a more excellent mini-

ftry,

But it is very probable, that emergencies might draw from some of them, occasional letters upon the subject of their mission, to converts, or to societies of converts, with which they were connected; or that they might address written discourses and exhortations to the disciples of the institution at large, which would be received and read with a respect proportioned to the character of the writer. Accounts in the mean time would get abroad, of the extraordinary things that had been paffing, written with different degrees of information and correctness. The extension of the Christian society, which could no longer be inftructed by a personal intercourse with the apostles, and the posfible circulation of imperfect or erroneous narratives, would foon teach fome amongst them the expediency of fending forth authentic memoirs of the life and doctrine of their mafter. When accounts appeared, au-

ftry, which is above all human power." Eccles. Hist. 1. iii. c. 24. The same consideration accounts also for the paucity of Christian writings in the first century of its zera.

thorized

fi

d

W

n

ti

ga

thorized by the name, and credit, and fituation of the writers, recommended or recognized by the apostles and first preachers of the religion, or found to coincide with what the apostles and first preachers of the religion had taught, other accounts would fall into disuse and neglect; whilst these, maintaining their reputation (as, if genuine and well founded, they would do) under the test of time, enquiry, and contradiction, might be expected to make their way into the hands of Christians of all countries of the world.

t

1

t e

h

1

15

f

-

f

-

ft.

or

of.

d

This seems the natural progress of the business; and with this the records in our possession, and the evidence concerning them,
correspond. We have remaining, in the
sirst place, many letters of the kind above
described, which have been preserved with a
care and sidelity answering to the respect
with which we may suppose that such letters
would be received. But as these letters were
not written to prove the truth of the Christian religion, in the sense in which we regard that question; nor to convey informaVol. I.

tion of facts, of which those to whom the letters were written had been previously informed: we are not to look in them for any thing more than incidental allusions to the Christian history. We are able, however, to gather from these documents various particular atteftations which have been already enumerated; and this is a species of written evidence, as far as it goes, in the highest degree fatisfactory, and in point of time perhaps the first. But for our more circumstantial information we have, in the next place, five direct bistories, bearing the names of persons acquainted, by their fituation, with the truth of what they relate, and three of them purporting, in the very body of the narrative, to be written by fuch persons: of which books we know that some were in the hands of those who were contemporaries of the apostles, and that, in the age immediately posterior to that, they were in the hands, we may fay, of every one, and received by Christians with so much respect and deference, as to be constantly quoted and referred to by them without any doubt of the truth

W

truth of their accounts. They were treated as fuch histories, proceeding from fuch authorities, might expect to be treated. In the preface to one of our histories we have intimations left us of the existence of some ancient accounts which are now loft. There is nothing in this circumstance that can furprife us. It was to be expected from the magnitude and novelty of the occasion, that fuch accounts would fwarm. When better accounts came forth, these died away. Our present histories superfeded others. They foon acquired a character and established a reputation which does not appear to have belonged to any other: that, at least, can be proved concerning them, which cannot be proved concerning any other.

e

0

e e

h

-

e,

h

els

ne

ly

ls,

e-

rhe

th

But to return to the point which led to these resections. By considering our records in either of the two views in which we have represented them, we shall perceive that we possess a collection of proofs, and not a naked or solitary testimony; and that the written evidence is of such a kind, and comes

M 2

to us in such a state, as the natural order and progress of things, in the infancy of the institution, might be expected to produce.

Thirdly; The genuineness of the historical books of the New Testament is undoubtedly a point of importance, because the strength of their evidence is augmented by our knowledge of the fituation of their authors, their relation to the subject, and the part which they fustained in the transaction; and the testimonies which we are able to produce, compose a firm ground of persuafion that the gospels were written by the perfons whose names they bear. Nevertheless, I must be allowed to state, that to the argument which I am endeavouring to maintain, this point is not effential; I mean, fo effential as that the fate of the argument depends upon it. The question before us is, whether the gospels exhibit the story which the apostles and first emissaries of the religion published, and for which they acted and fuffered in the manner in which, for fome miraculous story or other, they did act and fuffer.

fuffer. Now let us suppose that we possessed no other information concerning these books than that they were written by early disciples of Christianity; that they were known and read during the time, or near the time, of the original apostles of the religion; that by Christians whom the apostles instructed, by focieties of Christians which the apostles founded, these books were received (by which term "received" I mean that they were believed to contain authentic accounts of the transaction upon which the religion rested, and accounts which were accordingly used, repeated, and relied upon), this reception would be a valid proof that these books, whoever were the authors of them, must have accorded with what the apostles taught, A reception by the first race of Christians is evidence that they agreed with what the first. teachers of the religion delivered. In particular, if they had not agreed with what the apostles themselves preached, how could they have gained credit in churches and focieties which the apostles established?

e

y

1-

C

15

O

a-

r-

s,

u-

n-

fo

e-

is,

ch

on

nd

me nd

fer.

M 3

Now

Now the fact of their early existence, and not only of their existence but their reputation, is made out by fome ancient testimonies which do not happen to fpecify the names of the writers: add to which, what hath been already hinted, that two out of the four gospels contain averments in the body of the history, which, though they do not disclose the names, fix the time and fituation of the authors, viz. that one was written by an eye-witness of the fufferings of Christ, the other by a contemporary of the apostles. In the gospel of St. John, (xix. 35.) after describing the crucifixion, with the particular circumstance of piercing Christ's side with a spear, the historian adds, as for himself, " and he that saw it bear record, and his record is true, and he knoweth that he faith true, that ye might believe." Again, (xxi, 24.) after relating a conversation which paffed between Peter and the disciple, as it is there expressed, whom Jesus loved, it is added, "this is the disciple which testifieth of these things and wrote these things." This testimony, let it be remark-

ed, is not the less worthy of regard, because it is in one view imperfect. The name is not mentioned; which, if a fraudulent purpose had been intended, would have been done. The third of our present gospels purports to have been written by the person who wrote the Acts of the Apostles; in which latter history, or rather latter part of the fame history, the author, by using in various places the first person plural, declares himself to have been a contemporary of all, and a companion of one of the original preachers of the religion.

WOT dayer line, therefore, what excluses

due to the eve selle. history and out or said

a substant of a single mails. In one was what circle is due to the guilsely even life

ching nothing to be known aconcerning. ghem but the root were written by early du-

- and the base of the conjugation of the relation

some grade discillation of the grant

what there are goldened for what the

make the contract of

)-

le

at

of

e

0

IS

f

1,

h

-

e

M 4 CHAP.

#### CHAP. IX.

There is satisfactory evidence, that many persons, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct,

" Of the Authenticity of the Scriptures."

NOT forgetting, therefore, what credit is due to the evangelic history, supposing even any one of the four gospels to be genuine; what credit is due to the gospels, even supposing nothing to be known concerning them but that they were written by early disciples of the religion, and received with deference by early Christian churches; more especially not forgetting what credit is due to the New Testament in its capacity of cumulative evidence; we now proceed to state

state the proper and distinct proofs, which show not only the general value of these records, but their specific authority, and the high probability there is that they actually came from the persons whose names they bear.

There are, however, a few preliminary reflections, by which we may draw up with more regularity to the propositions, upon which the close and particular discussion of the subject depends. Of which nature are the following:

y

C

8

e

I. We are able to produce a great number of ancient manuscripts, found in many different countries, and in countries widely distant from each other, all of them anterior to the art of printing, some certainly seven or eight hundred years old, and some which have been preserved probably above a thousand years \*. We have also many ancient

<sup>\*</sup> The Alexandrian manuscript, now in the British Museum, was written probably in the fourth or fifth century.

versions of these books, and some of them into languages which are not at prefent, nor for many ages have been, spoken in any part of the world. The existence of these manuscripts and versions proves that the scriptures were not the production of any modern contrivance. It does away also the uncertainty which hangs over fuch publications as the works, real or pretended, of Offian and Rowley, in which the editors are challenged to produce their manuscripts, and to show where they obtained their copies. The number of manuscripts, far exceeding those of any other book, and their wide dispersion, affords an argument, in some meafure, to the fenses, that the scriptures, anciently, in like manner as at this day, were more read and fought after than any other books, and that also in many different countries. The greatest part of spurious Christian writings are utterly loft, the rest preferved by fome fingle manuscript. There is weight also in Dr. Bentley's observation, that the New Testament has suffered less injury by the errors of transcribers than the works

n

r

y

e

y.

e a-

of

re

d

S.

g

a-

re

er n-

1-

eis

n,

ess he

ks

works of any profane author of the fame fize and antiquity; that is, there never was any writing in the preservation and purity of which the world was so interested or so careful.

II. An argument of great weight with those who are judges of the proofs upon which it is founded, and capable, through their teftimony, of being addressed to every understanding, is that which arises from the style and language of the New Testament. It is just such a language as might be expected from the apostles, from persons of their age and in their fituation, and from no other It is the style neither of classic authors, nor of the ancient Christian fathers, but Greek coming from men of Hebrew origin; abounding, that is, with Hebraic and Syriac idioms, fuch as would naturally be found in the writings of men who used a language spoken indeed where they lived, but not the common dialect of the country. This happy peculiarity is a strong proof of the genuineness of these writings; for who fhould

should forgethem? The Christian fathers were for the most part totally ignorant of Hebrew, and therefore were not likely to infert Hebraisms and Syriasms into their writings. The few who had a knowledge of the Hebrew, as Justin Martyr, Origen, and Epiphanius, wrote in a language which bears no resemblance to that of the New Testament. The Nazarenes, who understood Hebrew, used chiefly, perhaps almost entirely, the gospel of St. Matthew, and therefore cannot be suspected of forging the rest of the sacred writings. The argument, at any rate, proves the antiquity of these books; that they belonged to the age of the apostles; that they could be composed indeed in no other \*.

III. Why should we question the genuineness of these books? Is it for that they contain accounts of supernatural events? I apprehend that this, at the bottom, is the

<sup>\*</sup> See this argument stated more at large in Michaelis's Introduction (Marsh's translation), vol. I. c. ii. fec. 10. from which these observations are taken.

# [ 173 ]

re

v,

rt

s.

e-

i-

10

it.

N,

ne

ot

be

es

e-

y

c-

n-

I

10

Mi.

ij.

al,

real, though fecret, cause of our hesitation about them; for had the writings inscribed with the names of Matthew and John related nothing but ordinary history, there would have been no more doubt whether thefe writings were theirs, than there is concerning the acknowledged works of Josephus or Philo, that is, there would have been no doubt at all. Now it ought to be confidered that this reason, however it may apply to the credit which is given to a writer's judgment or veracity, affects the question of genuineness very indirectly. The works of Bede exhibit many wonderful relations; but who for that reason doubts that they were written by Bede? The same of a multitude To which may be added, of other authors. that we ask no more for our books than what we allow to other books in some fort similar to ours. We do not deny the genuineness of the Koran. We admit that the history of Apollonius Tyanæus, purporting to be written by Philostratus, was really written by Philostratus.

## [ 174 ]

IV. If it had been an eafy thing in the early times of the inftitution to have forged Christian writings, and to have obtained currency and reception to the forgeries, we should have had many appearing in the name of Christ himself. No writings would have been received with fo much avidity and refpect as these; consequently none afforded so great temptation to forgery. Yet have we heard but of one attempt of this fort deferving of the finallest notice, that in a piece of a very few lines, and fo far from fucceeding, I mean, from obtaining acceptance and reputation, or an acceptance and reputation in any wife fimilar to that which can be proved to have attended the books of the New Testament, that it is not fo much as mentioned by any writer of the three first centuries. The learned reader need not be informed that I mean the epiftle of Christ to Abgarus, king of Edessa, found at present in the work of Eufebius\*, as a piece acknowledged by him, though not without confiderable doubt whether the whole paffage

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. Eccl. 1. i. c. 15.

be not an interpolation, as it is most certain, that, after the publication of Eusebius's work, this epistle was universally rejected \*.

V. If the ascription of the gospels to their respective authors had been arbitrary or conjectural, they would have been ascribed to more eminent men. This observation holds concerning the three first gospels, the reputed authors of which were enabled, by their situation, to obtain true intelligence, and were likely to deliver an honest account of

\* Augustin, A. D. 395, (De Consens. Evang. c. 34.) had heard that the Pagans pretended to be possessed of an epistle from Christ to Peter and Paul, but he had never seen it, and appears to doubt of the existence of any such piece, either genuine or spurious. No other ancient writer mentions it. He also, and he alone, notices, and that in order to condemn it, an epistle ascribed to Christ by the Manichees, A. D. 270, and a short hymn attributed to him by the Priscillianists, A. D. 378, (cont. Faust. Man. lib. xxviii. c. 4.) The lateness of the writer who notices these things, the manner in which he notices them, and, above all, the silence of every preceding writer, render them unworthy of consideration.

6

ne

ed

rve

ne

ve

e-

fo

ve

V-

of d-

nd

nc

be

he

as

rst

be

ift

nt

ac-

ut

ge

be

what

what they knew; but were persons not diftinguished in the history by extraordinary marks of notice or commendation. Of the apostles, I hardly know any one of whom less is said than of Matthew; or of whom the little that is faid, is lefs calculated to magnify his character. Of Mark nothing is faid in the Gospels; and what is said of any perfon of that name in the Acts, and in the Epistles, in no part bestows praise or eminence upon him. The name of Luke is mentioned only in St. Paul's Epistles \*, and that very transiently. The judgement, therefore, which affigned these writings to these authors, proceeded, it may be prefumed, upon proper knowledge and evidence, and not upon a voluntary choice of names.

VI. Christian writers and Christian churches appear to have soon arrived at a very general agreement upon the subject, and that without the interposition of any public authority. When the diversity of opinion, which prevailed and prevails among Chris-

f

n

d

ev

de

<sup>\*</sup> Col. iv. 14. 2 Tim. iv. 11. Philem. 24.

1

y

ne

m

he

ġ-

id

-15

he

ni-

is

nd

re-

ele

ed,

nd

ian

it a

and

blic

on,

rif-

ans

tians in other points, is considered, their concurrence in the canon of scripture is remarkable, and of great weight, especially as it feems to have been the refult of private and. free enquiry. We have no knowledge of any interference of authority in the question before the council of Laodicea in the year 363. Probably the decree of this council rather declared than regulated the public judgement, or, more properly speaking, the judgement of some neighbouring churches; the council itself confisting of no more than thirty or forty bishops of Lydia and the adjoining countries \*. Nor does its authority feem to have extended farther; for we find numerous Christian writers, after this time, discussing the question, "what books were entitled to be received as scripture," with great freedom, upon proper grounds of evidence, and without any reference to the decision at Laodicea.

oferger Setroffer in which

Vol. I. N THESE

der do not Date the third with wiredir.

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner's Cred. vol. viii. p. 291 et feq.

THESE confiderations are not to be neglected: but of an argument concerning the genuineness of ancient writings, the substance undoubtedly and strength is ancient testimony.

This testimony it is necessary to exhibit somewhat in detail; for when Christian advocates merely tell us, that we have the same reason for believing the Gospels to be written by the evangelists, whose names they bear, as we have for believing the Commentaries to be Cesar's, the Æneid Virgil's, or the Orations Cicero's, they content themselves with an imperfect representation. They state nothing more than what is true, but they do not state the truth correctly. In the number, variety, and early date of our testimonies,

testimonies, we far exceed all other ancient books. For one, which the most celebrated work of the most celebrated Greek or Roman writer can alledge, we produce many. But then it is more requisite in our books, than in theirs, to separate and distinguish them from spurious competitors. The result, I am convinced, will be satisfactory to every fair enquirer; but this circumstance renders an enquiry necessary.

le-

he

nce

Ai-

ibit

VO-

me

ear,

ries

the

lves

hey

but

In

our

nies,

In a work, however, like the present, there is a difficulty in finding a place for evidence of this kind. To pursue the detail of proofs throughout, would be to transcribe a great part of Dr. Lardner's eleven octavo volumes; to leave the argument without proofs, is to leave it without effect; for the persuasion produced by this species of evidence depends upon a view and induction of the particulars which compose it.

The method which I propose to myself is, first, to place before the reader, in one view, the propositions which comprise the N 2 several

feveral heads of our testimony, and afterwards, to repeat the same propositions in so many distinct sections, with the necessary authorities subjoined to each \*.

The following, then, are the allegations upon the subject, which are capable of being established by proof:

I. That the historical books of the New Testament, meaning thereby the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, are quoted, or alluded to, by a series of Christian writers, beginning with those who were contemporary with the apostles, or who immediately followed them, and proceeding in close and regular succession from their time to the present.

II That when they are quoted, or alluded to, they are quoted or alluded to with peculiar respect, as books *sui generis*; as pos-

feffing

F

e

u

di

fic

tia

We

bo

tho

<sup>\*</sup> The reader, when he has the propositions before him, will observe that the argument, if he should omit the sections, proceeds connectedly from this point.

fessing an authority which belonged to no other books, and as conclusive in all queftions and controversies amongst Christians.

III. That they were, in very early times, collected into a diffinct volume.

without doubt by thould w

IV. That they were diftinguished by appropriate names and titles of respect.

stroidal & moral ameropas sold price

V. That they were publicly read and expounded in the religious assemblies of the early Christians.

VI. That commentaries were written upon them, harmonies formed out of them, different copies carefully collated, and verfions of them made into different languages.

VII. That they were received by Chriftians of different fects, by many heretics as well as catholics, and usually appealed to by both fides in the controversies which arose in those days.

VIII. That the four Gospels, the Acts of the Apostles, thirteen Epistles of St. Paul, the first Epistle of John, and the first of Peter, were received, without doubt, by those who doubted concerning the other books which are included in our present canon.

IX. That the Gospels were attacked by the early adversaries of Christianity, as books containing the accounts upon which the religion was founded.

X. That formal catalogues of authentic fcriptures were published; in all which our present sacred histories were included.

XI. That these propositions cannot be affirmed of any other books, claiming to be books of scripture; by which are meant those books, which are commonly called apocryphal books of the New Testament.

ti

a

0

L

ti

ry

d could be in hearing an accordance to the country

Lineaustalimals a swings to

ons reculosti dolda kuraseljana seli

#### SECT. I. mad linearen

The historical books of the New Testament, meaning thereby the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, are quoted, or alluded to, by a series of Christian writers, beginning with those who were contemporary with the Apostles, or who immediately followed them, and proceeding in close and regular succession from their time to the present.

THE medium of proof stated in this proposition is, of all others, the most unquestionable, the least liable to any practices of fraud, and is not diminished by the lapse of ages. Bishop Burnet, in the History of his own Times, inserts various extracts from Lord Clarendon's History. One such insertion is a proof, that Lord Clarendon's History was extant at the time when Bishop Burnet wrote, that it had been read by Bishop N 4 Burnet,

Burnet, that it was received by Bishop Burnet as a work of Lord Clarendon's, and also regarded by him as an authentic account of the transactions which it relates; and it will be a proof of these points a thousand years hence, or as long as the books exist. Quintilian having quoted as Cicero's\*, that well-known trait of dissembled vanity,

"Si quid est in me ingenii, Judices, quod sentio quam sit exiguum—"

the quotation would be strong evidence, were there any doubt, that the oration, which opens with this address, actually came from Cicero's pen. These instances, however simple, may serve to point out to a reader, who is little accustomed to such researches, the nature and value of the argument,

The testimonies which we have to bring forward under this proposition are the following:

I. There is extant an epiftle ascribed to

\* Quint. lib. xi. c. 1.

Barnabas,

16

tl

n

T

tl

W

TI

m: fro

ra

Barnabas\*, the companion of Paul. It is quoted as the Epifile of Barnabas by Clement of Alexandria, A. D. 194; by Origen, A. D. 230. It is mentioned by Eusebius, A. D. 315, and by Jerome, A. D. 392, as an ancient work in their time, bearing the name of Barnabas, and as well known and read amongst Christians, though not accounted a part of Scripture. It purports to have been written soon after the destruction of Jerusalem, during the calamities which followed that disaster; and it bears the character of the age to which it professes to belong.

In this epiftle appears the following remarkable passage:—" Let us, therefore, beware lest it come upon us, as it is written, There are many called, few chosen." From the expression, "as it is written," we infer with certainty, that, at the time when the

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner's Cred. ed. 1755, vol. i. p. 23 et seq. The reader will observe from the references, that the materials of these sections are almost entirely extracted from Dr. Lardner's work—my office consisted in arrangement and selection.

author of this epistle lived, there was a book extant, well known to Christians, and of authority amongst them, containing these words-" Many are called, few chosen." Such a book is our present Gospel of St. Matthew, in which this text is twice found\*. and is found in no other book now known. There is a farther observation to be made upon the terms of the quotation. writer of the epiftle was a Jew. The phrase "it is written" was the very form in which the Jews quoted their scriptures. It is not probable, therefore, that he would have used this phrase, and without qualification, of any books but what had acquired a kind of scriptural authority. If the passage remarked in this ancient writing had been found in one of St. Paul's epiftles, it would have been efteemed by every one a high testimony to St. Matthew's gospel. It ought, therefore, to be remembered, that the writing in which it is found was probably by very few years posterior to those of St. Paul.

17

0

C

g

n

te

ai

p

S

al

na

er

e

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. xx. 16. xxii. 14.

Beside this passage, there are also in the epistle before us several others, in which the sentiment is the same with what we meet with in St. Matthew's gospel, and two or three in which we recognize the same words. In particular, the author of the epistle repeats the precept, "Give to every one that asketh thee \*," and saith that Christ chose as his apostles, who were to preach the gospel, men who were great sinners, that he might shew that he came " not to call the righteous, but sinners, to repentance †."

II. We are in possession of an epistle written by Clement, Bishop of Rome ‡, whom ancient writers, without any doubt or scruple, affert to have been the Clement whom St. Paul mentions, Phil. iv. 3. "with Clement also, and other my fellow labourers, whose names are in the book of life." This epistle is spoken of by the ancients as an epistle acknowledged by all; and, as Irenæus

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. v. 42. † Ib. ix. 13. † Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 62 et seq.

well represents its value, "written by Clement, who had seen the blessed apostles and conversed with them, who had the preaching of the apostles still sounding in his ears, and their traditions before his eyes." It is addressed to the church of Corinth; and what alone may seem almost decisive of its authenticity, Dionysius, Bishop of Corinth, about the year 170, i. e. about eighty or ninety years after the epistle was written, bears witness, "that it had been wont to be read in that church from ancient times."

This epiftle affords, amongst others, the following valuable passages:—" Especially remembering the words of the Lord Jesus which he spake, teaching gentleness and long suffering; for thus he said \*: Be ye

let welletteen meda

\* "Bleffed are the merciful, for they shall obtain mercy." Mat. v. 7.—" Forgive, and ye shall be forgiven; give, and it shall be given unto you." Luke vi. 37, 38.—" Judge not, that ye be not judged; for with what judgement ye judge, ye shall be judged, and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again." Mat. vii. 2.

merciful,

tl

d

jı

fa

e

w tl

f

te

d

fe

lit

th

he

merciful, that ye may obtain mercy; forgive, that it may be forgiven unto you; as you do, so shall it be done unto you; as you give, so shall it be given unto you; as ye judge, so shall ye be judged; as ye shew kindness, so shall kindness be shewn unto you; with what measure ye mete, with the same it shall be measured to you. By this command, and by these rules, let us establish ourselves, that we may always walk obediently to his holy words."

Again, "Remember the words of the Lord Jesus, for he said, Wo to that man by whom offences come; it were better for him that he had not been born, than that he should offend one of my elect; it were better for him that a mill-stone should be tied about his neck, and that he should be drowned in the sea, than that he should offend one of my little ones \*."

In

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. xviii. 6. "But whoso shall offend one of these little ones which believe in me, it were better for him that a mill-stone were hanged about his neck, and that he were cast into the sea." The latter part of the passone

## [ 190 ]

In both these passages we perceive the high respect paid to the words of Christ as recorded by the evangelists: " Remember the words of the Lord Jesus-by this command and by these rules let us establish ourselves, that we may always walk obediently to his holy words." We perceive also in Clement a total unconsciousness of doubt, whether these were the real words of Christ, which are read as fuch in the gospels. This observation indeed belongs to the whole feries of testimony, and especially to the most ancient Whenever any thing now read part of it. in the gospels is met with in an early Christian writing, it is always observed to stand there as acknowledged truth, i. e. to be introduced without hefitation, doubt, or apo-It is to be observed also, that as this epistle was written in the name of the church of Rome, and addressed to the church of Corinth, it ought to be taken as exhibiting

fage in Clement agrees more exactly with Luke xvii. 2. "It were better for him that a mill-stone were hanged about his neck, and he cast into the sea, than that he should offend one of these little ones."

d

t

b

h

C

1

f

d

the judgement not only of Clement, who drew up the letter, but of these churches themselves, at least as to the authority of the books referred to.

It may be faid, that, as Clement hath not used words of quotation, it is not certain that he refers to any book whatever. The words of Christ, which he has put down, he might himself have heard from the apostles, or might have received through the ordinary medium of oral tradition. This hath been faid; but that no fuch inference can be drawn from the absence of words of quotation is proved by the three following confiderations:-First, that Clement, in the very fame manner, namely, without any mark of reference, uses a passage now found in the epistle to the Romans\*; which passage, from the peculiarity of the words which compose it, and from their order, it is manifest that he must have taken from the book. The same remark may be repeated of some

\* Rom. i. 29.

very fingular fentiments in the epiftle to the Hebrews. Secondly, that there are many fentences of St. Paul's first epistle to the Corinthians standing in Clement's epistle without any fign of quotation, which yet certainly are quotations; because it appears that Clement had St. Paul's epistle before him, inafmuch as in one place he mentions it in terms too express to leave us in any doubt-" Take into your hands the epiftle of the bleffed apostle Paul." Thirdly, that this method of adopting words of scripture, without reference or acknowledgement, was, as will appear in the fequel, a method in general use amongst the most ancient Christian writers. These analogies not only repel the objection, but cast the presumption on the other side; and afford a confiderable degree of positive proof, that the words in question have been borrowed from the places of scripture in which we now find them.

F

7

a

But take it if you will the other way, that Clement had heard these words from the apostles or first teachers of Christianity; with respect respect to the precise point of our argument, viz. that the scriptures contain what the apostles taught, this supposition may serve almost as well.

fedge without citing the place of written float

III. Near the conclusion of the epistle to the Romans, St. Paul, amongst others, sends the following salutation: "Salute Asyncritus, Phlegon, Hermas, Patrobus, Hermes, and the brethren which are with them."

his wife, and marrieth another, come

Of Hermas, who appears in this catalogue of Roman Christians as contemporary with St. Paul, a book bearing the name, and it is most probable rightly, is still remaining. It is called the Shepherd or Pastor of Hermas\*. Its antiquity is incontestable, from the quotations of it in Irenæus, A. D. 178, Clement of Alexandria, A. D. 194, Tertullian, A. D. 200, Origen, A. D. 230. The notes of time extant in the epistle itself agree with its title, and with the testimonies concerning it, for it purports to have been written during the lifetime of Clement.

\* Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 111.

Vol. I.

0

In

In this piece are tacit allusions to St. Matthew's, St. Luke's, and St. John's gospels, that is to fay, there are applications of thoughts and expressions found in these gofpels, without citing the place or writer from which they were taken. In this form appear in Hermas the confessing and denying of Christ \*; the parable of the feed fown †; the comparison of Christ's disciples to little children; the faying, " he that putteth away his wife, and marrieth another, committeth adultery ‡;" the fingular expression, "having received all power from his father," in probable allusion to Mat. xxviii. 18. and Christ being the " gate," or only way of coming " to God," in plain allusion to John xiv. 6.-x. 7.9. There is also a probable allusion to Acts v. 32.

This piece is the representation of a vision, and has by many been accounted a weak and fanciful performance. I therefore obferve, that the character of the writing has

little

V

fe fr

d

n

lu

Jo

th

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. x. 32, 33. or Luke xii. 8, 9.

<sup>+</sup> Mat. xiii. 3. or Luke viii. 5.

<sup>‡</sup> Luke xvi. 18.

little to do with the purpose for which we adduce it. It is the age in which it was composed that gives the value to its testimony.

IV. Ignatius, as it is testified by ancient Christian writers, became Bishop of Antioch about thirty-feven years after Christ's afcension; and therefore, from his time, and place, and station, it is probable that he had known and converfed with many of the apostles. Epistles of Ignatius are referred to by Polycarp his contemporary. Passages, found in the epiftles now extant under his name, are quoted by Irenæus, A. D. 178, by Origen, A. D. 230; and the occasion of writing the epiftles is given at large by Eufebius and Jerome. What are called the fmaller epiftles of Ignatius are generally deemed to be those which were read by Irenæus, Origen, and Eusebius \*

In these epistles are various undoubted allusions to the gospels of St. Matthew and St. John; yet so far of the same form with those in the preceding articles, that, like

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 147.

of quotation. In this in the marks

Of these allusions the following are clear specimens:

"Christ was baptised of John, that all righteousness might be Matt. \* { fulfilled by bim."

"Be ye wife as ferpents in all things, and harmlefs as a dove."

ed, being from God; for it knows whence it comes, and whither it goes."

John +.

"He (Christ) is the door of the Father, by which enter in Abraham and Isaac and Jacob and the Apostles and the Church."

As

1

\* iii. 15. " For thus it becomes us to fulfil all righte-

xi. 16. "Be ye therefore wife as ferpents, and harmlefs as doves."

† iii. 8. "The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the found thereof, but canst not tell whence

As to the manner of quotation this is obfervable:—Ignatius, in one place, speaks of
St. Paul in terms of high respect, and quotes
his epistle to the Ephesians by name; yet in
several other places he borrows words and
sentiments from the same epistle without
mentioning it: which shews, that this was
his general manner of using and applying
writings then extant, and then of high authority.

V. Polycarp\* had been taught by the apostles; had conversed with many who had seen Christ; was also by the apostles appointed Bishop of Smyrna. This testimony concerning Polycarp is given by Irenæus, who in his youth had seen him. "I can tell the place," saith Irenæus, "in which the blessed Polycarp sat and taught, and his going out and coming in, and the manner of his life, and the form of his person, and

whence it cometh, and whither it goeth; so is every one that is born of the spirit."

d

11

ce

0 3

x. 9. "I am the door; by me if any man enter in, he shall be saved."

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 192.

the discourses he made to the people, and how he related his conversation with John and others who had seen the Lord, and how he related their sayings, and what he had heard concerning the Lord, both concerning his miracles and his doctrine, as he had received them from the eye-witnesses of the word of life: all which Polycarp related agreeable to the scriptures."

Of Polycarp, whose proximity to the age and country and persons of the apostles is thus attested, we have one undoubted epistle remaining. And this, though a short letter, contains nearly forty clear allusions to books of the New Testament; which is strong evidence of the respect which Christians of that age bore for these books.

Amongst these, although the writings of St. Paul are more frequently used by Polycarp than other parts of scripture, there are copious allusions to the gospel of St. Matthew, some to passages found in the gospels both of Matthew and Luke, and some which more nearly resemble the words in Luke.

I felect

I select the following, as fixing the authority of the Lord's prayer, and the use of it amongst the primitive Christians, "If therefore we pray the Lord that he will forgive us, we ought also to forgive."

"With supplication befeeching the all-seeing God not to lead us into temptation."

And the following, for the sake of repeating an observation already made, that words of our Lord, found in our gospels, were at this early day quoted as spoken by him; and not only so, but quoted with so little question or consciousness of doubt, about their being really his words, as not even to mention, much less to canvass, the authority from which they were taken.

"But remembering what the Lord said, teaching, Judge not, that ye be not judged; forgive, and ye shall be forgiven; be ye merciful, that ye may obtain mercy; with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again \*."

\* Mat. vii. 1, 2. v. 7. Luke vi. 37, 38.

Supposing Polycarp to have had these words from the books in which we now find them, it is manifest that these books were considered by him, and, as he thought, considered by his readers, as authentic accounts of Christ's discourses; and that that point was incontestable.

The following is a decifive, though what we call a tacit, reference to St. Peter's speech in the Acts of the Apostles:—" whom God hath raised, having loosed the pains of death \*."

ineclosed converted wells conspecting and

a cas cher riginii, sidas diologiani

VI. Papias †, a hearer of John, and companion of Polycarp, as Irenæus attefts, and of that age as all agree, in a passage quoted by Eusebius, from a work now lost, expressly ascribes the respective gospels to Matthew and Mark; and in a manner which proves, that these gospels must have publicly borne the names of these authors at that time, and probably long before; for

<sup>\*</sup> Acts ii. 24. + Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 239.

Papias

Papias does not fay, that one gospel was written by Matthew, and another by Mark, but, assuming this as perfectly well known, he tells us from what materials Mark collected his account, viz. from Peter's preaching, and in what language Matthew wrote, viz. in Hebrew. Whether Papias was well informed in this statement or not; to the point for which I produce this testimony, namely, that these books bore these names at this time, his authority is complete:

The writers hitherto alledged, had all lived and conversed with some of the apositles. The works of theirs which remain, are in general very short pieces, yet rendered extremely valuable by their antiquity; and none, short as they are, but what contain some important testimony to our historical scriptures \*.

VII. Not

<sup>\*</sup> That the quotations are more thinly strown in these, than in the writings of the next, and of succeeding ages, is, in a good measure, accounted for by the observation, that the scriptures of the New Testament

## [ 202 ]

VII. Not long after these, that is, not much more than twenty years after the last, follows Justin Martyr\*. His remaining works are much larger than any that have yet been noticed. Although the nature of his two principal writings, one of which was addressed to heathens, and the other was a conference with a Jew, did not lead him to such frequent appeals to Christian books, as would have appeared in a discourse intended for Christian readers; we nevertheless reckon up in them between twenty and thirty quotations of the Gospels and Acts of the Apostles, certain, distinct, and copious: if each verse be counted separately, a much

had not yet, nor by their recency hardly could have, become a general part of Christian education; read, as the Old Testament was, by Jews and Christians from their childhood, and thereby intimately mixing, as that had long done, with all their religious ideas, and with their language upon religious subjects. In process of time, and as soon perhaps as could be expected, this came to be the case. And then we perceive the effect, in a proportionably greater frequency, as well as copiousness of allusion ‡.

\* Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 258.

+ Mich. Intr. c. ii. fect. vi.

6

greater

m

h

(1

ag

of

66

re

cr

(7

in

fou

tim

p. !

greater number; if each expression, a very great one \*.

f

S

S

1

1

f

e

d

0

r

We meet with quotations of three of the gospels within the compass of half a page; "and in other words he says, Depart from me into outer darkness, which the Father hath prepared for Satan and his angels," (which is from Matthew xxv. 41.) "And again he said in other words, I give unto you power to tread upon serpents and scorpions, and venomous beasts, and upon all the power of the enemy." (This from Luke x. 19.) "And, before he was crucified, he said, The son of man must suffer many things, and be rejected of the Scribes and Pharisees, and be crucified, and rise again the third day." (This from Mark viii. 31.)

In another place Justin quotes a passage in the history of Christ's birth, as delivered

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;He cites our present canon, and particularly our four Gospels continually, I dare say, above two hundred times." Jones's New and Full Method. Appen. vol. i. p. 589, ed. 1726.

by Matthew and John, and fortifies his quotation by this remarkable testimony; "as they have taught, who have writ the history of all things concerning our Saviour Jesus Christ; and we believe them."

Quotations also are found from the Gofpel of St. John, maginal maginages

reader will advert to this diffination, "Shad What, moreover, feems extremely material to be observed, is, that in all Justin's works, from which might be extracted almost a complete life of Christ, there are but two instances, in which he refers to any thing as faid or done by Christ, which is not related concerning him in our present gofpels: which shews, that these gospels, and these, we may say, alone, were the authorities from which the Christians of that day drew the information upon which they depended. One of these instances is of a saying of Christ not met with in any book now extant \*. The other of a circumstance in our gourge or tederation amor with bus Christ's

le of Christ, priegral of his

i

O

b

ti

a

r

I

ju te

fa

be

01

by

ki

(1

bi

th

10

W

E

tic

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Wherefore also our Lord Jesus Christ has faid,

Christ's baptism, namely, a siery or luminous appearance upon the water, which, according to Epiphanius, is noticed in the Gospel of the Hebrews: and which might be true; but which, whether true or false, is mentioned by Justin, with a plain mark of diminution, when compared with what he quotes as resting upon scripture authority. The reader will advert to this distinction; "and then, when Jesus came to the river Jordan,

y

S

17

's

1-

ut

y

ot

0-

pr

i-

y

e-

y-

W

in

t's

aid,

In

In whatfoever I shall find you, in the same I will also judge you." Possibly Justin designed not to quote any text, but to represent the sense of many of our Lord's sayings. Fabricius has observed, that this saying has been quoted by many writers, and that Justin is the only one who ascribes it to our Lord, and that perhaps by a slip of his memory.

Words resembling these are read repeatedly in Ezekiel; "I will judge them according to their ways." (vii. 3. xxxiii. 20.) "It is remarkable that Justin had but just before expressly quoted Ezekiel. Mr. Jones upon this circumstance founded a conjecture, that Justin wrote only "the Lord hath said," intending to quote the words of God, or rather the sense of those words, in Ezekiel; and that some transcriber, imagining these to be the words of Christ, inserted in his copy the addition "Jesus Christ." Vol. i. p. 539.

where

where John was baptizing, as Jesus descended into the water, a fire also was kindled in Jordan; and when he came up out of the water, the apostles of this our Christ have written, that the Holy Ghost lighted upon him as a dove."

Bon Which wine Super wife highly and

and Prophets, which denotes

All the references in Justin are made without mentioning the author; which proves that thesebooks were perfectly notorious, and that there were no other accounts of Christ then extant, or, at least, no others so received and credited, as to make it necessary to distinguish these from the rest.

But although Justin mentions not the authors' names, he calls the books, "Memoirs composed by the Apostles," "Memoirs composed by the Apostles and their Companions;" which descriptions, the latter especially, exactly suit with the titles which the Gospels and Acts of the Apostles now bear.

VIII. Hegesippus \* came about thirty

\* Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 314.

years

al

o

R

bi

in

W

te

ar

fo

te

te

ar

th

bo

pr of

bi

en

th

1

e

e

0

4

5

d

t

D

5

C

S

years after Justin. His testimony is remarkable only for this particular; that he relates of himself, that, travelling from Palestine to Rome, he visited upon his journey many bishops; and that "in every succession, and in every city, the same doctrine is taught, which the Law, and the Prophets, and the Lord teacheth." This is an important attestation, from good authority, and of high antiquity. It is generally understood that by the word "Lord," Hegesippus intended fome writing or writings, containing the teaching of Christ, in which sense alone, the term combines with the other terms "Law and Prophets," which denote writings; and together with them admits of the verb "preacheth," in the present tense. Then, that these writings were some or all of the books of the New Testament, is rendered probable from hence, that in the fragments of his works, which are preferved in Eufebius, and in a writer of the ninth century. enough, though it be little, is left to shew, that Hegesippus expressed divers things in the style of the Gospels, and of the Acts of the

the Apostles; that he referred to the history in the second chapter of Matthew, and recited a text of that Gospel as spoken by our Lord.

b

Jo

W

fr

in

th

hi

W

ch

fir

ing

bed

affe

Te

tles

exc

this

cife

dov

that

the

V

IX. At this time, viz. about the year 170, the churches of Lyons and Vienne in France fent a relation of the fufferings of their martyrs to the churches of Asia and Phrygia \*. The Epistle is preserved entire by Eusebius. And what carries in some measure the teftimony of these churches to a higher age is, that they had now for their bishop Pothinus, who was ninety years old, and whose early life confequently must have immediately joined on with the times of the apostles. In this epiftle are exact references to the Gospels of Luke and John, and to the Acts of the Apostles. The form of reference the fame as in all the preceding articles. from St. John is in these words: " Then was fulfilled that which was spoken by the Lord, that whofoever killeth you, will think that he doeth God fervice †."

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner's Cred. vol. i. p. 332. † John xvi. 2.

X. The

r

C

S.

i-

i-

*se* 

i-

s.

ne

ts

he

at

as

d,

iat

he

X. The evidence now opens upon us full and clear. Irenæus \* fucceeded Pothinus as bishop of Lyons. In his youth he had been a disciple of Polycarp, who was a disciple of In the time in which he lived, he was diffant not much more than a century from the publication of the Gospels; in his instruction, only by one step separated from the persons of the Apostles. He asserts of himself and his contemporaries, that they were able to reckon up, in all the principal churches, the fuccession of bishops from the first t. I remark these particulars concerning Irenaus with more formality than usual; because the testimony which this writer affords to the historical books of the New Testament, to their authority, and to the titles which they bear, is express, positive, and exclusive. One principal passage, in which this testimony is contained, opens with a precife affertion of the point which we have laid down as the foundation of our argument, viz. that the story which the Gospels exhibit is the story which the Apostles told. "We have

<sup>\*</sup> Lard. vol. i. p. 344. + Adv. Hæref. l. iii. c. 3. Vol. I. P not

B)

not received," faith Irenæus, "the knowledge of the way of our falvation by any others than those by whom the gospel has been brought to us. Which gospel they first preached, and afterwards, by the will of God, committed to writing, that it might be for time to come the foundation and pillar of our faith .- For after that our Lord rose from the dead, and they (the apostles) were endowed from above with the power of the Holy Ghost coming down upon them, they received a perfect knowledge of all things. They then went forth to all the ends of the earth, declaring to men the bleffing of heavenly peace, having all of them, and every onealike, the gospel of God. Matthew then, among the Jews, writ a gospel in their own language, while Peter and Paul were preaching the gospel at Rome, and founding a church there. And after their exit, Mark also, the disciple and interpreter of Peter, delivered to us in writing the things that had been preached by Peter. And Luke, the companion of Paul, put down in a book the gospel preached by him (Paul). Afterwards John,

n

fe

de

re

pa

th

it

w

fin

ch

wh

V-

ly

as

ey

of

be

of

ose

ere

he

ey

gs.

the

ea-

ery

en,

wn ch-

g a ark

dehad

the

the

ards

hn,

John, the disciple of the Lord, who also leaned upon his breast, he likewise published a gospel while he dwelt at Ephesus in Asia." If any modern divine should write a book upon the genuineness of the gospels, he could not affert it more expressly, or state their original more distinctly, than Irenæus hath done within little more than a hundred years after they were published.

The correspondency, in the days of Irenæus, of the oral and written tradition, and the deduction of the oral tradition through various channels from the age of the apostles, which was then lately passed, and, by confequence, the probability that the books truly delivered what the apostles taught, is inferred also with strict regularity from another passage of his works. "The tradition of the apostles (this Father saith) hath spread itself over the whole universe; and all they, who fearch after the fources of truth, will find this tradition to be held facred in every We might enumerate all those church. who have been appointed bishops to these churches

ceffors, up to our days. It is by this uninterrupted fuccession that we have received the tradition which actually exists in the church, as also the doctrines of truth, as it was preached by the apostles \*." The reader will observe upon this, that the same Irenæus, who is now stating the strength and uniformity of the tradition, we have before seen, recognizing, in the fullest manner, the authority of the written records; from which we are entitled to conclude, that they were then conformable to each other.

ic

0

de

go

na

ac

tio

4

tie

no

tru

I have faid, that the testimony of Irenaus in favour of our gospels is exclusive of all others. I allude to a remarkable passage in his works, in which, for some reasons sufficiently fanciful, he endeavours to shew, that there could be neither more nor sewer gospels than four. With his argument we have no concern. The position itself proves that four, and only four, gospels were at that

\* Ir. in Hær. l. iii. c. 3.

time

6-

n-

he

h,

as

er

e-

pd

re

he

ch

re

CZ

W.

us

all

in

f-

w,

er

Ve

es.

at

ne

time publicly read and acknowledged. That these were our gospels, and in the state in which we now have them, is shown from many other places of this writer beside that which we have already alledged. He mentions how Matthew begins his gospel, how Mark begins and ends his, and their supposed reasons for so doing. He enumerates at length the several passages of Christ's history in Luke, which are not found in any of the other evangelists. He states the particular design with which St. John composed his gospel, and accounts for the doctrinal declarations which precede the narrative.

To the book of the Acts of the Apostles, its author and credit, the testimony of Irenzus is no less explicit. Referring to the account of St. Paul's conversion and vocation, in the ninth chapter of that book, "Nor can they (says he, meaning the parties with whom he argues) shew that he is not to be credited, who has related to us the truth with the greatest exactness." In another place, he has actually collected the se-

P 3

veral

BI

veral texts, in which the writer of the hiftory is represented as accompanying St. Paul, which leads him to deliver a summary of almost the whole of the last twelve chapters of the book.

In an author, thus abounding with references and allusions to the scriptures, there is not one to any apocryphal Christian writing whatever. This is a broad line of distinction between our sacred books, and the pretensions of all others.

The force of the testimony of the period which we have considered, is greatly strengthened by the observation, that it is the testimony, and the concurring testimony, of writers who lived in countries remote from one another. Clement slourished at Rome, Ignatius at Antioch, Polycarp at Smyrna, Justin Martyr in Syria, and Irenæus in France.

XI. Omitting Athenagoras and Theophilus, who lived about this time \*; in the re-

\* Lard. vol, i. p. 400.—Ib. 422.

maining

6-

ıl,

of

rs

ide

e-

re

1

ſ-

he

25

e-

ly

is

0-

ote

at

at

e-

11-

·e+

ng

maining works of the former of whom are clear references to Mark and Luke; and in the works of the latter, who was bishop of Antioch, the fixth in fuccession from the apostles, evident allusions to Matthew and John, and probable allusions to Luke (which, confidering the nature of the compositions, that they were addressed to heathen readers, is as much as could be expected); observing alfo, that the works of two learned Christian writers of the same age, Miltiades and Pantænus\*, are now lost; of which Miltiades Eusebius records, that his writings "were monuments of zeal for the divine oracles;" and which Pantænus, as Jerome testifies, was a man of prudence and learning, both in the divine scriptures and secular literature, and had left many commentaries upon the holy scriptures then extant: passing by these without further remark, we come to one of the most voluminous of ancient Christian writers, Clement of Alexandria +. Clement followed Irenæus at the distance of only fix-

P 4

teen

<sup>\*</sup> Lard. vol. i. p. 418. 450. + Ib. vol. ii. p. 469.

teen years, and therefore may be faid to maintain the feries of testimony in an uninterrupted continuation.

In certain of Clement's works, now loft, but of which various parts are recited by Eusebius, there is given a distinct account of the order in which the four gospels were The gospels, which contain the genealogies, were (he fays) written first, Mark's next, at the instance of Peter's followers, and John's the laft; and this account he tells us that he had received from Prefbyters of more ancient times. This teftimony proves the following points; that these gospels were the histories of Christ then publicly received, and relied upon; that the dates, occasions, and circumstances of their publication, were at that time subjects of attention and enquiry amongst Christians. In the works of Clement which remain, the four Gospels are repeatedly quoted by the names of their authors, and the Acts of the Apostles is expressly ascribed to Luke. In one place, after mentioning a particular circumstance,

cumstance, he adds these remarkable words: "We have not this passage in the four gospels delivered to us, but in that according to the Egyptians;" which puts a marked distinction between the four gospels and all other histories, or pretended histories, of Christ. In another part of his works, the perfect confidence, with which he received the gospels, is fignified by him in thefe words: " That this is true appears from hence, that it is written in the gospel according to St. Luke;" and again, "I need not use many words, but only to alledge the evangelic voice of the Lord." His quotations are numerous. The fayings of Christ, of which he alledges many, are all taken from our gospels, the fingle exception to this observation appearing to be a loose \* quotation of a passage in St. Matthew's gospel,

ıt

e

e

t,

1-

at C-

i

se

en

10

ir of

he

he

he

In

ir-

ce,

XII. In

"" Ask great things, and the small shall be added unto you." Clement rather chose to expound the words of Matthew (vi. 33) than literally to cite them; and this is most undeniably proved by another place in the same Clement, where he both produces the text and these words as an exposition:—"Seek ye first the kingdom of heaven

XII. In the age in which they lived \*, Tertullian joins on with Clement. The number of the gospels then received, the names of the evangelists, and their proper descriptions, are exhibited by this writer in one short sentence:- "Among the apostles, John and Matthew teach us the faith; among apostolical men, Luke and Mark refresh it." The next passage to be taken from Tertullian, affords as complete an attestation to the authenticity of our books, as can be well imagined. After enumerating the churches which had been founded by Paul, at Corinth, in Galatia, at Philippi, Thessalonica, and Ephesus; the church of Rome established by Peter and Paul; and other churches derived from John; he proceeds thus :- " I fay then, that with them, but not with them only which are apostolical, but with all who have fellowship with

heaven and its righteousness, for these are the great things; but the small things, and things relating to this life, shall be added unto you." Jones's New and Full Method, vol. i. p. 553.

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner, vol. ii. p. 561.

them in the same saith, is that gospel of Luke received from its first publication, which we so zealously maintain:" and prefently afterwards adds-" The fame authority of the apostolical churches will support the other gospels, which we have from them and according to them, I mean John's and Matthew's, although that likewife, which Mark published, may be faid to be Peter's, whose interpreter Mark was." In another place Tertullian affirms, that the three other gospels were in the hands of the churches from the beginning, as well as Luke's. This noble testimony fixes the universality with which the gospels were received, and their antiquity; that they were in the hands of all, and had been so from the first. this evidence appears not more than one hundred and fifty years after the publication The reader must be given to of the books. understand that, when Tertullian speaks of maintaining or defending (tuendi) the Gofpel of St. Luke, he only means maintaining or defending the integrity of the copies of Luke received by Christian churches, in oppolition

f

d

o

position to certain curtailed copies used by Marcion, against whom he writes.

This author frequently cites the Acts of the Apostles under that title, once calls it Luke's commentary, and observes how St. Paul's epistles confirm it.

After this general evidence, it is unneceffary to add particular quotations. These, however, are so numerous and ample, as to have led Dr. Lardner to observe, "that there are more, and larger, quotations of the small volume of the New Testament in this one Christian author, than there are of all the works of Cicero in writers of all characters for several ages \*."

Tertullian quotes no Christian writing as of equal authority with the scriptures, and no spurious book at all; a broad line of distinction, we may once more observe, between our sacred books and all others.

\* Lard. vol. ii. p. 647.

We may again likewise remark the wide extent through which the reputation of the Gospels, and of the Acts of the Apostles, had spread, and the perfect consent in this point of distant and independent societies. It is now only about one hundred and sifty years since Christ was crucified; and within this period, to say nothing of the apostolical Fathers who have been noticed already, we have Justin Martyr at Neapolis, Theophilus at Antioch, Irenæus in France, Clement at Alexandria, Tertullian at Carthage, quoting the same books of historical scriptures, and, I may say, quoting these alone.

XIII. An interval of only thirty years, and that occupied by no small number of Christian writers\*, whose works only remain in fragments and quotations, and in every one of which is some reference or other to the gospels (and in one of them—Hippolytus, as preserved in Theodoret—is an abstract

ac stall red today

<sup>\*</sup> Minucius Felix, Apollonius, Caius, Asterius, Urbanus, Alexander bishop of Jerusalem, Hippolitus, Ammonius, Julius Africanus.

of the whole gospel history), brings us to a name of great celebrity in Christian antiquity. Origen\* of Alexandria, who, in the quantity of his writings, exceeded the most laborious of the Greek and Latin authors. Nothing can be more peremptory upon the fubject now under confideration, and, from a writer of his learning and information, more fatiffactory, than the declaration of Origen, preferved, in an extract from his works, by Eusebius: "That the four gospels alone, are received without dispute, by the whole church of God under heaven:" to which declaration is immediately subjoined a brief history of the respective authors, to whom they were then, as they are now, afcribed. The language holden concerning the gospels throughout the works of Origen which remain, entirely correspond with the testimony here cited. His attestation to the Acts of the apostles is no less positive: "And Luke also once more founds the trumpet relating the acts of the Apostles."

\* Lard. vol. iii. p. 234.

universality

universality with which the scriptures were then read, is well signified by this writer, in a passage in which he has occasion to obferve against Celfus, "That it is not in any private books, or fuch as are read by a few only, and those studious persons, but in books read by every body, that it is written, the invisible things of God from the creation of the world, are clearly feen, being underflood by things that are made." It is to no purpose to fingle out quotations of scripture from fuch a writer as this. We might as well make a felection of the quotations of scripture in Dr. Clarke's sermons. They are fo thickly fown in the works of Origen, that Dr. Mill fays, "If we had all his works remaining, we should have before us almost the whole text of the Bible\*."

Origen notices, in order to censure, certain apocryphal gospels. He also uses four writings of this fort; that is, throughout his large works he once or twice, at the most, quotes

<sup>\*</sup> Mill. proleg. cap. vi. p. 66.

each of the four; but always with some mark, either of direct reprobation, or of caution to his readers, manifestly esteeming them of little or no authority.

XIV. Gregory, bishop of Neocesarea, and Dionysius of Alexandria, were scholars of Origen. Their testimony, therefore, though full and particular, may be reckoned a repetition only of his. The feries, however, of evidence, is continued by Cyprian, bishop of Carthage, who flourished within twenty years after Origen. "The church (fays this Father) is watered, like Paradife, by four rivers, that is, by four gospels." The Acts of the Apostles is also frequently quoted by Cyprian under that name, and under the name of the "Divine Scriptures." In his various writings are fuch conftant and copious citations of scripture, as to place this part of the testimony beyond controversy. Nor is there, in the works of this eminent African bishop, one quotation of a spurious or apocryphal Christian writing.

I

XV. Paffing over a crowd \* of writers following Cyprian, at different distances, but all within forty years of his time; and who all, in the imperfect remains of their works, either cite the historical scriptures of the New Testament, or speak of them in terms of profound respect; I single out Victorin, bishop of Pettaw in Germany, merely on account of the remoteness of his situation from that of Origen and Cyprian, who were Africans: by which circumstance, his testimony taken in conjunction with theirs, proves that the scripture histories, and the same histories, were known and received from one fide of the Christian world to the other. This bishop † lived about the year 290; and in a commentary upon this text of the Revelations, " The first was like a lion, the second was like a calf, the third like a man, and the fourth like a flying eagle," he makes out

† Lard. vol. v. p. 214.

Vol. I.

<sup>\*</sup> Novatus, Rome, A. D. 251. Dionysius, Rome, A. D. 259. Commodian, A. D. 270. Anatolius, Laodicea, A. D. 270. Theognostus, A. D. 282. Methodius, Lycia, A. D. 290. Phileas, Egypt, 296.

that by the four creatures are intended the four Gospels; and, to shew the propriety of the symbols, he recites the subject with which each evangelist opens his history. The explication is fanciful, but the testimony positive. He also expressly cites the Acts of the Apostles.

XVI. Arnobius and Lactantius \*, about the year 300, composed formal arguments upon the credibility of the Christian religion. As these arguments were addressed to Gentiles, the authors abstain from quoting Christian books by name, one of them giving this very reason for his referve: but when they come to state, for the information of their readers, the outlines of Christ's history, it is apparent that they draw their accounts from our gospels, and from no other sources; for these statements exhibit a summary of almost every thing which is related of Christ's actions and miracles by the four evangelifts. Arnobius vindicates, without mentioning their names, the credit of these historians,

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. vii. p. 43. 201. observing

observing that they were eye-witnesses of the facts which they relate, and that their ignorance of the arts of composition was rather a confirmation of their testimony, than an objection to it. Lactantius also argues in defence of the religion, from the consistency, simplicity, disinterestedness, and sufferings of the Christian historians, meaning by that term our evangelists.

f

h

-

9.

ıt

ts

1.

1-

[-

is

y

ir

is

m

or

ft

's

S.

ıg

s,

ıg,

XVII. We close the series of testimonies with that of Eusebius \*, bishop of Cesarea, who shourished in the year 315, contemporary with, or posterior only by sisteen years to the two authors last cited. This voluminous writer, and most diligent collector of the writings of others, beside a variety of large works, composed a history of the affairs of Christianity from its origin to his own time. His testimony to the scriptures, is the testimony of a man much conversant in the works of Christian authors, written during the three first centuries of its æra; and

\* Ib. vol. viii. p. 33.

 $Q_2$ 

whe

who had read many which are now loft. In a passage of his evangelical demonstration, Eusebius remarks, with great nicety, the delicacy of two of the evangelists, in their manner of noticing any circumstance which regarded themselves, and of Mark, as writing under Peter's direction, in the circumstances which regarded him. The illustration of this remark leads him to bring together long quotations from each of the evangelists; and the whole passage is a proof, that Eusebius, and the Christians of those days, not only read the gospels, but studied them with attention and exactness. In a passage of his ecclefiaftical history, he treats, in form, and at large, of the occasions of writing the four gospels, and of the order in which they were written. The title of the chapter is "Of the Order of the Gospels;" and it begins thus: "Let us observe the writings of this apostle John, which are not contradicted by any, and, first of all, must be mentioned, as acknowledged by all, the gospel according to him, well known to all the churches under heaven; and that it has been justly

justly placed by the ancients the fourth in order, and after the other three, may be made evident in this manner." Eusebius then proceeds to shew that John wrote the last of the four, and that his gospel was intended to supply the omissions of the others; especially in the part of our Lord's ministry, which took place before the imprisonment of John the Baptist. He observes, "that the apostles of Christ were not studious of the ornaments of composition, nor indeed forward to write at all, being wholly occupied with their ministry."

This learned author makes no use at all of Christian writings, forged with the names of Christ's apostles, or their companions.

d

r

y

it

38

1-

1-

el

ie

en ly We close this branch of our evidence here; because, after Eusebius, there is no room for any question upon the subject; the works of Christian writers being as full of texts of scripture, and of references to scripture, as the discourses of modern divines. Future testimonies to the books of scripture could only prove that they never lost their character or authority.

Q3 SECT.

## SECT. II.

When the scriptures are quoted, or alluded to, they are quoted with peculiar respect, as books sui generis; as possessing an authority which belonged to no other books, and as conclusive in all questions and controverses amongst Christians.

BESIDE the general strain of reference and quotation, which uniformly and strongly indicates this distinction, the following may be regarded as specific testimonies.

I. Theophilus \*, bishop of Antioch, the sixth in succession from the apostles, and who slourished little more than a century after the books of the New Testament were written, having occasion to quote one of our gospels, writes thus: "These things the holy scriptures teach us, and all who were moved by the holy spirit, among whom John

<sup>\*</sup> Lard. Cred. part ii. vol. i. p. 429.

fays, In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God." Again: "Concerning the righteousness which the law teaches, the like things are to be found in the prophets and the gospels, because that all being inspired, spoke by one and the same Spirit of God \*." No words can testify more strongly than these do, the high and peculiar respect in which these books were holden.

II. A writer against Artemon †, who may be supposed to come about one hundred and sifty-eight years after the publication of the scriptures, in a passage quoted by Eusebius, uses these expressions: "Possibly what they (our adversaries) say, might have been credited, if first of all the divine scriptures did not contradict them; and then the writings of certain brethren, more ancient than the times of Victor." The brethren mentioned by name, are Justin, Miltiades, Tatian, Clement, Irenæus, Melito, with a general ap-

e

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. i. p. 448. † Ib. vol. iii. p. 49. Peal

peal to many more not named. This paffage proves, first, that there was at that time a collection called divine scriptures; secondly, that these scriptures were esteemed of higher authority than the writings of the most early and celebrated Christians.

III. In a piece ascribed to Hippolitus, who lived near the same time, the author professes, in giving his correspondent instruction in the things about which he enquires, "to draw out of the sacred fountain, and to set before him from the sacred scriptures, what may afford him satisfaction." He then quotes immediately Paul's epistles to Timothy, and afterwards many books of the New Testament. This preface to the quotations, carries in it a marked distinction between the scriptures and other books.

IV. "Our affertions and discourses," saith Origen +, " are unworthy of credit; we must receive the scriptures as witnesses."

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iii. p. 112. + Ib. p. 287, 288, 289.
After

10

7,

er

ly

1,5

or

1-

n-

n,

p-

es

of

he

on

th

we

ter

After treating of the duty of prayer, he proceeds with his argument thus: "What we have said may be proved from the divine scriptures." In his books against Celsus, we find this passage; "That our religion teaches us to seek after wisdom, shall be shewn, both out of the ancient Jewish scriptures, which we also use, and out of those written since Jesus, which are believed in the churches to be divine." These expressions afford abundant evidence of the peculiar and exclusive authority which the scriptures possessed.

V. Cyprian, bishop of Carthage \*, whose age lies close to that of Origen, earnestly exhorts Christian teachers, in all doubtful cases, "to go back to the fountain; and if the truth has in any case been shaken, to recur to the gospels and apostolic writings."—"The precepts of the gospel," says he in another place, "are nothing less than authoritative divine lessons, the soundations of our hope, the supports of our faith, the guides of

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iv. p. 840.

our way, the safe-guards of our course to heaven."

VI. Novatus \*, a Roman, contemporary with Cyprian, appeals to the scriptures, as the authority by which all errors were to be repelled, and disputes decided. "That Christ is not only man, but Godalso, is proved by the sacred authority of the divine writings."—"The divine scripture easily detects and confutes the frauds of heretics."—"It is not by the fault of the heavenly scriptures, which never deceive." Stronger affertions than these could not be used.

VII. At the distance of twenty years from the writer last cited. Anatolius †, a learned Alexandrian, and Bishop of Laodicea, speaking of the rule for keeping Easter, a question at that day agitated with much earnestness, says of those whom he opposed, "They can by no means prove their point by the authority of the divine scripture."

\* Ib. vol. w. p. 102. + Ib. vol. v. p. 146.

VIII. The

to

ry

as

be

at

ed

t-

ts

It

s,

15

rs

i-

r,

h

1,

it

e

VIII. The Arians, who sprung up about sifty years after this, argued strenuously against the use of the words consubstantial and essence, and like phrases; "because they were not in scripture\*. And in the same strain, one of their advocates opens a conference with Augustine, after the following manner: "If you say what is reasonable, I must submit. If you alledge any thing from the divine scriptures, which are common to both, I must hear. But unscriptural expressions (quæ extra scripturam sunt) deferve no regard."

Athanasius, the great antagonist of Arianism, after having enumerated the books of the Old and New Testament, adds, "These are the fountains of salvation, that he who thirsts may be satisfied with the oracles contained in them. In these alone the doctrine of salvation is proclaimed. Let no man add to them, or take any thing from them †."

IX. Cyril,

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. vii. p. 283, 284. † Ib. vol. xii. p. 182.

IX. Cyril, bishop of Jerusalem\*, who wrote about twenty years after the appearance of Arianism, uses these remarkable words: "Concerning the divine and holy mysteries of faith, not the least article ought to be delivered without the divine scriptures." We are assured that Cyril's scriptures were the same as ours, for he has lest us a catalogue of the books included under that name.

X. Epiphanius †, twenty years after Cyril, challenges the Arians, and the followers of Origen, "to produce any passage of the Old or New Testament, favouring their sentiments."

C

XI. Pæbadius, a Gallic bishop, who lived about thirty years after the council of Nice, testifies, that "the bishops of that council first consulted the sacred volumes, and then declared their faith ‡."

\* Ib. vol. viii. p. 276. † Ib. p. 314. ‡ Ib. vol. ix. p. 52.

XII. Basil,

## [ 237 ]

rho

ear-

ble

oly

ght

ip-

ipleft

der

ril,

of

the

eir

ho

of

hat

ies,

fil,

XII. Basil, bishop of Cesarea, in Cappadocia, contemporary with Epiphanius, says, "that hearers instructed in the scriptures ought to examine what is said by their teachers, and to embrace what is agreeable to the scriptures, and to reject what is otherwise "."

XIII. Ephraim, the Syrian, a celebrated writer of the same times, bears this conclusive testimony to the proposition which forms the subject of our present chapter: "The truth written in the sacred volume of the gospel, is a perfect rule. Nothing can be taken from it, nor added to it, without great guilt †."

XIV. If we add Jerome to these, it is only for the evidence which he affords of the judgment of preceding ages. Jerome observes, concerning the quotations of ancient Christian writers, that is, of writers who were ancient in the year 400, that they

made

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. ix. p. 124. † Ib. p. 202.

## [ 238 ]

made a diffinction between books; some they quoted as of authority, and others not: which observation relates to the books of scripture, compared with other writings, apocryphal or heathen \*.

\* Ib. vol. x. p. 123, 124

hey

ot:

of

gs,

## SECT. III.

density to the schools Call the transfer

The scriptures were in very early times collected into a distinct volume.

IGNATIUS, who was bishop of Antioch within forty years after the ascension, and who had lived and converfed with the apostles, speaks of the gospel and of the apofiles, in terms which render it very probable, that he meant by the gospel, the book or volume of the Gospels, and by the apostles, the book or volume of their Epistles. His words in one place are \*, "fleeing to the Gospel as the flesh of Jesus, and to the Apostles as the presbytery of the church;" that is, as Le Clerc interprets them, "in order to understand the will of God, he fled to the gospels, which he believed no less than if Christ in the flesh had been speaking to him; and to the writings of the

\* Lard. Cred. part ii. vol. i. p. 18p. apostles,

apostles, whom he esteemed as the presbytery of the whole Christian church." It must be observed, that about eighty years after this we have direct proof, in the writings of Clement of Alexandria \*, that these two names, "Gospel" and "Apostles," were the names by which the writings of the New Testament, and the division of these writings, were usually expressed.

Another passage from Ignatius is the following:—"But the Gospel has somewhat in it more excellent, the appearance of our Lord Jesus Christ, his passion, and resurrection †."

And a third, "Ye ought to hearken to the Prophets, but especially to the Gospel, in which the passion has been manifested to us, and the resurrection perfected." In this last passage the prophets and the gospel are put in conjunction; and as Ignatius undoubtedly meant by the Prophets a collection of writir

b

e

in

is

qu

Po

th

do

do

to

A

the

go

the

to

do

the

tio

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. ii. p. 516. + Ib. p. 182.

ings, it is probable that he meant the fame by the Gospel, the two terms standing in evident parallelism with each other.

This interpretation of the word "gospel" in the passages above quoted from Ignatius, is consirmed by a piece of nearly equal antiquity, the relation of the martyrdom of Polycarp by the church of Smyrna. "All things," say they, "that went before were done, that the Lord might shew us a martyrdom according to the gospel, for he expected to be delivered up as the Lord also did \*." And in another place. "We do not commend those who offer themselves, for smuch as the gospel teaches us no such thing †." In both these places, what is called the gospel seems to be the history of Jesus Christ, and of his doctrine.

If this be the true fense of the passages, they are not only evidences of our proposition, but strong, and very ancient proofs

\* Ig. Ep. c. i. + Ib. c. iv.
Vol. I. R of

# [ 242 ]

of the high esteem in which the books of the New Testament were holden.

II. Eusebius relates, that Quadratus and fome others, who were the immediate fucceffors of the apostles, travelling abroad to preach Christ, carried the gospels with them, and delivered them to their converts. words of Eusebius are: "Then travelling abroad, they performed the work of evangelists, being ambitious to preach Christ, and - deliver the scripture of the divine gospels \*." Eusebius had before him the writings both of Quadratus himself, and of many others of that age, which are now loft. fonable, therefore, to believe, that he had good grounds for his affertion, What is thus recorded of the gospels took place within fixty, or at the most seventy, years after they were published: and it is evident, that they must, before this time (and, it is probable, long before this time), have been in general use, and in high effeem in the

churches

a

th

of

it,

ve

ev

ne

ma

col

the

Jer

wri

spo:

acco

The

Old

and

<sup>\*</sup> Lard. Cred. pt. ii. vol. i. p. 236.

churches planted by the apostles, inasmuch as they were now, we find, collected into a volume; and the immediate successors of the apostles, they who preached the religion of Christ to those who had not already heard it, earried the volume with them, and delivered it to their converts.

III. Irenæus, in the year 178\*, puts the evangelic and apostolic writings in connection with the law and the prophets, manifestly intending by the one a code or collection of Christian sacred writings, as the other expressed the code or collection of Jewish sacred writings. And,

IV. Melito, at this time bishop of Sardis, writing to one Onesimus, tells his correspondent, that he had procured an accurate account of the books of the OLD Testament. The occurrence, in this passage, of the term Old Testament, has been brought to prove, and it certainly does prove, that there was

then a volume or collection of writings called the New Testament.

V. In the time of Clement of Alexandria, about fifteen years after the last quoted testimony, it is apparent that the Christian scriptures were divided into two parts, under the general titles of the Gospels and Apostles; and that both these were regarded as of the highest authority. One, out of many expressions of Clement alluding to this distribution, is the following:—"There is a consent and harmony between the law and the prophets, the apostles and the gospel\*."

VI. The same division, "Prophets, Gospels, and Apostles," appears in Tertullian †,
the contemporary of Clement. The collection of the gospels is likewise called by
this writer the "Evangelic Instrument ‡;"
the whole volume, the "New Testament;"
and the two parts, the "Gospels and
Apostles §."

\* Ib. vol. ii. p. 516. † Ib. p. 631. † Ib. p. 632.

VII.

t

f

ta

Si

ci

ot

pr fu

th

VO

the

wi

bee

cie

\* .I

VII. From many writers also of the third century, and especially from Cyprian, who lived in the middle of it, it is collected, that the Christian scriptures were divided into two codes or volumes, one called the "Go-spels or Scriptures of the Lord," the other, the "Apostles, or Epistles of the Apostles\*."

VIII. Eusebius, as we have already seen, takes some pains to shew, that the gospel of St. John had been justly placed by the Ancients "the fourth in order, and after the other three †." These are the terms of his proposition; and the very introduction of such an argument proves incontestably, that the four Gospels had been collected into a volume, to the exclusion of every other; that their order in the volume had been adjusted with much consideration; and that this had been done by those who were called Ancients in the time of Eusebius.

In the Diocletian persecution in the year

\* Ib. vol. iv. p. 846. † Ib. vol. viii. p. 90. R 3 303,

303, the scriptures were sought out and burnt\*; many suffered death rather than deliver them up; and those who betrayed them to the persecutors were accounted as lapsed and apostate. On the other hand, Constantine, after his conversion, gave directions for multiplying copies of the divine oracles, and for magnificently adorning them at the expence of the imperial treasury. What the Christians of that age so richly embellished in their prosperity, and, which is more, so tenaciously preserved under persecution, was the very volume of the New Testament which we now read.

\* Ib. vol. vii. p. 214 et seq. + Ib. p. 432.

My said the presumed and done Have

SECT.

I.

fci

an

WI

an Po

the

by wr

Po thi

hat

ma the

### SECT. IV.

LINE ENGLISHED BELLEVILLE FOR STATE

. In 1916 soft was mort desired

Our present sacred writings were soon distinguished by appropriate names and titles of respect.

I. POLYCARP: "I trust that ye are well exercised in the boly scriptures—as in these scriptures it is said, Be ye angry and sin not, and let not the sun go down upon your wrath\*." This passage is extremely important; because it proves that, in the time of Polycarp, who had lived with the apostles, there were Christian writings distinguished by the name of "holy scriptures," or sacred writings. Moreover the text quoted by Polycarp is a text sound in the collection at this day, What also the same Polycarp hath elsewhere quoted in the same manner, may be considered as proved to belong to the collection; and this comprehends St.

\* Lard. Cred. vol. i. p. 203.

R 4 Matthew's,

Matthew's, and, probably, St. Luke's gospel, the Acts of the Apostles, ten epistles of Paul, the first epistle of Peter, and the first of John\*. In another place Polycarp has these words: "whoever perverts the oracles of the Lord to his own lusts, and says there is neither resurrection nor judgement, he is the first-born of Satan†." It does not appear what else Polycarp could mean by the "oracles of the Lord," but those same "holy scriptures," or sacred writings, of which he had spoken before.

II. Justin Martyr, whose apology was written about thirty years after Polycarp's epistle, expressly cites some of our present histories under the title of GOSPEL, and that, not as a name by him first ascribed to them, but as the name by which they were generally known in his time. His words are these:—" For the apostles, in the memoirs composed by them, which are called gospels, have thus delivered it, that Jesus commanded them to take bread, and give thanks †."

<sup>\*</sup> Ib vol. i. p. 223. + Ib. p. 222. ‡ Ib. p. 271.

There

There exists no doubt, but that, by the memoirs above mentioned, Justin meant our present historical scriptures, for, throughout his works, he quotes these, and no others.

III. Dionysius, bishop of Corinth, who came thirty years after Justin, in a passage preserved in Eusebius (for his works are lost), speaks of "the scriptures of the Lord\*."

IV. And at the same time, or very nearly so, by Irenæus, bishop of Lyons in France†, they are called "divine scriptures,"—"divine oracles,"—"fcriptures of the Lord,"—"evangelic and apostolic writings ‡." The quotations of Irenæus prove decidedly, that our present Gospels, and these alone, together with the Acts of the Apostles, were the historical books comprehended by him under these appellations.

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. i. p. 298.

<sup>†</sup> The reader will observe the remoteness of these two writers in country and situation.

<sup>‡</sup> Ib. p. 343 et feq.

V. St. Matthew's gospel is quoted by Theophilus, bishop of Antioch, contemporary with Irenæus, under the title of the "evangelic voice\*;" and the copious works of Clement of Alexandria, published within fisteen years of the fame time, ascribe to the books of the New Testament the various titles of "facred books,"—" divine scriptures,"—" divinely inspired scriptures,"—" fcriptures of the Lord,"—" the true evangelical canon †."

3

C

t

P

r

u

VI. Tertullian, who joins on with Clement, beside adopting most of the names and epithets above noticed, calls the gospels our Digesta," in allusion, as it should feem, to some collection of Roman laws ‡ then extant.

VII. By Origen, who came thirty years after Tertullian, the same, and others no less strong titles, are applied to the Christian scriptures; and, in addition thereunto, this

<sup>\*</sup> lb. vol. i. p. 427. † lb. vol. ii. p. 515. ‡ lb. p. 630. writer

writer frequently speaks of the "Old and New Testament,"—" the ancient and new scriptures,"—" the ancient and new oracles \*."

VIII. In Cyprian, who was not twenty years later, they are "books of the spirit,"
—"divine fountains,"—"fountains of the divine fulness †."

The expressions we have thus quoted are evidences of high and peculiar respect. They all occur within two centuries from the publication of the books. Some of them commence with the companions of the apostles; and they increase in number and variety, through a series of writers, touching upon one another, and deduced from the first age of the religion.

\* Ib. vol. iii. p. 280. † Ib. vol. iv. p. 844.

### SECT. V.

Our scriptures were publicly read and expounded in the religious assemblies of the early Christians.

JUSTIN MARTYR, who wrote in the year 140, which was seventy or eighty years after some, and less, probably, after others of the gospels were published, giving, in his first apology, an account, to the Emperor, of the Christian worship, has this remarkable passage:

"The memoirs of the apostles, or the writings of the prophets, are read according as the time allows, and, when the reader has ended, the president makes a discourse, exhorting to the imitation of so excellent things \*."

\* Lard. Cred. vol. i. p. 273.

# [ 253 ]

A few short observations will shew the value of this testimony.

- 1. The "memoirs of the apostles," Justin in another place expressly tells us, are what are called "gospels;" and that they were the gospels, which we now use, is made certain by Justin's numerous quotations of them, and his silence about any others.
- 2. Justin describes the general usage of the Christian church.
- 3. Justin does not speak of it as recent or newly instituted, but in the terms in which men speak of established customs.
- II. Tertullian, who followed Justin at the distance of about fifty years, in his account of the religious assemblies of Christians as they were conducted in his time, says, "We come together to recollect the divine scriptures; we nourish our faith, raise our hope, confirm our trust, by the sacred word \*."

\* Ib. vol. ii. p. 628.

III. Eu-

## [ 254 ]

III. Eusebius records of Origen, and cites for his authority the letters of Bishops contemporary with Origen, that, when he went into Palestine about the year 216, which was only 16 years after the date of Tertullian's testimony, he was desired by the Bishops of that country to discourse and expound the scriptures publicly in the church, though he was not yet ordained a presbyter\*. This anecdote recognizes the usage, not only of reading, but of expounding, the fcriptures; and both as subsisting in full force. Origen also himself bears witness to the same practice: " This (fays he) we do, when the scriptures are read in the church, and when the discourse for explication is delivered to the people †." And, what is a still more ample testimony, many homilies of his upon the scriptures of the New Testament, delivered by him in the affemblies of the church are still extant.

# IV. Cyprian, whose age was not twenty

\* Ib. vol. iii. p. 68.

+ Ib. p. 302.

3

years

b

tl

U

W

21

th

ac

years lower than that of Origen, gives his people an account of having ordained two persons, who were before confessors, to be readers; and what they were to read, appears by the reason which he gives for his choice:—"Nothing (says Cyprian) can be more sit, than that he, who has made a glorious confession of the Lord, should read publicly in the church; that he who has shewn himself willing to die a martyr, should read the gospel of Christ, by which martyrs are made \*."

V. Intimations of the same custom may be traced in a great number of writers in the beginning and throughout the whole of the fourth century. Of these testimonies I will only use one, as being, of itself, express and sull. Augustine, who appeared near the conclusion of the century, displays the benefit of the Christian religion on this very account, the public reading of the scriptures in the churches, "where (says he) is a con-

\* Ib. vol. iv. p. 842.

fluence of all forts of people of both fexes, and where they hear how they ought to live well in this world, that they may deferve to live happily and eternally in another." And this custom he declares to be universal: "The canonical books of scripture being read every where, the miracles therein recorded are well known to all people \*."

It does not appear that any books, other than our present scriptures, were thus publicly read, except that the epistle of Clement was read in the church of Corinth, to which it had been addressed, and in some others; and that the Shepherd of Hermas was read in many churches. Nor does it subtract much from the value of the argument, that these two writings partly come within it, because we allow them to be the genuine writings of apostolical men. There is not the least evidence, that any other gospel, than the four which we receive, was ever admitted to this distinction.

\* Ib. vol. x. p. 276 et feq.

SECT.

by

the

an

An

and

tire

wa

as

any

M

con

wri

obline in the Andrian

# SECT. VI.

Commentaries were anciently written upon the scriptures; harmonies formed out of them; different copies carefully collated; and versions made of them into different languages.

NO greater proof can be given of the esteem in which these books were holden by the ancient Christians, or of the sense then entertained of their value and importance, than the industry bestowed upon them. And it ought to be observed, that the value and importance of these books consisted entirely in their genuinenessand truth. There was nothing in them as works of taste, or as compositions, which could have induced any one to have written a note upon them. Moreover it shews that they were even then confidered as ancient books. Men do not write comments upon publications of their VOL. I. own

own times: therefore the testimonies cited under this head afford an evidence which carries up the evangelic writings much beyond the age of the testimonies themselves, and to that of their reputed authors.

ex

N

of

the

Eu

abo

cop

cor

of .

nan

ed a

fays

of th

who

300

I. Tatian, a follower of Justin Martyr, and who flourished about the year 170, composed a harmony, or collation of the gospels, which he called *Diatessaron*, Of the four \*. The title, as well as the work, is remarkable; because it shews that then, as now, there were four, and only four gospels, in general use with Christians. And this was little more than a hundred years after the publication of some of them.

II. Pantænus, of the Alexandrian school, a man of great reputation and learning, who came twenty years after Tatian, wrote many commentaries upon the holyscriptures, which, as Jerome testifies, were extant in his time †.

<sup>\*</sup> Lard. vol. i. p. 307. † 1b. vol. i. p. 455.

5 IH. Clement

III. Clement of Alexandria wrote short explications of many books of the Old and New Testament \*.

IV. Tertullian appeals from the authority of a later version, then in use, to the "authentic Greek †."

V. An anonymous author, quoted by Eusebius, and who appears to have written about the year 212, appeals to the ancient copies of the scriptures, in refutation of some corrupt readings alledged by the followers of Artemon ‡.

VI. The same Eusebius, mentioning by name several writers of the church who lived at this time, and concerning whom he says, "There still remain divers monuments of the laudable industry of those ancient and ecclesiastical men" (i. e. of Christian writers, who were considered as ancient in the year 300), adds, "There are besides treatises of

e

,

n

nt

\* Ib. vol. ii. p. 462. † Ib. p. 638. † Ib. vol. iii. p. 46.

S 2

many

many others, whose names we have not been able to learn, orthodox and ecclesiastical men, as the interpretations of the divine scriptures, given by each of them, shew\*."

ti

tu

of

m

In

fp

th

tu

ma

the

of

wh

rit

dif

the

VII. The five last testimonies may be referred to the year 200, immediately after which, a period of thirty years gives us

Title also en Williams in

Julius Africanus, who wrote an epiftle upon the apparent difference in the genea-logies in Matthew and Luke, which he endeavours to reconcile by the distinction of natural and legal descent, and conducts his hypothesis with great industry through the whole series of generations †:

Ammonius, a learned Alexandrian, who composed, as Tatian had done, a harmony of the four gospels; which proves, as Tatian's work did, that there were four gospels, and no more, at this time in use in the church. It affords also an instance of the zeal of Chris-

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. ii. p. 551. † Ib. vol. iii. p. 170.

tians for those writings, and of their folicitude about them \*:

e

le

1-

1-

of

is ie

10

y

'9

ıd

h.

ſ-

ne

And, above both these, Origen, who wrote commentaries, or homilies, upon most of the books included in the New Testament, and upon no other books but these. In particular, he wrote upon St. John's gospel, very largely upon St. Matthew's, and commentaries, or homilies, upon the Acts of the Apostles †.

VIII. In addition to these, the third century likewise contains

Dionysius of Alexandria, a very learned man, who compared, with great accuracy, the accounts in the four gospels of the time of Christ's resurrection, adding a reslection which shewed his opinion of their authority:—" Let us not think that the evangelists disagree, or contradict each other, although there be some small difference; but let us

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iii. p. 122. + Ib. p. 352. 192. 202. 245.

S 3 honestly

honestly and faithfully endeavour to reconcile what we read \*."

tl

7

il

e

to

fi

Victorin, bishop of Pettaw in Germany, who wrote comments upon St. Matthew's gospel †;

Lucian, a presbyter of Antioch, and Hefychius, an Egyptian bishop, who put forth editions of the New Testament.

IX. The fourth century supplies a catalogue ‡ of fourteen writers, who expended their labours upon the books of the New Testament, and whose works or names are come down to our times; amongst which number, it may be sufficient, for the pur-

\* Ib. vol. iv. p. 661. + Ib. p. 195. ‡ Eusebius, A. D. - 315 Gregory, Nyssen, 371 Juvencus, Spain, - 330 Didymus of Alex. 370 Theodore, Thrace, 334 Ambrose of Milan, 374 Hilary, Poictiers, Diodore of Tarfus, 354 378 Fortunatus, Gaudent. of Brescia. 387 340 Apollinarius of Lao-Theodore of Cilicia, 394 dicea, 362 Jerome, 392 Damasus, Rome, 366 Chrysoftom, 398 pose

pose of shewing the sentiments and studies of learned Christians of that age, to notice the following:

1-

y,

e-

h

a-

W

e

h

r-

71

74

37

14

8

Eusebius, in the very beginning of the century, wrote expressly upon the discrepancies observable in the gospels, and likewise a treatise, in which he pointed out what things are related by four, what by three, what by two, and what by one evangelist\*. This author also testifies, what is certainly a material piece of evidence, "that the writings of the apostles had obtained such an esteem, as to be translated into every language both of Greeks and Barbarians, and to be diligently studied by all nations †." This testimony was given about the year 300; how long before that date these translations were made, does not appear.

Damasus, bishop of Rome, corresponded with St. Jerome upon the exposition of difficult texts of scripture; and, in a letter still

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. viii. p. 46. + Ib. p. 201.

S 4 remaining,

remaining, desires Jerome to give him aclear explanation of the word Hosanna, found in the New Testament; "he (Damasus) having met with very different interpretations of it in the Greek and Latin commentaries of catholic writers which he had read \*." This last clause shews the number and variety of commentaries then extant.

which are colored to a route

Gregory of Nyssen, at one time, appeals to the most exact copies of St. Mark's gospel; at another time, compares together, and proposes to reconcile, the several accounts of the resurrection given by the four evangelists; which limitation proves, that there were no other histories of Christ deemed authentic beside these, or included in the same character with these. This writer observes, acutely enough, that the disposition of the clothes in the sepulchre, the napkin that was about our Saviour's head, not lying with the linen clothes, but wrapped together in a place by itself, did not bespeak the terror

\* Ib. vol. ix. p. 108.

and hurry of thieves, and therefore refutes the story of the body being stolen \*.

Ambrose, bishop of Milan, remarked various readings in the Latin copies of the New Testament, and appeals to the original Greek;

And Jerome, towards the conclusion of this century, put forth an edition of the New Testament in Latin, corrected, at least as to the gospels, by Greek copies, "and those (he says) ancient."

S

d

f

0

-

, e

18

e

a

r

d

Laftly, Chrysostom, it is well known, delivered and published a great many homilies, or sermons, upon the Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles.

It is needless to bring down this article lower; but it is of importance to add, that there is no example of Christian writers of the three first centuries composing comments

\* Ib. vol. ix. p. 163.

upon any other books than those which are found in the New Testament, except the single one, of Clement of Alexandria, commenting upon a book called the Revelation of Peter.

Of the ancient versions of the New Testament, one of the most valuable is the Syriac. Syriac was the language of Palestine when Christianity was there first established. And although the books of scripture were written in Greek, for the purpose of a more extended circulation than within the precincts of Judea, yet it is probable that they would foon be translated into the vulgar language of the country where the religion first prevailed. Accordingly a Syriac translation is now extant, all along, fo far as it appears, used by the inhabitants of Syria, bearing many internal marks of high antiquity, fupported in its pretentions by the uniform tradition of the East, and confirmed by the discovery of many very ancient manuscripts in the libraries of Europe. It is about 200 years fince a Bishop of Antioch sent a copy

of this translation into Europe, to be printed; and this seems to be the first time that the translation became generally known to these parts of the world. The Bishop of Antioch's testament was found to contain all our books, except the second epiftle of Peter, the fecond and third of John, and the Revelation; which books, however, have fince been discovered in that language in some ancient manuscripts of Europe. But in this collection, no other book, befide what is in ours, appears ever to have had a place. And, which is very worthy of observation, the text, though preferved in a remote country, and without communication with ours, differs from ours very little, and in nothing that is important \*.

<sup>\*</sup> Jones on the Canon, vol. i. c. xiv.

the as Translation in the state of the

# SECT. VII.

We'll and on smeathering a

Agriceble tellagrant, his renal to or

Our scriptures were received by ancient Christians of different sects and persuasions, by many heretics as well as catholics, and were usually appealed to by both sides in the controversies which arose in those days.

THE three most ancient topics of controversy amongst Christians, were, the authority of the Jewish institution, the origin of evil, and the nature of Christ. Upon the first of these, we find, in very early times, one class of heretics rejecting the Old Testament entirely; another, contending for the obligation of its law, in all its parts, throughout its whole extent, and over every one who sought acceptance with God. Upon the two latter subjects a natural, perhaps, and venial; but a fruitless, eager, and impatient curiosity, prompted by the philosophy and

and by the scholastic habits of theage, which carried men much into bold hypotheses and conjectural solutions, raised, amongst some who professed Christianity, very wild and unfounded opinions. I think there is no reason to believe, that the number of these bore any considerable proportion to the body of the Christian church; and amidst the disputes, which such opinions necessarily occasioned, it is a great satisfaction to perceive, what in a vast plurality of instances we do perceive, all sides recurring to the same scriptures.

- I\*. Basilides lived near the age of the apostles, about the year 120, or, perhaps, sooner †. He rejected the Jewish institution, not as spurious, but as proceeding from a being inserior to the true God; and
- \* The materials of the former part of this section are taken from Dr. Lardner's history of the heretics of the two first centuries, published since his death, with additions, by the Rev. Mr. Hogg of Exeter, and inserted into the ninth volume of his works, of the edition of 1788.

<sup>†</sup> Vol. ix. p. 271.

in other respects advanced a scheme of theology widely different from the general doctrine of the Christian Church, and which, as it gained over some disciples, was warmly opposed by Christian writers of the second and third century. In these writings there is positive evidence, that Basilides received the gospel of Matthew; and there is no sufficient proof that he rejected any of the other three; on the contrary, it appears that he wrote a commentary upon the gospel, so copious as to be divided into twenty-four books \*.

II. The Valentinians appeared about the fame time †. Their herefy confisted in certain notions concerning angelic natures, which can hardly be rendered intelligible to a modern reader. They feem, however, to have acquired as much importance as any of the separatists of that early age. Of this sect, Irenæus, who wrote A.D. 172, expressly records, that they endeavoured to fetch

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 305, 306. + Ib. p. 350, 351. arguments

arguments for their opinions, from the evangelic and apostolic writings\*. Heracleon, one of the most celebrated of the sect, and who lived probably so early as the year 125, wrote commentaries upon Luke and John†. Some observations also of his upon Matthew are preserved by Origen ‡. Nor is there any reason to doubt, that he received the whole New Testament.

III. The Carpocratians were also an early herefy, little, if at all, later than the two preceding. Some of their opinions resembled what we at this day mean by Socinianism. With respect to the scriptures, they are specifically charged, by Irenæus and by Epiphanius, with endeavouring to pervert a passage in Matthew, which amounts to a positive proof that they received that gospel. Negatively, they are not accused, by their adversaries, of rejecting any part of the New Testament.

IV. The

<sup>\*</sup> Vol. i. p. 383. + Vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 352. \$ Ib. 353. || Ib. 318.

IV. The Sethians, A. D. 150 \*; the Montanists, A. D. 156 †; the Marcosians, 160 ‡; Hermogenes, A.D. 180 §; Praxias, A.D. 196 ||; Artemon, A.D. 200 ¶; Theodotus, A. D. 200; all included under the denomination of heretics, and all engaged in controversies with Catholic Christians, received the scriptures of the New Testament.

V. Tatian, who lived in the year 172, went into many extravagant opinions, was the founder of a fect called Encratites, and was deeply involved in disputes with the Christians of that age; yet Tatian so received the four gospels, as to compose a harmony from them.

VI. From a writer, quoted by Eusebius, of about the year 200, it is apparent that they, who, at that time, contended for the mere humanity of Christ, argued from the scriptures; for they are accused by this

\* Vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 455. + Ib. p. 482.

‡ Ib. p. 348. § Ib. p. 473.

| Ib. p. 433. | ¶ Ib. p. 466.

writer.

tl

tl

b

0

0

W

fi

ec

ti

ac

th

re

ch

af

writer, of making alterations in their copies, in order to favour their opinions \*.

VII. Origen's fentiments excited great controversies, the Bishops of Rome and Alexandria, and many others, condemning, the Bishops of the East espousing them; yet there is not the smallest question, but that both the advocates and adversaries of these opinions acknowledged the same authority of scripture. In his time, which the reader will remember was about one hundred and fifty years after the scriptures were published, many diffentions subfifted amongst Christians, with which they were reproached by Celfus; yet Origen, who has recorded this accufation without contradicting it, nevertheless testifies, "that the four gospels were received without dispute, by the whole church of God under heaven †.

VIII. Paul of Samofata, about thirty years after Origen, fo distinguished himself in the

\* Ib. vol. iii. p. 46. + Ib. vol. iv. p. 642. T controverfy

VOL. I.

controverly concerning the nature of Christ, as to be the subject of two councils, or fynods, affembled at Antioch, upon his opinions. Yet he is not charged by his adverfaries with rejecting any book of the New Testament. On the contrary, Epiphanius, who wrote a history of heretics a hundred years afterwards, fays, that Paul endeavoured to support his doctrine by texts of scripture. And Vincentius Lirinensis, A. D. 434, speaking of Paul and other heretics of the same age, has these words: "Here, perhaps, fome one may ask, whether heretics also urge the testimony of scripture. They urge it indeed, explicitly and vehemently; for you may fee them flying through every book of the facred law \*."

IX. A controverfy at the same time existed with the Noetians or Sabellians, who seem to have gone into the opposite extreme from that of Paul of Samosata, and his followers. Yet, according to the express tes-

\* Ib. vol. xi. p. 158.

timony

ir

le

de

fai

by

Ca

thi

mo

fac

fho

the

timony of Epiphanius, Sabellius received all the scriptures. And with both sects catholic writers constantly alledge the scriptures, and reply to the arguments which their opponents drew from particular texts.

We have here, therefore, a proof, that parties; who were the most opposite and irreconcileable to one another, acknowledged the authority of scripture with equal deference.

rationistis in which were supplied

X. And as a general testimony to the same point, may be produced what was said by one of the Bishops of the council of Carthage, which was holden a little before this time. "I am of opinion that blasphemous and wicked heretics, who pervert the sacred and adorable words of the scriptures, should be execrated \*." Undoubtedly what they perverted, they received.

0

e

6-

y

XI. The Millenium, Novatianism, the

\* Ib. vol. xi. p. 839.

T 2

baptism

baptism of heretics, the keeping of Easter, engaged also the attention, and divided the opinions of Christians, at and before that time (and, by the way, it may be observed, that fuch disputes, though on some accounts to be blamed, shewed how much men were in earnest upon the subject), yet every one appealed for the grounds of his opinion to scripture authority. Dionysius of Alexandria, who flourished A. D. 247, describing a conference or public disputation, with the Millenarians of Egypt, confesses of them, though their adversary, "that they embraced whatever could be made out by good arguments from the holy scriptures \*." Novatus, A. D. 251, distinguished by some rigid fentiments concerning the reception of those who had lapfed, and the founder of a numerous fect, in his few remaining works quotes the gospel with the same respect as other Christians did; and concerning his followers the testimony of Socrates, who wrote about the year 440, is positive, viz.

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iv. p. 666.

"That, in the disputes between the catholics and them, each side endeavoured to support itself by the authority of the divine scriptures \*."

e

t

S

e

e

0

g

ı,
d

**I-**

d

e

1-

28

as

is

10 Z.

it,

XII. The Donatists, who sprung up in the year 328, used the same scriptures as we do. "Produce (saith Augustine) some proof from the scriptures, whose authority is common to us both †."

XIII. It is perfectly notorious, that, in the Arian controversy, which arose soon after the year 300, both sides appealed to the same scriptures, and with equal professions of deference and regard. The Arians, in their council of Antioch, A. D. 341, pronounce, that, "if any one, contrary to the sound doctrine of the scriptures, say that the son is a creature, as one of the creatures, let him be anathema ‡." They and the Atha-

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. v. p. 105.

<sup>+</sup> lb. vol. vii. p. 243.

<sup>‡</sup> Ib. p. 277.

nafians mutually accuse each other of using unscriptural phrases, which was a mutual acknowledgment of the conclusive authority of scripture.

XIV. The Priscillianists, A. D. 378\*, the Pelagians, A. D. 405†, received the same scriptures as we do.

XV. The testimony of Chrysostom, who lived near the year 400, is so positive in affirmation of the proposition which we maintain, that it may form a proper conclusion of the argument. "The general reception of the gospels is a proof that their history is true and consistent; for, since the writing of the gospels, many heresies have arisen, holding opinions contrary to what is contained in them, who yet receive the gospels either entire or in part ‡." I am not moved by what may seem a deduction

n

ei

aj

je

b

b

ri

(1

01

ba

ed

hi

th

<sup>\*</sup> Tb. vol. ix. p. 325.

<sup>+</sup> Ib. vol. xi. p. 52.

<sup>‡</sup> Ib. vol. x. p. 316.

from Chrysostom's testimony, the words "entire or in part;" for, if all the parts, which were ever questioned in our gospels, were given up, it would not affect the miraculous origin of the religion in the smallest degree: e. g.

Cerinthus is faid by Epiphanius to have received the gospel of Matthew, but not entire. What the omissions were does not appear. The common opinion, that he rejected the two first chapters, seems to have been a mistake \*. It is agreed, however, by all who have given any account of Cerinthus, that he taught that the Holy Ghost (whether he meant by that name a person or a power) descended upon Jesus at his baptism; that Jesus from this time persormed many miracles, and that he appeared after his death. He must have retained therefore the essential parts of the history.

ņ

ıl

r

e

it

e

n

n,

n

Of all the ancient heretics, the most ex-

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 322.

traordinary was Marcion\*. One of his tenets was the rejection of the Old Testament, as proceeding from an inferior and imperfect deity; and in pursuance of this hypothesis, he erased from the New, and that, as it should feem, without entering into any critical reasons, every passage which recognized the Jewish scriptures. He spared not a text which contradicted his opinion. It is reasonable to believe, that Marcion treated books as he treated texts: yet this rash and wild controversialist published a recension, or chastised edition, of St. Luke's gospel, containing the leading facts, and all which is necessary to authenticate the religion. This example affords proof, that there were always fome points, and those the main points, which neither wildness nor rashness, neither the fury of opposition nor the intemperance of controversy, would venture to call in question. There is no reason to believe that Marcion, though full

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. fect. ii. c. x. Also Michael. vol. i. c. 1. fect. xviii.

is

a-

d

is

g

h

d

n.

n

ES

a

ll i-

re

e

or

or d

0

11

f.

f

of resentment against the catholic Christians, ever charged them with forging their books. "The Gospel of St. Matthew, the Epistle to the Hebrews, with those of St. Peter and St. James, as well as the Old Testament in general, (he said) were writings not for Christians but for Jews\*." This declaration shews the ground upon which Marcion proceeded in his mutilation of the scriptures, viz. his dislike of the passages or the books. Marcion flourished about the year 130.

Dr. Lardner, in his General Review, fums up this head of evidence in the following words: "Noetus, Paul of Samosata, Sabellius, Marcellus, Photinus, the Novatians, Donatists, Manicheans†, Priscillianists, beside Artemon, the Audians, the Arians, and divers others, all received most or all

<sup>\*</sup> I have transcribed this sentence from Michaelis (p. 38), who has not, however, referred to the authority upon which he attributes these words to Marcion.

<sup>†</sup> This must be with an exception, however, of Fauftus, who lived so late as the year 384.

#### [ 282 ]

the same books of the New Testament which the catholics received; and agreed in a like respect for them as writ by apostles, or their disciples and companions\*."

\* Ib. vol, xii. p. 12.—Dr Lardner's future enquiries supplied him with many other instances,

#### SECT. VIII.

The four Gospels, the AEts of the Apostles, thirteen Epistles of St. Paul, the first Epistle of John, and the first of Peter, were received without doubt by those who doubted concerning the other books, which are included in our present canon.

1 STATE this proposition, because, if made out, it shews that the authenticity of their books was a subject amongst the early Christians of consideration and enquiry; and that, where there was cause of doubt. they did doubt; a circumstance which strengthens very much their testimony to fuch books as were received by them with full acquiescence.

I. Jerome, in his account of Caius, who was probably a prefbyter of Rome, and who flourished near the year 200, records of him, that, reckoning up only thirteen epistles

epiftles of Paul, he says the fourteenth, which is inscribed to the Hebrews, is not his; and then Jerome adds, "With the Romans to this day it is not looked upon as Paul's." This agrees, in the main, with the account given by Eusebius of the same ancient author and his work; except that Eusebius delivers his own remark in more guarded terms, "and indeed to this very time, by some of the Romans, this epiftle is not thought to be the apostle's \*."

II. Origen, about twenty years after Caius, quoting the epiftle to the Hebrews, observes that some might dispute the authority of that epiftle, and therefore proceeds to quote to the same point, as undoubted books of scripture, the Gospel of St. Matthew, the Acts of the Apostles, and Paul's first Epistle to the Thessalonians. And in another place, this author speaks of the Epistle to the Hebrews thus:—" The account come down to us is various, some

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iii. p. 240. † Ib. p. 246.

h,

ot

ne

as

ne

n-

at

re

y

is

er

s,

)-

f

d

f

e

C

faying that Clement, who was bishop of Rome, wrote this epiftle; others, that it was Luke, the fame who writ the Gospel and the Acts." Speaking also in the same paragraph of Peter, " Peter (fays he) has left one epiftle acknowledged; let it be granted likewise that he wrote a fecond, for it is doubted of." And of John, " He has also left one epistle, of a very few lines; grant also a second and a third, for all do not allow these to be genuine." Now let it be noted, that Origen, who thus discriminates, and thus confesses his own doubts, and the doubts which fubfifted in his time, expressly witnesses concerning the four gospels, "that they alone are received without dispute by the whole church of God under heaven \*."

III. Dionysius of Alexandria, in the year 247, doubts concerning the Book of Revelation, whether it was written by St. John; states the grounds of his doubt; represents the diversity of opinion concerning it, in his

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iii. p. 234.

fame Dionysius uses and collates the four gospels, in a manner which shews that he entertained not the smallest suspicion of their authority, and in a manner also which shews that they, and they alone, were received as authentic histories of Christ †.

IV. But this fection may be faid to have been framed on purpose to introduce to the reader two remarkable passages, extant in Eusebius's ecclesiastical history. The first passage opens with these words—" Let us observe the writings of the apostle John, which are uncontradicted; and, first of all, must be mentioned, as acknowledged of all, the gospel according to him, well known to all the churches under heaven." The author then proceeds to relate the occasions of writing the gospels, and the reasons for placing St. John's the last, manifestly speaking of all the four as parallel in their authority, and in the certainty of their original. The

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. iv. p. 670.

<sup>+</sup> Ib. p. 661.

<sup>‡</sup> Ib. vol. viii. p. 90.

e

II le

r

78

S

e

S

fecond passage is taken from a chapter, the title of which is, " Of the Scriptures univerfally acknowledged, and of those that are not fuch." Eusebius begins his enumeration in the following manner: - " In the first place, are to be ranked the facred four Gospels, then the book of the Acts of the Apostles, after that are to be reckoned the Epiftles of Paul. In the next place, that called the first Epistle of John, and the Epiftle of Peter, are to be efteemed authentic. After this is to be placed. if it be thought fit, the Revelation of John, about which we shall observe the different opinions at proper feafons. Of the controverted, but yet well known, or approved by the most, are that called the Epistle of James, and that of Jude, and the second of Peter, and the fecond and third of John, whether they are written by the evangelift, or another of the fame name \*." He then proceeds to reckon up five others, not in our canon, which he calls in one place spurious, in ano-

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. viii. p. 89.

ther controverted, meaning, as appears to me, nearly the same thing by these two words \*.

It is manifest from this passage, that the four Gospels, and the Acts of the Apostles, (the parts of scripture with which our concern principally lies) were acknowledged without dispute, even by those who raised objections, or entertained doubts, about some other parts of the same collection. But the passage proves something more than this. The author was extremely conversant in the writings of Christians, which had been published from the commencement of the institution to his own time; and it was from these writings that he drew his knowledge of the character and reception of the books in question. That Eusebius recurred to this

medium

m

es

te

th

(1

fu

to

in

th

fin

pi

th

ar

be

fa

do

in th

of

pr

ce

ſh

<sup>\*</sup> That Eufebius could not intend, by the word rendered "fpurious," what we at present mean by it, is evident from a clause in this very chapter, where, speaking of the Gospels of Peter and Thomas, and Matthias and some others, he says, "They are not so much as to be reckoned among the spurious, but are to be rejected as altogether absurd and impious." Vol. viii. p. 98.

medium of information, and that he had examined with attention this species of proof, is shewn, first, by a passage in the very chapter we are quoting, in which, speaking of the books which he calls spurious, " None (he fays) of the ecclefialtical writers, in the fuccession of the apostles, have vouchsafed to make any mention of them in their writings;" and fecondly, by another paffage of the fame work, wherein, speaking of the first epistle of Peter, "This (he says) the presbyters of ancient times have quoted in their writings as undoubtedly genuine \*;" and then speaking of some other writings bearing the name of Peter, " We know (he fays) that they have not been delivered down to us in the number of catholic writings, foralmuch as no ecclefiaftical writer of the ancients, or of our times, has made use of testimonies out of them." "But in the progress of this history," the author proceeds, " we shall make it our business to shew, together with the successions from the

\* Ib. p. 99.

Vol. I.

le

s,

d

d

ie

ie

s.

)-

i-

n

e

cs

18

n-

is k-

ias

to ed

m

U

apostles,

apostles, what ecclesiastical writers, in every age, have used such writings as these which are contradicted, and what they have said with regard to the scriptures received in the New Testament, and acknowledged by all, and with regard to those which are not such \*."

After this it is reasonable to believe, that, when Eusebius states the four Gospels, and the Acts of the Apostles, as uncontradicted, uncontested, and acknowledged by all; and when he places them in opposition, not only to those which were spurious in our sense of that term, but to those which were controverted, and even to those which were well known and approved by many, yet doubted of by some; he represents, not only the sense of his own age, but the refult of the evidence, which the writings of prior ages, from the apostle's time to his own, had furnished to his enquiries. The opinion of Eusebius and his contemporaries appears to have been founded upon the testimony of writers,

\* lb. p. 111.

45 M. C.

whom

w

ob

WI

en

the

TI

fal

qu

wr

ap

mo

ma

he

.

110

whom they then called ancient; and we may observe, that such of the works of these writers, as have come down to our times, entirely confirm the judgement, and support the distinction which Eusebius proposes. The books, which he calls "books universally acknowledged," are in fact used and quoted, in the remaining works of Christian writers, during the 250 years between the apostle's time and that of Eusebius, much more frequently than, and in a different manner from, those, the authority of which, he tells us, was disputed.

years affer their full-filled an answer; in witch he distanced this advertify words and sommer is the work of Cent.

while the state of varices resulting the at

attraction of the fire its the weeds of the line

4

n

n

: miles

white to the first of the rate with the first with the first of the rate of the first of the fir

their tils own and ser. I think it also probable that Origer, in his aniwer, has remiled and book light a residence from the west from middle

comments that they do the contract the second

# SECT. IX.

Our historical scriptures were attacked by the early adversaries of Christianity, as containing the accounts upon which the religion was founded.

IN EAR the middle of the fecond century, Celfus, a heathen philosopher, wrote a professed treatise against Christianity. To this treatife, Origen, who came about fifty years after him, published an answer, in which he frequently recites his adversary's words and arguments. The work of Celfus is loft; but that of Origen remains. Origen appears to have given us the words of Celsus, where he professes to give them, very faithfully; and, amongst other reasons for thinking so, this is one, that the objection, as stated by him from Celfus, is fometimes stronger than his own answer. I think it also probable that Origen, in his answer, has retailed a large

a la "T we no

thin

ing which

Goff notice trem are, I racte credi been have and co It evin

Gofp

corne

a large portion of the work of Celfus: "That it may not be suspected (he says) that we pass by any chapters, because we have no answers at hand, I have thought it best, according to my ability, to consute every thing proposed by him, not so much observing the natural order of things, as the order which he has taken himself \*."

Celsus wrote about 100 years after the Gospels were published; and therefore any notices of these books from him are extremely important for their antiquity. They are, however, rendered more so by the character of the author; for the reception, credit, and notoriety of these books must have been well established amongst Christians, to have made them subjects of animadversion and opposition by strangers and by enemies. It evinces the truth of what Chrysostom, two centuries afterwards, observed, that "the Gospels, when written, were not hid in a corner or buried in obscurity, but they were

1

7

1

8

8

n

,

-

d

r

)-

d

e

of othe Or. cont. Celf. 1. i. fect. 41.

3

made known to all the world, before enemies as well as others, even as they are now \* " the lad territory the the carty of

no jamin eta ar deneda. I harri e sulplui ir un

1. Celfus, or the Jew whom he personates, uses these words - " I could say many things concerning the affairs of Jesus, and those, too, different from those written by the difciples of Jesus, but I purposely omit them †." Upon this paffage it has been rightly obferved, that it is not eafy to believe, that if Celfus could have contradicted the disciples upon good evidence in any material point, he would have omitted to do fo; and that the affertion is, what Origen calls it, a mere oratorical flourish.

It is sufficient however to prove, that, in the time of Celsus, there were books well known, and allowed to be written by the disciples of Jesus, which books contained a history of him. By the term disciple, Celsus

does

do

ge

lie

tai

an

Ch

acc

rea

go hai

the

can

cifi

fuc!

But

18, 1

tian

fom

cori

due

<sup>\*</sup> In Mat. hom. i. 7.

<sup>+</sup> Lardner's Jewish and Heathen Testim. vol. ii. P. 274.

does not mean the followers of Jesus in general, for them he calls Christians, or believers, or the like, but those who had been taught by Jesus himself, i. e. his apostles and companions.

3

6

99

if

es

t,

at

re

n

11

ne

a

us

ii.

es

2. In another passage, Celsus accuses the Christians of altering the gospel \*. The accusation refers to some variations in the readings of particular passages; for Celsus goes on to object, that when they are pressed hard, and one reading has been confuted, they disown that, and fly to another. We cannot perceive from Origen that Celfus fpecified any particular instances, and without fuch specification the charge is of no value. But the true conclusion to be drawn from it is, that there were in the hands of the Christians, histories, which were even then of fome standing; for various readings and corruptions do not take place in recent productions.

The former quotation, the reader will

\* Ib. p. 275.

U 4

remember.

remember, proved that these books were composed by the disciples of Jesus, strictly so called; the present quotation shews, that though objections were taken by the adversaries of the religion to the integrity of these books, none were made to their genuineness.

remailment health airm in he and a

3. In a third passage, the Jew, whom Celsus introduces, shuts up an argument in this manner:—"These things then we have alledged to you out of your own writings, not needing any other weapons \*." It is manifest that this boast proceeds upon the supposition that the books, over which the writer affects to triumph, possessed an authority, by which Christians confessed themselves to be bound.

4. That the books to which Celfus refers were no other than our prefent Gospels, is made out by his allusions to various passages still found in these Gospels. Celfus takes notice of the genealogies, which fixes two of

\* Ib. p. 276.

thefe

d

tl

h

b

C

(

tl

tl

21

a

G

a

are

these gospels; of the precepts, Resist not him that injures you, and, If a man strike thee on the one cheek, offer to him the other also\*; of the woes denounced by Christ; of his predictions; of his saying that it is impossible to serve two masters †; of the purple robe, the crown of thorns, and the reed in his hand; of the blood that slowed from the body of Jesus upon the cross ‡, which circumstance is recorded by John alone; and (what is instar omnium for the purpose for which we produce it) of the difference in the accounts given of the resurrection by the evangelists, some mentioning two angels at the sepulchre, others only one §.

It is extremely material to remark, that Celsus not only perpetually referred to the accounts of Christ contained in the four Gospels, but that he referred to no other accounts; that he founded none of his ob-

**jections** 

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. p. 276. † Ib. p. 277. ‡ Ib. p. 280, 281. § Ib. p. 282.

<sup>||</sup> The particulars, of which the above are only a few, are well collected by Mr. Bryant, p. 140.

jections to Christianity upon any thing delivered in spurious gospels.

II. What Celfus was in the fecond century, Porphyry became in the third. His work, which was a large and formal treatife against the Christian religion, is not extant. We must be content therefore to gather his objections from Christian writers, who have noticed in order to answer them: and enough remains of this species of information, to prove completely, that Porphyry's animadversions were directed against the contents of our present Gospels, and of the Acts of the Apostles; Porphyry considering that to overthrow them was to overthrow the religion. Thus he objects to the repetition of a generation in St. Matthew's genealogy; to Matthew's call; to the quotation of a text from Isaiah, which is found in a psalm ascribed to Asaph; to the calling of the lake of Tiberias a sea; to the expression in St. Matthew, " the abomination of desolation;" to the variation in Matthew and Mark upon the text "the voice of one crying in the wilderness,"

wilderness," Matthew citing it from Isaias, Mark from the Prophets; to John's application of the term "Word;" to Christ's change of intention about going up to the feast of tabernacles (John vii. 8); to the judgement denounced by St. Peter upon Ananias and Sapphira, which he calls an imprecation of death \*.

Can religion was the little read

The instances here alledged serve, in some measure, to shew the nature of Porphyry's objections, and prove that Porphyry had read the Gospels with that sort of attention, which a writer would employ, who regarded them as the depositaries of the religion which he attacked. Beside these specifications, there exists in the writings of ancient Christians general evidence, that the places of scripture, upon which Porphyry had remarked, were very numerous.

In some of the above cited examples, Porphyry, speaking of St. Matthew, calls him

<sup>\*</sup> Jewish and Heathen Test. vol. iii. p. 166 et seq.

your evangelist; he also uses the term Evangelists in the plural number. What was said of Celsus is true likewise of Porphyry, that it does not appear that he considered any history of Christ, except these, as having authority with Christians.

III. A third great writer against the Christian religion was the Emperor Julian, whose work was composed about a century after that of Porphyry.

In various long extracts, transcribed from this work by Cyril and Jerome, it appears \*, that Julian noticed by name Matthew and Luke, in the difference between their genealogies of Christ; that he objected to Matthew's application of the prophecy, "Out of Egypt have I called my son" (ii. 15), and to that of "a virgin shall conceive" (i. 22); that he recited sayings of Christ, and various passages of his history, in the very words of the evangelists; in particular, that

\* Ib. vol. iv. p. 77 et feq.

eprefeat the judgement of

Jesus healed lame and blind people, and exorcifed demoniacs, in the villages of Bethfaida, and Bethany; that he alledged that none of Christ's disciples ascribed to him the creation of the world, except John; that neither Paul, nor Matthew, nor Luke, nor Mark, have dared to call Jesus, God; that John wrote later than the other evangelists, and at a time when a great number of men in the cities of Greece and Italy were converted; that he alludes to the conversion of Cornelius and of Sergius Paulus, to Peter's vifion, to the circular letter fent by the apostles and elders at Jerusalem, which are all recorded in the Acts of the Apostles: by which quoting of the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, and by quoting no other, Julian shews that these were the historical books, and the only historical books, received by Christians as of authority, and as the authentic memoirs of Jesus Christ, of his apostles, and of the doctrines taught by them. But Julian's testimony does fomething more than represent the judgement of the Christian church in his time. It discovers also his

## [ 302 ]

own. He himself expressly states the early date of these records: he calls them by the names which they now bear. He all along supposes, he nowhere attempts to question, their genuineness.

The argument in favour of the books of the New Testament, drawn from the notice taken of their contents by the early writers against the religion, is very considerable. It proves that the accounts, which Christians had then, were the accounts which we have now; that our prefent scriptures were theirs. It proves, moreover, that neither Celfus in the fecond, Porphyry in the third, nor Julian in the fourth century, suspected the authenticity of these books, or ever infinuated that Christians were mistaken in the authors to whom they ascribed them. Not one of them expressed an opinion upon this subject different from that which was held by Christians. And when we consider how much it would have availed them to have cast a doubt upon this point, if they could; and how ready they shewed themselves to

## [ 303 ]

be, to take every advantage in their power; and that they were all men of learning and enquiry; their concession, or rather their suffrage, upon the subject, is extremely valuable.

In the case of Porphyry, it is made still stronger, by the consideration that he did in fact support himself by this species of objection, when he saw any room for it, or when his acuteness could supply any pretence for alledging it. The prophecy of Daniel he attacked upon this very ground of spuriousness, insisting that it was written after the time of Antiochus Epiphanes, and maintains his charge of forgery by some, far-fetched indeed, but very subtle criticisms. Concerning the writings of the New Testament, no trace of this suspicion is anywhere to be found in him \*\*.

this a dream, upon this point, if they could;

s now ender they thewed themselves to

define little energions that which was hele

Michaelis's Introduction to the New Testament, vol. i. p. 43. Marsh's Translation.

t especie de la significació de la secolo del secolo de la secolo del secolo de la secolo del secolo de la secolo del secolo de la secolo del secolo de la secolo del s

#### SECT. X. in the factories

ratification of the first of a cond-water

Formal catalogues of authentic scriptures were published, in all which our present sacred histories were included.

1 Thomas mount But in I HIS species of evidence comes later than the rest; as it was not natural that catalogues of any particular class of books should be put forth, until Christian writings became numerous; or until some writings shewed themselves, claiming titles which did not belong to them, and thereby rendering it neceffary to feparate books of authority from others. But, when it does appear, it is extremely fatisfactory; the catalogues, though numerous, and made in countries at a wide distance from one another, differing very little, differing in nothing which is material, and all containing the four Gospels. To this last article there is no exception.

I. In

lc

fc

A

n

ar re

th

W

th

fc:

"

th

log

p. :

I. In the writings of Origen which remain, and in some extracts preserved by Eusebius, from works of his which are now lost, there are enumerations of the books of scripture, in which the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles are distinctly and honourably specified, and in which no books appear beside what are now received\*. The reader, by this time, will easily recollect that the date of Origen's works is A. D. 230.

II. Athanasius, about a century afterwards, delivered a catalogue of the books of the New Testament in form, containing our scriptures and no others; of which he says, "In these alone the doctrine of religion is taught; let no man add to them, or take any thing from them †."

III. About 20 years after Athanasius, Cyril, bishop of Jerusalem, set forth a catalogue of the books of scripture, publicly

<sup>\*</sup> Lardner's Cred. vol. iii. p. 234 et feq. vol. viii. p. 196.

<sup>+</sup> Ib. vol. viii. p. 223.

BI

read at that time in the church of Jerusalem, exactly the same as ours, except that the "Revelation" is omitted \*.

IV. And, fifteen years after Cyril, the Council of Laodicea delivered an authoritative catalogue of canonical scripture, like Cyril's, the same as ours, with the omission of the "Revelation."

Within thirty years after the last date, that is, from the year 363 to near the conclusion of the fourth century, we have catalogues by Epiphanius †, by Gregory Nazienzen ‡, by Philaster bishop of Brescia in Italy §, by Amphilochius bishop of Iconium, all, as they are sometimes called, clean catalogues (that is, they admit no books into the number beside what we now receive), and all, for every purpose of historic evidence, the same as ours ||.

VI. Within

O

C

A

p

mı

in

ref

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. viii. p. 270. + Ib. p. 368.

<sup>‡</sup> Ib. vol. ix. p. 132. § Ib. p. 373.

Epiphanius omits the Acts of the Apostles. This must

VI. Within the fame period, Jerome, the most learned Christian writer of his age, delivered a catalogue of the books of the New Testament, recognizing every book now received, with the intimation of a doubt concerning the Epistle to the Hebrews alone, and taking not the least notice of any book which is not now received\*.

VII. Contemporary with Jerome, who lived in Palestine, was St. Augustine in Africa, who published likewise a catalogue, without joining to the scriptures, as books of authority, any other ecclesiastical writing whatever, and without omitting one which we at this day acknowledge †.

VIII. And with these concurs another contemporary writer, Rusen, presbyter of Aquileia, whose catalogue, like theirs, is persect and unmixed, and concludes with

must have been an accidental mistake, either in him, or in some copyist of his work; for he elsewhere expressly refers to this book, and ascribes it to Luke.

S

e

n

nis

ıst

X 2

thefe

<sup>\*</sup> Ib. vol. x. p. 77. + Ib. p. 213.

## [ 308 ]

these remarkable words: "These are the volumes which the Fathers have included in the canon, and out of which they would have us prove the doctrine of our faith\*."

\* Ib. vol. x. p. 187.

SECT.

m

ar ex th

the

tal

be caf

#### SECT. XI.

roand beauti militar, he doned

These propositions cannot be predicated of any of those books, which are commonly called apocryphal books of the New Testament.

I Do not know that the objection taken from apocryphal writings is at present much relied upon by scholars. But there are many, who, hearing that various gospels existed in ancient times under the names of the apostles, may have taken up a notion, that the selection of our present gospels from the rest, was rather an arbitrary or accidental choice, than sounded in any clear and certain cause of presence. To these it may be very useful to know the truth of the case. I observe therefore,

I. That, beside our Gospels and the Acts
of the Apostles, no Christian history, claimX 3 ing

BI

ing to be written by an apostle or apostolical man, is quoted within three hundred years after the birth of Christ, by any writer now extant, or known; or, if quoted, is not quoted with marks of censure and rejection.

I have not advanced this affertion without enquiry: and I doubt not, but that the passages cited by Mr. Jones and Dr. Lardner, under the feveral titles which the apocryphal books bear; or a reference to the places where they are mentioned, as collected in a very accurate table, published in the year 1773 by the Rev. J. Atkinson; will make out the truth of the proposition to the fatisfaction of every fair and competent judgment. If there be any book which may feem to form an exception to the observation, it is a Hebrew Gospel, which was circulated under the various titles of the Gospel according to the Hebrews, the Gospel of the Nazarenes, of the Ebionites, sometimes called of the Twelve, by some ascribed to St. Matthew. This Gospel is once, an only

once,

ence, cited by Clement Alexandrinus, who lived, the reader will remember, in the latter part of the second century, and which same Clement quotes one or other of our four Gospels in almost every page of his work. It is also twice mentioned by Origen, A. D. 230; and both times with marks of diminution and discredit. And this is the ground upon which the exception stands. But what is still more material to observe, is, that this Gospel, in the main, agreed with our present Gospel of St. Matthew \*.

Now if, with this account of the apocryphal Gospels, we compare what we have read, concerning the canonical scriptures in the preceding sections; or even recollect that general, but well-founded, affertion of Dr. Lardner's, "That in the remaining works of Irenæus, Clement of Alexandria

X 4

and

<sup>\*</sup> In applying to this Gospel, what Jerome in the latter end of the fourth century has mentioned of a Hebrew Gospel, I think it probable that we sometimes confound it with a Hebrew copy of St. Matthew's Gospel, whether an original or version, which was then extant.

and Tertullian, who all lived in the two first centuries, there are more, and larger quotations of the small volume of the New Testament, than of all the works of Cicero, by writers of all characters, for feveral ages \*;" and if to this we add, that, notwithstanding the loss of many works of the primitive times of Christianity, we have, within the above-mentioned period, the remains of Christian writers, who lived in Palestine, Syria, Afia Minor, Egypt, the part of Africa that used the Latin tongue, in Crete, Greece, Italy and Gaul, in all which remains, references are found to our evangelists; I apprehend, that we shall perceive a clear and broad line of division, between those writings, and all others pretending to a similar authority.

II. But befide certain bistories which affumed the names of Apostles, and which were forgeries properly so called, there were some other Christian writings, in the whole or in part of an historical nature, which,

\* Lard. Cred. vol. xii. p. 53.

though

# [ 313 ]

though not forgeries, are denominated apocryphal, as being of uncertain, or of no authority.

Of this fecond class of writings I have found only two, which are noticed by any author of the three first centuries, without express terms of condemnation; and these are, the one, a book entitled the Preaching of Peter, quoted repeatedly by Clement Alexandrinus, A. D. 196; the other, a book entitled the Revelation of Peter, upon which the above-mentioned Clement Alexandrinus is said, by Eusebius, to have written notes; and which is twice cited in a work still extant, ascribed to the same author.

I conceive therefore, that the proposition we have before advanced, even after it hath been subjected to every exception, of every kind, that can be alledged, separates, by a wide interval, our historical scriptures, from all other writings which profess to give an account of the same subject.

We

Against Sira Jo

## [ 314 ]

We may be permitted however to add,

- fpurious or apocryphal books whatever, exifted in the first century of the Christian æra; in which century all our historical books are proved to have been extant. "There are no quotations of any such books in the apostolical fathers, by whom I mean Barnabas, Clement of Rome, Hermas, Ignatius and Polycarp, whose writings reach from about the year of our Lord 70, to the year 108;" (and some of whom have quoted each and every one of our historical scriptures) "I say this," adds Dr. Lardner, "because I think it has been proved \*."
- 2. These apocryphal writings were not read in the churches of Christians;
  - 3. Were not admitted into their volume;

lanta labreded to every exception

of issignified and diverges aline

- 4. Do not appear in their catalogues;
  - \* Ib. vol. xii. p. 158.

5. Were

scennar of the later

## [ 315 ]

- 5. Were not noticed by their adversaries;
- 6. Were not alledged by different parties, as of authority in their controversies;
- 7. Were not the subjects amongst them, of commentaries, versions, collations, expositions.

norretheir circulation amone it the favoriters.

Finally; beside the silence of three centuries, or evidence, within that time, of their rejection, they were, with a consent nearly universal, reprobated by Christian writers of succeeding ages.

Although it be made out by these observations, that the books in question never obtained any degree of credit and notoriety, which can place them in competition with our scriptures, yet it appears from the writings of the fourth century, that many such existed in that century, and in the century preceding it. It may be difficult at this distance of time to account for their origin. Perhaps the most probable explication is,

that they were in general composed with a delign of making a profit by the fale. Whatever treated of the subject would find purchasers. It was an advantage taken of the pious curiofity of unlearned Christians. With a view to the same purpose, they were many of them adapted to the particular opinions of particular fects, which would naturally promote their circulation amongst the favourers of those opinions. After all, they were probably much more obscure than we imagine. Except the Gospel according to the Hebrews, there is none, of which we hear more, than the Gospel of the Egyptians; yet there is good reason to believe that Clement, a presbyter of Alexandria in Egypt A. D. 184, and a man of almost universal reading, had never feen it\*. A Gospel according to Peter, was another of the most ancient books of this kind: yet Serapion, bishop of Antioch, A. D. 200, had not read it, when he heard of fuch a book being in the hands of the Christians of Rhoffus in Cilicia; and speaks of obtaining

<sup>\*</sup> Jones, vol. i. p. 243.

a fight of this Gospel from some sectaries who used it †. Even of the Gospel of the Hebrews, which confessedly stands at the head of the catalogue, Jerome, at the end of the fourth century, was glad to procure a copy by the favour of the Nazareans of Berea. Nothing of this sort ever happened, or could have happened, concerning our Gospels.

One thing is observable of all the apocryphal Christian writings, viz. that they proceed upon the same fundamental history of Christ and his apostles, as that which is disclosed in our scriptures. The mission of Christ, his power of working miracles, his communication of that power to the apostles, his passion, death and resurrection, are assumed or afferted by every one of them. The names under which some of them came forth, are the names of men of eminence in our histories. What these books give, are not contradictions, but unauthorised addi-

+ Lard. Cred. vol. ii. p. 557.

tions. The principal facts are supposed, the principal agents the same; which shews that these points were too much fixed to be altered or disputed.

If there be any book of this description, which appears to have imposed upon some confiderable number of learned Christians, it is the Sybilline oracles; but, when we reflect upon the circumstances which facilitated that imposture, we shall cease to wonder either at the attempt, or its fuccess. It was at that time univerfally understood that fuch a prophetic writing existed. Its contents were kept fecret. This fituation afforded to some one a hint, as well as an opportunity, to give out a writing under this name, favourable to the already established persuasion of Christians, and which writing, by the aid and recommendation of these circumstances, would in some degree, it is probable, be received. Of the ancient forgery we know but little; what is now produced could not, in my opinion, have imposed upon any one. It is nothing else than the gospel history,

# [ 319 ]

history, woven into verse. Perhaps was at first, rather a siction, than a forgery; an exercise of ingenuity more than an attempt to deceive.

-vi sudebole ed over like been dit I

collect, the time the maintain which formalist

etria disperantificación de colorior estributi disperantificación de colorior de la colorior de la colorior disperantificación de la colorior de la colorior

edicio pedatante e parkilo pedataj religio. Artiko pro pia kana eti egopinj primbersion

the second of the second secon

postógny begy been strain jakon igrada jestilis

angue, si denacta series I after tour after old

to publication to be the original processing of

entitle bet bedding it knowled vinetilla D

to king of farigite, datager, and interrupt in

the profecution of the Turdentking.

CHAR

## [ 320 ]

#### CHAP. X.

hillowy woven da a wolder Walans was ac

#### Recapitulation.

to deceive.

tı

ai

tr

W

Ve

of

fir

th

w

fel

pu

THE reader will now be pleased to recollect, that the two points which form the
subject of our present discussion, are, first,
that the founder of Christianity, his associates, and immediate followers, passed their
lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings; secondly, that they did so, in attestation of the
miraculous history recorded in our scriptures, and solely in consequence of their
belief of the truth of that history.

The argument, by which these two propositions have been maintained by us, stands thus:

No historical fact, I apprehend, is more certain, than that the original propagators of Christianity voluntarily subjected themselves to lives of fatigue, danger, and suffering, in the prosecution of their undertaking. The nature

nature of the undertaking; the character of the persons employed in it; the opposition of their tenets to the fixed opinions and expectations of the country, in which they first advanced them; their undiffembled condemnation of the religion of all other countries; their total want of power, authority, or force, render it in the highest degree probable that this must have been the case. The probability is increased, by what we know of the fate of the founder of the inftitution, who was put to death for his attempt; and by what we also know of the cruel treatment of the converts to the institution. within thirty years after its commencement: both which points are attested by heathen writers, and being once admitted, leave it very incredible, that the primitive emissaties of the religion, who exercised their ministry, first, amongst the people who had destroyed their master, and, afterwards, amongst those who perfecuted their converts, should themfelves escape with impunity, or pursue their purpose in ease and fasety. This probability, VOL. I.

lity, thus fustained by foreign testimony, is advanced; I think, to historical certainty, by the evidence of our own books; by the accounts of a writer, who was the companion of the persons whose sufferings he relates; by the letters of the persons themselves; by predictions of persecutions ascribed to the founder of the religion, which predictions would not have been inferted in his history, much less have been studiously dwelt upon, if they had not accorded with the event, and which, even if falfely ascribed to him, could only have been fo ascribed, because the event fuggested them; lastly, by inceffant exhortations to fortitude and patience, and by an earnestness, repetition, and urgency upon the fubject, which were unlikely to have appeared, if there had not been, at the time, some extraordinary call for the exercise of these virtues. Carlo Signoffs Sint

It is made out also, I think, with sufficient evidence, that both the teachers and converts of the religion, in consequence of their

# 1 323 7

their new profession, took up a new course of life and behaviour.

The next great question is, what they did That it was for a miraculous ftory of some kind or other, is to my apprehension extremely manifest; because, as to the fundamental article, the designation of the person, viz. that this particular person, Jesus of Nazareth, ought to be received as the Messiah, or as a messenger from God, they neither had, nor could have, any thing but miracles to stand upon. That the exertions and fufferings of the apostles were for the flory which we have now, is proved by the confideration, that this story is transmitted to us by two of their own number, and by two others personally connected with them; that the particularity of the narratives proves, that the writers claimed to possess circumstantial information, that from their fituation they had full opportunity of acquiring fuch information, that they certainly, at least, knew, what their colleagues,

Y 2 their

their companions, their mafters taught; that each of these books contains enough to prove the truth of the religion; that, if any one of them therefore be genuine, it is fufficient; that the genuineness however of all of them is made out, as well by the general arguments which evince the genuineness of the most undisputed remains of antiquity, as also by peculiar and specific proofs, viz. by citations from them in writings belonging to a period immediately contiguous to that in which they were published; by the distinguished regard paid by early Christians to the authority of these books, (which regard was manifested by their collecting of them into a volume, appropriating to that volume titles of peculiar respect, translating them into various languages, digefting them into harmonies, writing commentaries upon them, and, still more conspicuously, by the reading of them in their public affemblies in all parts of the world); by an universal agreement with respect to these books, whilst doubts were entertained concerning fome others;

others; by contending sects appealing to them; by the early adversaries of the religion not disputing their genuineness, but, on the contrary, treating them as the depositaries of the history upon which the religion was founded; by many formal catalogues of these, as of certain and authoritative writings, published in different and distant parts of the Christian world; lastly, by the absence or defect of the above-cited topics of evidence, when applied to any other histories of the same subject.

These are strong arguments to prove, that the books actually proceeded from the authors whose names they bear, (and have always borne, for there is not a particle of evidence to shew that they ever went under any other); but the strict genuineness of the books is perhaps more than is necessary to the support of our proposition. For even supposing that, by reason of the silence of antiquity, or the loss of records, we knew not who were the writers of the four Go-

Y 3

fpels,

spels, yet the fact, that they were received as authentic accounts of the transaction upon which the religion rested, and were received as fuch by Christians at or near the age of the apostles, by those whom the apostles had taught, and by focieties which the apostles had founded; this fact, I say, connected with the confideration, that they are corroborative of each other's testimony, and that they are further corroborated by another contemporary history, taking up the ftory where they had left it, and, in a narrative built upon that story, accounting for the rife and production of changes in the world, the effects of which subsist at this day; connected, moreover, with the confirmation which they receive, from letters written by the apostles themselves, which both assume the same general story, and, as often as occasions lead them to do so, allude to particular parts of it; and connected also with the reflection, that if the apostles delivered any different story, it is lost, (the present and no other being referred to by a feries

feries of Christian writers, down from their age to our own; being likewise recognized in a variety of institutions, which prevailed, early and universally, amongst the disciples of the religion); and that so great a change, as the oblivion of one story and the substitution of another, under such circumstances, could not have taken place; this evidence would be deemed, I apprehend, sufficient to prove concerning these books, that, whoever were the authors of them, they exhibit the story which the apostles told, and for which, consequently, they acted, and they suffered.

If it be so, the religion must be true. These men could not be deceivers. By only not bearing testimony, they might have avoided all their sufferings, and have lived quietly. Would men in such circumstances pretend to have seen what they never saw; affert sacts which they had no knowledge of; go about lying, to teach virtue; and, though not only convinced of Christ's be-

Y 4

ing

# [ 328 ]

ing an impostor, but having seen the success of his imposture in his crucifixion, yet persist in carrying it on; and so persist, as to bring upon themselves, for nothing, and with a sull knowledge of the consequence, enmity and hatred, danger and death?

inner angle I standaling blusse. Salah dalah sepantangan shing

grile gritariopiticas director suit

light adjusted adjus

fixer , not that doll the leathers

first with double about

posterior or sunfitured and the

a it privited a no top. density

to the limit of the state of the

# Of the Direct Historical Evidence of Christianity.

#### PROP. II.

#### CHAP. I.

Our first proposition was, "That there is satisfactory evidence, that many, pretending to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undertaken and undergone, in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motive, to new rules of conduct."

Our fecond proposition, and which now remains to be treated of, is, "That there is NOT satisfactory evidence, that persons pretending to be original witnesses of any other similar miracles, have acted in the same manner, in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts."

I ENTER upon this part of my argument, by declaring how far my belief in miraculous accounts goes. If the reformers in the time of Wickliff, or of Luther; or those of England, in the time of Henry the Eighth, or of Queen Mary; or the founders of our religious fects fince, fuch as were Mr. Whitfield and Mr. Wesley in our own times; had undergone the life of toil and exertion, of danger and fufferings, which we know that many of them did undergo, for a miraculous story; that is to fay, if they had founded their public miniftry upon the allegation of miracles wrought within their own knowledge, and upon narratives

ratives which could not be refolved into delusion or mistake; and if it had appeared, that their conduct really had its origin in these accounts, I should have believed them. Or, to borrow an instance which will be familiar to every one of my readers, if the late Mr. Howard had undertaken his labours and journies in attestation, and in consequence of a clear and sensible miracle, I should have believed him also. Or, to represent the same thing under a third supposition; if Socrates had professed to perform public miracles at Athens; if the friends of Socrates, Phædo, Cebes, Crito, and Simmias, together with Plato, and many of his followers, relying upon the attestation which these miracles afforded to his pretensions, had, at the hazard of their lives, and the certain expence of their ease and tranquillity, gone about Greece, after his death, to publish and propagate his doctrines; and if these things had come to our knowledge, in the same way as that in which the life of Socrates is now transmitted to us, through the hands of his companions

and

and disciples, that is, by writings received without doubt as theirs, from the age in which they were published to the present, I should have believed this likewise. And my belief would, in each case, be much strengthened, if the subject of the mission were of importance to the conduct and happiness of human life; if it testified any thing which it behoved mankind to know from fuch authority; if the nature of what it delivered, required the fort of proof which it alledged; if the occasion was adequate to the interpolition, the end worthy of the means. In the last case my faith would be much confirmed, if the effects of the transaction remained; more especially, if a change had been wrought, at the time, in the opinion and conduct of fuch numbers, as to lay the foundation of an inflitution, and of a fystem of doctrines, which had fince overspread the greatest part of the civilized world. I should have believed, I say, the testimony, in these cases; yet none of them do more than come up to the apoflolic history.

## [ 333 ]

If any one choose to call assent to this evidence credulity, it is at least incumbent upon him to produce examples, in which the same evidence hath turned out to be fallacious. And this contains the precise question which we are now to agitate.

In stating the comparison between our evidence, and what our adversaries may bring into competition with ours, we will divide the distinctions which we wish to propose into two kinds, those which relate to the proof, and those which relate to the miracles. Under the former head we may lay out of the case,

I. Such accounts of supernatural events as are found only in histories by some ages posterior to the transaction, and of which it is evident that the historian could know little more than his reader. Ours is contemporary history. This difference alone removes out of our way, the miraculous history of Pythagoras, who lived five hundred years before the Christian æra, written by Porphyry and Jamblicus, who lived three

three hundred years after that æra; the prodigies of Livy's history; the fables of the heroic ages; the whole of the Greek and Roman, as well as of the Gothic mythology; a great part of the legendary history of Popish saints, the very best attested of which is extracted from the certificates that are exhibited during the process of their canonization, a ceremony which feldom takes place till a century after their deaths. It applies also with confiderable force to the miracles of Apollonius Tyaneus, which are contained in a folitary history of his life, published by Philostratus, above a hundred years after his death; and, in which, whether Philostratus had any prior account to guide him, depends upon his fingle unfupported affertion. Also to some of the miracles of the third century, especially to one extraordinary instance, the account of Gregory, bishop of Neocesarea, called Thaumaturgus, delivered in the writings of Gregory of Nyssen, who lived one hundred and thirty years after the subject of his panegyric.

The value of this circumstance is shewn to have been accurately exemplified in the history of Ignatius Loyola, the founder of the order of Jesuits \*. His life, written by a companion of his, and by one of the order, was published about fifteen years after his death. In which life, the author, fo far from ascribing any miracles to Ignatius, industriously states the reasons why he was not invested with any such power. The life was re-published fifteen years afterwards, with the addition of many circumstances, which were the fruit, the author fays, of further enquiry, and of diligent examination; but still with a total filence about miracles. When Ignatius had been dead near fixty years, the Jesuits, conceiving a wish to have the founder of their order placed in the Roman calendar, began, as it should feem, for the first time, to attribute to him a catalogue of miracles, which could not then be distinctly disproved; and which, there was in those who governed the church, a strong disposition to admit upon the slenderest proofs.

0

e

y

d

he

<sup>\*</sup> Douglass's Criterion of Miraeles, p. 74.

# [ 336 ]

II. We may lay out of the case, accounts published in one country, of what passed in a distant country, without any proof that fuch accounts were known or received at home. In the case of Christianity, Judea, which was the scene of the transaction, was the center of the mission. The story was published in the place in which it was act-The church of Christ was first planted at Jerusalem itself. With that church others corresponded. From thence the primitive teachers of the institution went forth; thither they affembled. The church of Jerufalem, and the feveral churches of Judea, fublisted from the beginning, and for many ages \*; received also the same books, and the fame accounts, as other churches did.

This distinction disposes, amongst others, of the above-mentioned miracles of Apollonius Tyaneus, most of which are related to have been performed in India, no evidence remaining that either the miracles ascribed

1

e:

ti

is

a

be

It di

fil

be

an

pe gu

<sup>\*</sup> The succession of many eminent bishops of Jerufalem, in the three first centuries, is distinctly preserved, as Alexander, A. D. 212, who succeeded Narcissus, then 116 years old.

were ever heard of in India. Those of Francis Xavier, the Indian missionary, with many others of the Romish breviary, are liable to the same objection, viz. that the accounts of them were published at a vast distance from the supposed scene of the wonders.

8

5

1:

45

Jan.

III. We lay out of the case transient Upon the first publication of an rumours. extraordinary account, or even of an article of ordinary intelligence, no one, who is not personally acquainted with the transaction, can know whether it be true or falfe, because any man may publish any story. It is in the future confirmation, or contradiction of the account; in its permanency, or its disappearance; its dying away into filence, or its increasing in notoriety; its being followed up by fubsequent accounts, and being repeated in different and independent accounts, that folid truth is diffinguished from fugitive lies. This distinction

\* Doug. Crit. p. 84.

fe

u

W

tl

to

th

W

ar

ft:

fre

pr of

wi

ref

to

wi

vai

WI

fer

fo

con

the

2. e.

The story did not drop. On the contrary, it was succeeded by a train of action and events dependent upon it. The accounts, which we have in our hands, were composed after the first reports must have subsided. They were followed by a train of writings upon the subject. The historical testimonies of the transaction were many and various, and connected with letters, discourses, controversies, apologies, successively produced by the same transaction.

IV. We may lay out of the case what I call naked history. It has been said, that if the prodigies of the Jewish history had been sound only in fragments of Manetho, or Berosus, we should have paid no regard to them: and I am willing to admit this. If we knew nothing of the sact, but from the fragment; if we possessed no proof that these accounts had been credited and acted upon, from times, probably, as ancient as the accounts themselves; if we had no visible effects connected with the history, no sub-sequent

fequent or collateral testimony to confirm it; under these circumstances, I think that it would be undeferving of credit. But this certainly is not our case. In appreciating the evidence of Christianity, the books are to be combined with the institution; with the prevalency of the religion at this day; with the time and place of its origin, which are acknowledged points; with the circumstances of its rife and progress, as collected from external history; with the fact of our prefent books being received by the votaries of the institution from the beginning; with that of other books coming after these, filled with accounts of effects and confequences refuling from the transaction, or referring to the transaction, or built upon it; laftly, with the confideration of the number and variety of the books themselves, the different writers from which they proceed, the different views with which they were written, fo difagreeing as to repel the fuspicion of confederacy, fo agreeing as to shew that they were founded in a common original, i. e. in a ftory substantially the same. Whe-

Z 2

## E 340 ]

ther this proof be satisfactory or not, it is properly a cumulation of evidence, by no means a naked or solitary record.

V. A mark of historical truth, although only in a certain way, and to a certain degree, is particularity, in names, dates, places, circumstances, and in the order of events preceding or following the transaction: of which kind, for instance, is the particularity in the description of St. Paul's voyage and shipwreck, in the 27th chapter of the Acts, which no man, I think, can read without being convinced that the writer was there; and also in the account of the cure and examination of the blind man, in the ninth chapter of St. John's Gospel, which bears every mark of personal knowledge on the part of the historian \*. I do not deny that fiction has often the particularity of truth; but then it is of studied and elaborate fiction, or of a formal attempt to deceive, that we observe this Since, however, experience

1

W

li

h

fr

ra hi

tic

hi

all

th

are

thi

Wil

conf

Both these chapters ought to be read for the sake of this very observation.

proves that particularity is not confined to truth, I have stated that it is a proof of truth only to a certain extent, i. e. it reduces the question to this, whether we can depend or not upon the probity of the relator; which is a confiderable advance in our present argument, for an express attempt to deceive, in which case alone particularity can appear without truth, is charged upon the evangelifts by few. If the historian acknowledge himself to have received his intelligence from others, the particularity of the narrative shews, prima facie, the accuracy of his enquiries, and the fulness of his information. This remark belongs to St. Luke's history. Of the particularity which we alledge, many examples may be found in all the Gospels. And it is very difficult to conceive, that such numerous particularities, as are almost every where to be met with in the scriptures, should be raised out of nothing, or be foun out of the imagination without any fact to go upon \*.

It

\* \*\* There is always fome truth where there are confiderable particularities related; and they always Z 3

It is to be remarked, however, that this particularity is only to be looked for in direct history. It is not natural in references or allusions, which yet, in other respects, often afford, as far as they go, the most unsuspicious evidence.

stones, belongs what is called the love of the

VI. We lay out of the case such stories of supernatural events, as require, on the part of the hearer, nothing more than an otiose assent; stories upon which nothing depends, in which no interest is involved, nothing is to be done or changed in consequence of believing them. Such stories are

heine urge or falle. Byt not, furely, of this

feem to bear some proportion to one another. Thus there is a great want of the particulars, of time, place, and persons, in Manetho's account of the Egyptian Dynasties, Etesias's of the Assyrian Kings, and those which the technical chronologers have given of the ancient kingdoms of Greece; and agreeably thereto, these accounts have much siction and salsehood, with some truth: whereas Thucydides's History of the Peleponnesian War, and Cesar's of the War in Gaul, in both which the particulars of time, place, and persons are mentioned, are universally esteemed true to a great degree of exactness." Hartley, vol. ii p. 109.

credited,

to

in

m

pa

OT

ald

m

m

fro

in

m

mo

ap

be.

kir

an

the

the

cla

kir

on

and

car

tog

credited, if the careless affent that is given to them deserve that name, more by the indolence of the hearer, than by his judgment; or, though not much credited, are passed from one to another without enquiry or refistance. To this case, and to this case alone, belongs what is called the love of the marvellous. I have never known it carry men further. Men do not suffer persecution from the love of the marvellous. Of the indifferent nature we are speaking of, are most vulgar errors and popular superstitions; most, for instance, of the current reports of apparitions. Nothing depends upon their being true or false. But not, surely, of this kind were the alledged miracles of Christ and his apostles. They decided, if true, the most important question, upon which the human mind can fix its anxiety. They claimed to regulate the opinions of mankind, upon subjects in which they are not only deeply concerned, but usually refractory and obstinate. Men could not be utterly careless in such a case as this. If a Jew took up the flory, he found his darling partiality Z 4

to

in

fu

th

at it

fi

de

tì

ai

O

to

0

C

a

0

tl

to

3

tiality to his own nation and law wounded; if a Gentile, he found his idolatry and polytheism reprobated and condemned. Whoever entertained the account, whether sew or Gentile, could not avoid the following reflection: "If these things be true, I must give up the opinions and principles in which I have been brought up, the religion in which my fathers lived and died." It is not conceivable that a man should do this upon any idle report or frivolous account, or, indeed, without being fully fatisfied and convinced of the truth and credibility of the narrative to which he trusted. But it did not stop at opinions. They who believed Christianity, acted upon it. A Many made it the express business of their lives to publish the intelligence. It was required of those, who admitted that intelligence, to change forthwith their conduct and their principles, to take up a different course of life, to part with their habits and gratifications, and begin a new fet of rules and system of be-The apostles, at least, were interhaviour. ested not to facrifice their ease, their fortunes, and

sebutitum; else account, whether significant avoid the following or Gentile, could not avoid the following

Bu If it be faid, that the mere promife of a future state would do all this; I answer, that the mere promise of a future state, without any evidence to give credit or affurance to it, would do nothing. A few wandering fishermen talking of a resurrection of the dead could produce no effect. If it be further faid, that men eafily believe what they anxiously defire, I again answer that, in my opinion, the very contrary of this is nearer to the truth. Anxiety of defire, earnestness of expectation, the vaftness of an event, rather causes men to disbelieve, to doubt, to dread a fallacy, to distrust, and to examine. When our Lord's refurrection was first reported to the apostles, they did not believe, we are told, for joy is This was natural, and is begin a new fet of sonsiraqxe of eldasarga

VII. We have laid out of the case those accounts,

haviour. The apostles, at least, were inter-

be

W

M

di

h

in

a

ar

pi

w

Piel

to

ar

th

ar

ad fu

ar

accounts, which require no more than a fimple affent; and we now also lay out of the case those which come merely in affirmance of opinions already formed. This last circumstance is of the utmost importance to notice well. It has long been observed, that Popish miracles happen in Popish countries; that they make no converts: which proves that stories are accepted, when they fall in with principles already fixed, with the public fentiments, or with the fentiments of a party already engaged on the fide the miracle fupports, which would not be attempted to be produced in the face of enemies, in opposition to reigning tenets or favourite prejudices, or when, if they be believed, the belief must draw men away from their preconceived and habitual opinions, from their modes of life and rules of action. In the former case, men may not only receive a miraculous account, but may both act and fuffer on the fide, and in the cause, which the miracle supports, yet not act or fuffer for the miracle, but in pursuance of a prior persuasion. The miracle, like any other argument

argument which only confirms what was before believed, is admitted with little examination. In the moral, as in the natural world, it is change which requires a cause. Men are eafily fortified in their old opinions, driven from them with great difficulty. Now, how does this apply to the Christian history? The miraeles, there recorded, were wrought in the midst of enemies, under a government, a priesthood, and a magistracy, decidedly and vehemently adverse to them, and to the pretentions which they supported. They were Protestant miracles in a Popish country: they were Popish miracles in the midst of Protestants. They produced a change; they established a society upon the spot, adhering to the belief of them; they made converts, and those who were converted, gave up to the testimony, their most fixed opinions, and most favourite prejudices. They who acted and fuffered in the cause, acted and fuffered for the miracles; for there was no anterior perfuasion to induce them, no prior reverence, prejudice, or partiality, to take hold of. Jesus had not one follower when

he

he set up his claim. His miracles gave birth to his fect. No part of this description belongs to the ordinary evidence of Heathen or Popish miracles. Even most of the miracles alledged to have been performed by Christians, in the second and third century of its æra, want this confirmation. It constitutes indeed a line of partition between the origin and the progress of Christianity. Frauds and fallacies might mix themselves with the progress, which could not possibly take place in the commencement of the religion; at least according to any laws of human conduct that we are acquainted with. What should suggest to the fifst propagators of Christianity, especially to fishermen, taxgatherers, and husbandmen, such a thought as that of changing the religion of the world; what could bear them through the difficulties, in which the attempt engaged them; what could procure any degree of fuccels to the attempt; are questions which apply, with great force, to the fetting out of the institution, with less, to every future stage of it, Adams on Mir p 79

To

po

to

wl

ag

an

po

th

to Di

ze

ref

pr

de

by

gio

th

re

To hear some men talk, one would suppose the setting up of a religion by miracles to be a thing of every day's experience; whereas the whole current of history is against it. Hathany founder of a new fect amongst Christians pretended to miraculous powers, and fucceeded by his pretentions? "Were these powers claimed or exercised by the founders of the fects of the Waldenses and Albigenses? Did Wickliff in England pretend to if ? Did Hufs or Jerome in Bohemia? Did Luther in Germany, Zuinglius in Switzerland, Calvin in France, or any of the reformers advance this plea\* "The French prophets, in the beginning of the present century, ventured to alledge miraculous evidence, and immediately ruined their cause by their temerity. "Concerning the religion of Ancient Rome, of Turkey, of Siam, of China, a fingle miracle cannot be named, that was ever offered as a test of any of those religions before their establishment †."

approvish great force, to the fetting

<sup>\*</sup> Campbell on Miracles, p. 120, ed. 1766.

<sup>+</sup> Adams on Mir. p. 75.

## [ 350 ]

We may add to what has been observed, of the distinction which we are considering, that, where miracles are alledged merely in affirmance of a prior opinion, they who believe the doctrine may fometimes propagate a belief of the miracles which they do not themselves entertain. This is the case of what are called pious frauds; but it is a cafe. I apprehend, which takes place, folely in support of a persuasion already established. At least it does not hold of the apostolical history. If the apostles did not believe the miracles, they did not believe the religion; and, without this belief, where was the piety. what place was there for any thing, which could bear the name or colour of piety, in publishing and attesting miracles in its behalf? If it be faid that many promote the belief of revelation, and of any accounts which favour that belief, because they think them, whether well or ill founded, of public and political utility, I answer, that if a character exist, which can with less justice than another be ascribed to the founders of the Christian religion, it is that of politicians, or

h

P

n

T

d

of men capable of entertaining political views. The truth is, that there is no affiguable character, which will account for the conduct of the apostles, supposing their story to be false. If bad men, what could have induced them to take such pains to promote virtue? If good men, they would not have gone about the country with a string of lies in their mouths.

IN APPRECIATING the credit of any miraculous story, these are distinctions which relate to the evidence. There are other distinctions, of great moment in the question, which relate to the miracles themselves. Of which latter kind the following ought carefully to be retained.

disting "afresd's etablished

I. It is not necessary to admit as a miracle, what can be resolved into a false perception. Of this nature was the demon of Socrates; the visions of St. Anthony, and of many others; the vision which Lord Herbert of Cherbury describes himself to have seen; Colonel Gardiner's vision, as related in his life, written

#### [ 352 ]

by Dr. Doddridge. All these may be accounted for by a momentary infanity; for the characteristic symptom of human madness is the rising up in the mind of images not distinguishable by the patient from impressions upon the senses \*. The cases, however, in which the possibility of this delusion exists, are divided from the cases in which it does not exist, by many, and those not They are, for the most obscure marks. part, cases of visions or voices. The object is hardly ever touched. The vision fubmits not to be handled. One sense does not confirm another. They are likewise almost always cases of a folitary witness. It is in the highest degree improbable, and I know not, indeed, whether it hath ever been the fact, that the same derangement of the mental organs should seize different persons at the same time; a derangement, I mean, so much the same, as to represent to their imagination the same objects. Lastly, these are always cases of momentary miracles;

by

of

du

W

T

2

ek

ap

bo

GT

m

du

in

tin

T

for

fer

by

cep

gre

Ne

fro

the

to

<sup>\*</sup> Batty on Lunacy.

by which term I mean to denote miracles, of which the whole existence is of short duration, in contradistinction to miracles which are attended with permanent effects. The appearance of a spectre, the hearing of a supernatural found, is a momentary miraele. The fenfible proof is gone, when the apparition or found is over. But if a person born blind be restored to fight, a notorious cripple to the use of his limbs, or a dead man to life, here is a permanent effect produced by supernatural means. The change indeed was inflantaneous, but the proof continues. The subject of the miracle remains. The man cured or restored is there: his former condition was known, and his prefent condition may be examined. This can by no possibility be resolved into false perception; and of this kind are by far the greater part of the miracles recorded in the New Teffament. When Lazarus was raifed from the dead, he did not merely move, and fpeak, and die again; or come out of the grave, and vanish away. He returned to his home and his family, and there continued; Aa VOL. I.

tinued; for we find him, some time afterwards, in the fame town, fitting at table with Jesus and his fifters; visited by great multitudes of the Jews, as a subject of curiosity; giving, by his presence, so much uneafiness to the Jewish rulers, as to beget in them a defign of destroying him\*. No delusion can account for this. The French prophets in England, some time fince, gave out that one of their teachers would come to life again, but their enthusiasm never made them believe that they actually faw him alive. The blind man, whose restoration to fight at Jerusalem is recorded in the ninth chapter of St. John's gospel, did not quit the place, or conceal himself from enquiry. On the contrary, he was forthcoming, to answer the call, to fatisfy the fcrutiny, and to fuftain the brow-beating of Christ's angry and powerful enemies. When the cripple at the gate of the temple was fuddenly cured by Peter †, he did not immediately relapse into his former lameness, or disappear out of the

<sup>\*</sup> John xii. 1, 2. 9, 10. + Acts iii 2.

city; but boldly and honestly produced himself along with the apostles, when they were brought the next day before the Jewish council\*. Here, though the miracle was fudden, the proof was permanent. The lameness had been notorious, the cure continued. This, therefore, could not be the effect of any momentary delirium, either in the subject or in the witnesses of the transaction. It is the same with the greatest number of the scripture miracles. There are other cases of a mixed nature, in which, although the principal miracle be momentary, fome circumstance combined with it is permanent. Of this kind is the history of St. Paul's conversion †. The sudden light and found, the vision and the voice, upon the road to Damascus, were momentary: but Paul's blindness for three days in confequence of what had happened; the communication made to Ananias in another place, and by a vision independent of the former; Ananias finding out Paul in confe-

\* Acts iv. 14.

+ Ib. ix.

Aa2

quence

quence of intelligence so received, and finding him in the condition described, and Paul's recovery of his fight upon Ananias laying his hands upon him; are circumstances, which take the transaction, and the principal miracle as included in it, entirely out of the case of momentary miracles, or of fuch as may be accounted for by false perceptions. Exactly the fame thing may be observed of Peter's vision preparatory to the call of Cornelius, and of its connection with what was imparted in a distant place to Cornelius himself, and with the message dispatched by Cornelius to Peter. The vision might be a dream; the message could not. Either communication, taken separately, might be a delusion; the concurrence of the two was impossible to happen without a fupernatural cause.

Beside the risk of delusion, which attaches upon momentary miracles, there is also much more room for *imposture*. The account cannot be examined at the moment. And, when that is also a moment of hurry and confusion,

confusion, it may not be difficult for men of influence to gain credit to any story, which they may wish to have believed. This is precifely the case of one of the best attested of the miracles of old Rome, the appearance of Castor and Pollux in the battle fought by Posthumius with the Latins at the lake Regillus. There is no doubt but that Posthumius, after the battle, spread the report of fuch an appearance. No person could deny it, whilst it was faid to last. No person, perhaps, had any inclination to dispute it afterwards; or, if they had, could fay with positiveness, what was, or what was not feen, by some or other of the army, in the difmay, and amidst the tumult of a battle.

In affigning false perceptions, as the origin to which some miraculous accounts may be referred, I have not mentioned claims to inspiration, illuminations, secret notices or directions, internal sensations, or consciousnesses of being acted upon by spiritual influences, good or bad, because, these appealing to no external proof, however convincing

Aa3

they

they may be to the persons themselves, form no part of what can be accounted miraculous evidence. Their own credibility stands upon their alliance with other miracles. The discussion, therefore, of all such pretensions may be omitted.

II. It is not necessary to bring into the comparison what may be called tentative miracles; that is, where, out of a great number of trials, some succeed; and in the accounts of which, although the narrative of the fuccessful cases be alone preserved, and that of the unfuccessful cases funk, yet enough is stated to shew that the cases produced are only a few out of many in which the same means have been employed. This observation bears, with confiderable force, upon the ancient oracles and auguries, in which a fingle coincidence of the event with the prediction is talked of and magnified, whilft failures are forgotten, or suppressed, or accounted for. It is also applicable to the cures wrought by relics, and at the tombs of faints. The boafted efficacy of the king's touch,

touch, upon which Mr. Hume lays fome stress, falls under the same description. Nothing is alledged concerning it, which is not alledged of various noftrums, namely, out of many thousands who have used them, certified proofs of a few who have recovered after them. No folution of this fort is applicable to the miracles of the gospel. There is nothing in the narrative which can induce. or even allow, us to believe, that Christ attempted cures in many instances, and succeeded in a few; or that he ever made the attempt in vain. He did not profess to heal every where all that were fick; on the contrary, he told the Jews, evidently meaning to represent his own case, that, " although many widows were in Ifrael in the days of Elias, when the heaven was shut up three years and fix months, when great famine was throughout all the land, yet unto none of them was Elias fent, save unto Sarepta, a city of Sidon, unto a woman that was a widow:" and that "many lepers were in Israel in the time of Eliseus the prophet, and none of them was cleanfed faving Naaman

Aa4

the

the Syrian\*." By which examples he gave them to understand, that it was not the nature of a divine interposition, or necessary to its purpose, to be general; still less, to answer every challenge that might be made, which would teach men to put their faith upon these experiments. Christ never pronounced the word, but the effect followed †. It was not a thousand sick that received his benediction, and a few that were benefited; a single paralytic is let down in his bed at Jesus' feet, in the midst of a surrounding multitude; Jesus bid him walk, and he did

<sup>\*</sup> Luke iv. 25.

One, and only one, instance may be produced in which the disciples of Christ do seem to have attempted a cure, and not to have been able to perform it. The story is very ingenuously related by three of the evangelists. The patient was afterwards healed by Christ himself; and the whole transaction seems to have been intended, as it was well suited, to display the superiority of Christ above all who performed miracles in his name; a distinction which, during his presence in the world, it might be necessary to inculcate by some such proof as this.

fo\*. A man with a withered hand is in the fynagogue; Jesus bid him stretch forth his hand, in the presence of the assembly, and it was "restored whole like the other †." There was nothing tentative in these cures; nothing that can be explained by the power of accident.

We may observe also, that many of the cures which Christ wrought, such as that of a person blind from his birth, also many miracles beside cures, as raising the dead, walking upon the sea, feeding a great multitude with a few loaves and sishes, are of a nature which does not in any wise admit of the supposition of a fortunate experiment.

III. We may dismiss from the question all accounts in which, allowing the phenomenon to be real, the fact to be true, it still remains doubtful whether a miracle were wrought. This is the case with the ancient history of what is called the thundering

\* Mark ii. 3. + Mat. xii. 10.

legion.

legion, of the extraordinary circumstances which obstructed the rebuilding of the temple at Jerusalem by Julian, the circling of the flames and fragrant fmell at the martyrdom of Polycarp, the fudden shower that extinguished the fire into which the scriptures were thrown in the Diocletian perfecution; Constantine's dream, his inscribing in confequence of it the cross upon his standard and the shields of his soldiers; his victory, and the escape of the standard-bearer; perhaps also the imagined appearance of the cross in the heavens, though this last circumstance is very deficient in historical evi-It is also the case with the modern annual exhibition of the liquefaction of the blood of St. Januarius at Naples. doubt likewise, which ought to be excluded by very special circumstances, from these narratives which relate to the supernatural cure of hypochondriacal and nervous complaints, and of all difeases which are much affected by the imagination. The miracles of the fecond and third century are, usually, healing the fick, and casting out evil spirits,

min erre cau the Th of ope the

loss

I ma vari tran or f mir refo of t plai will of e hift

cum

whi

miracles

miracles in which there is room for some error and deception. We hear nothing of causing the blind to see, the lame to walk, the deaf to hear, the lepers to be cleansed\*. There are also instances in Christian writers, of reputed miracles, which were natural operations, though not known to be such at the time, as that of articulate speech after the loss of a great part of the tongue.

IV. To the same head of objection nearly, may also be referred accounts, in which the variation of a small circumstance may have transformed some extraordinary appearance, or some critical coincidence of events, into a miracle; stories, in a word, which may be resolved into exaggeration. The miracles of the gospel can by no possibility be explained away in this manner. Total siction will account for any thing; but no stretch of exaggeration that has any parallel in other histories, no force of fancy upon real circumstances, could produce the narratives which we now have. The feeding of the

<sup>\*</sup> Jortin's Remarks, vol. ii. p. 51.

al

Pa

m

cle

fa

of

n

th

W

th

fr

th

de

p

aj

d

0

m

g

fo

W

five thousand with a few loaves and fishes surpasses all bounds of exaggeration. The raising of Lazarus, of the widow's son at Nain, as well as many of the cures which Christ wrought, come not within the compass of misrepresentation. I mean, that it is impossible to assign any position of circumstances however peculiar, any accidental effects however extraordinary, any natural singularity, which could supply an origin or foundation to these accounts.

Having thus enumerated feveral exceptions which may justly be taken to relations of miracles, it is necessary, when we read the scriptures, to bear in our minds this general remark, that, although there be miracles recorded in the New Testament, which fall within some or other of the exceptions here assigned, yet that they are united with others, to which none of the same exceptions extend, and that their credibility stands upon this union. Thus the visions and revelations, which St. Paul asserts to have been imparted to him, may not, in their separate evidence, be distinguishable from the visions and

t

and revelations which many others have alledged. But here is the difference. St. Paul's pretenfions were attested by external miracles wrought by himfelf, and by miracles wrought in the cause to which these vifions relate; or, to fpeak more properly, the fame historical authority, which informs us of one, informs us of the other. This is not ordinarily true of the visions of enthufiafts, or even of the accounts in which they are contained. Again, some of Christ's own miracles were momentary; as the transfiguration, the appearance and voice from heaven at his baptism, a voice from the clouds upon one occasion afterwards, (John xii. 30) and some others. It is not denied, that the distinction which we have proposed concerning miracles of this species, applies, in diminution of the force of the evidence, as much to these instances as to others. But this is the case, not with all the miracles ascribed to Christ, nor with the greatest part, nor with many. Whatever force therefore there may be in the objection, we have numerous miracles which are free

from

from it; and even these to which it is applicable, are little affected by it in their credit, because there are few, who, admitting the rest, will reject them. If there be miracles of the New Testament, which come within any of the other heads into which we have distributed the objections, the same remark must be repeated. And this is one way, in which the unexampled number and variety of the miracles ascribed to Christ, strengthens the credibility of Christianity. For it precludes any folution, or conjecture about a folution, which imagination, or even which experience might fuggest concerning some particular miracles, if confidered independently of others. The miracles of Christ were of various kinds\*, and performed in great varieties of fituation, form and manner; at Jerusalem, the metropolis of the Jewish nation and religion; in different parts of Tudea

b

to

xi a

ar

2

in

vi

<sup>\*</sup> Not only healing every species of disease, but turning water into wine (John ii.); feeding multitudes with a few loaves and sishes (Mat. xiv. 14. Mark vi. 35. Luke ix. 12. John iv. 5.); walking on the sea (Mat. xiv.

Judea and Galilee; in cities, in vilages; in fynagogues, in private houses; in the street, in highways; with preparation, as in the case of Lazarus; by accident, as in the case of the widow's son at Nain; when attended by multitudes, and when alone with the patient; in the midst of his disciples, and in the presence of his enemies; with the common people around him, and before Scribes and Pharisees, and rulers of the synagogues.

I apprehend that, when we remove from the comparison, the cases which are fairly disposed of by the observations that have been stated, many cases will not remain. To those which do remain, we apply this sinal distinction; "that there is not satisfactory evidence, that persons, pretending to

xiv. 23.); calming a ftorm (Mat. viii. 26. Luke viii. 23.); a celeftial voice at his baptism, and miraculous appearance (Mat. iii. 17. afterwards John xii. 28.); his transfiguration (Mat. xvii. 1—8. Mark ix. 2. Luke ix. 28. 2 Ep. Peter i. 16, 17.); raising the dead in three distinct instances (Mat. ix. 18. Mark v. 22. Luke viii. 41. Luke vii. 14. John xi.).

#### [ 368 ]

be original witnesses of the miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers and sufferings, voluntarily undertaken and undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and properly in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts."

There in the condense of his consistent

un

atr

H

of

we

to

ady

at .

as r

tend

dina

durante de la composition della composition dell

t a comparison, who calls a second

ungaled of him oblevation that in bear along that in bear flated, the oblevation will be along the second of the organical and o

fast difficultion; that there are interested to

alver sell galante ger bereit i Mart ville kontinular n 16 150 (1916) vinico artilla borrol in undarritanilose nema (1914), sel 15, infly wheat from undarritanilose

Paragraph (M. C. 1977) - 9 Mark is a second of the second

CHAP.

that of the Abbe Paris, in the cally pure of

the prefere century. All has been

#### CHAP. IL

But they, with whom we argue, have undoubtedly a right to elect their own examples. The inflances with which Mr. Hume hath chosen to confront the miracles of the New Testament, and which, therefore, we are intitled to regard, as the strongest which the history of the world could supply to the enquiries of a very acute and learned adversary, are the three following:

- at Alexandria, by the Emperor Vespasian, as related by Tacitus;
- 2. The restoration of the limb of an attendant in a Spanish church, as told by Cardinal de Retz; and
  - 3. The cures faid to be performed at the Vol. I. Bb tomb

### [ 370 ]

tomb of the Abbé Paris, in the early part of the present century.

b

n

tl

cl

CI

th

fa

th

fo

in

by

tei

W

Wa

the

rel

wl

lyi

r. The narrative of Tacitus is delivered in these terms: " One of the common people of Alexandria, known to be diseased in his eyes, by the admonition of the god Serapis, whom that superstitious nation worship above all other gods, prostrated himself before the emperor, earnestly imploring from him a remedy for his blindness, and entreating, that he would deign to anoint with his spittle his cheeks and the balls of his eyes. Another, difeafed in his hand, requested, by the admonition of the same god, that he might be touched by the foot of the emperor. Vefpasian at first derided and defpised their application; afterwards, when they continued to urge their petitions, he, fometimes, appeared to dread the imputation of vanity; at other times, by the earnest fupplication of the patients, and the persuafion of his flatterers, to be induced to hope for fuccels. At length he commanded an enquiry to be made by physicians, whether

fuch a blindness and debility were vincible by human aid. The report of the physicians contained various points; that in the one, the power of vision was not destroyed, but would return, if the obstacles were removed; that, in the other, the diseased joints might be restored, if a healing power were applied; that it was, perhaps, agreeable to the gods to do this; that the emperor was elected by divine affiftance; lastly, that the credit of the fuccess would be the emperor's, the ridicule of the disappointment would fall upon the patients. Vafpafian, believing that every thing was in the power of his fortune, and that nothing was any longer incredible, whilst the multitude, which stood by, eagerly expected the event, with a countenance expressive of joy executed what he was defired to do. Immediately the hand was restored to its use, and light returned to the blind man. They, who were present, relate both these cures, even at this time, when there is nothing to be gained by lying \*."

\* Tac. Hift. lib. iv.

B b 2

Now,

### [ 372 ]

Now, though Tacitus wrote this account twenty-seven years after the miracle is faid to have been performed, and wrote at Rome of what passed at Alexandria, and wrote also from report; and although it does not appear that he had examined the story, or that he believed it (but rather the contrary), yet I think his testimony sufficient to prove, that fuch a transaction took place: by which I mean, that the two men in question did apply to Vespasian, that Vespasian did touch the diseased in the manner related, and that a cure was reported to have followed the operation. But the affair labours under a strong and just suspicion, that the whole of it was a concerted imposture brought about by collusion, between the patients, the physician, and the emperor. This folution is probable, because there was every thing to fuggest, and every thing to facilitate fuch a scheme. The miracle was calculated to confer honour upon the emperor, and upon the god Serapis. achieved in the midst of the emperor's flatterers and followers; in a city, and amongst a populace,

d

C

tl

O

n

ft

is.

lo

fta

the

try

or

ey

deg

tain

a populace, beforehand devoted to his interest, and to the worship of the god; where it would have been treason and blasphemy together, to have contradicted the fame of the cure, or even to have questioned it. And what is very observable in the account is, that the report of the phylicians is just fuch a report as would have been made of a case, in which no external marks of the difeafe existed, and which, confequently, was capable of being eafily counterfeited, viz. that, in the first of the patients, the organs of vision were not destroyed, that the weakness of the second was in his joints. strongest circumstance in Tacitus's narration is, that the first patient was "notus tabe oculorum," remarked or notorious for the difeafe in his eyes. But this was a circumflance which might have found its way into the story in its progress from a distant country, and during an interval of thirty years; or it might be true that the malady of the eyes was notorious, yet that the nature and degree of the disease had never been ascertained: a case by no means uncommon.

B b 3

The emperor's referve was eafily affected; or it is possible he might not be in the secret. There does not feem to be much weight in the observation of Tacitus, that they who were present continued even then to relate the flory, when there was nothing to be gained by the lie. It only proves that those who had told the story for many years, perfifted in it. The state of mind of the witnesses and spectators at the time, is the point to be attended to. Still less is there of pertinency in Mr. Hume's eulogium upon the cautious and penetrating genius of the hiftorian; for it does not appear that the hiftorian believed it. The terms in which he fpeaks of Serapis, the deity to whose interposition the miracle was attributed, scarcely fuffer us to suppose that Tacitus thought the miracle to be real, "by the admonition of the god Serapis, whom that superstitious nation (dedita superstitionibus gens) worship above all other gods." To have brought this supposed miracle within the limits of comparison with the miracles of Christ, it ought to have appeared, that a person of a low

low and private station, in the midst of enemies, with the whole power of the country oppofing him, with every one around him prejudiced or interested against his claims and character, pretended to perform these cures; and required the spectators, upon the strength of what they saw, to give up their firmest hopes and opinions, and follow him through a life of trial and danger; that many were so moved, as to obey his call, at the expence, both of every notion in which they had been brought up, and of their eafe, fafety and reputation; and that by these beginnings a change was produced in the world, the effects of which remain to this day: a case, both in its circumstances and confequences, very unlike any thing we find in Tacitus's relation.

2. The story taken from the memoirs of Cardinal de Retz, which is the second example alledged by Mr. Hume, is this: "In the church of Saragossa in Spain, the canons shewed me a man whose business it was to

B b 4

light the lamps, telling me that he had been feveral years at the gate, with one leg only. I faw him with two #!" . surfloque son to

and contrivance of an artificial limb was then

It is stated by Mr. Hume that the Cardinal who relates this flory, did not believe it; and it no where appears, that he either examined the limb, or asked the patient, or indeed any one, a fingle question about the matter. An artificial leg wrought with art, would be fufficient, in a place where no fuch contrivance had ever before been heard of, to give origin and currency to the report. The ecclesiastics of the place would, it is probable, favour the story, inasmuch as it advanced the honour of their image and church. And if they patronized it, no other person at Saragossa, in the middle of the last century, would care to dispute it. The ftory likewise coincided, not less with the wishes and preconceptions of the people, than with the interests of their ecclesiastical rulers: fo that there was prejudice backed

ame thing, 25 76 A. D. viv. A. D. 1654. experience yd the operations of animal magnetiff

#### [ 377 ]

by authority, and both operating upon extreme ignorance, to account for the success of the imposture. If, as I have suggested, the contrivance of an artificial limb was then new, it would not occur to the Cardinal himself to suspect it; especially under the carelesses of mind with which he heard the tale, and the little inclination he felt to scrutinize or expose its fallacy.

the line of the stribulation with a with art

mit in general of this folution. The patients who frequented the tomb, were so affected by their devotion, their expectation, the place, the solemnity, and, above all, by the sympathy of the surrounding multitude, that many of them were thrown into violent convulsions, which convulsions, in certain instances, produced a removal of disorders depending upon obstruction. We shall, at this day, have the less difficulty in admitting the above account, because it is the very same thing, as hath lately been experienced in the operations of animal magnetism; and

the

the report of the French physicians upon that mysterious remedy is very applicable to the present consideration, viz. that the pretenders to the art, by working upon the imaginations of their patients, were frequently able to produce convulsions; that convulsions so produced are amongst the most powerful, but, at the same time, most uncertain and unmanageable applications to the human frame, which can be employed.

Circumstances, which indicate this explication in the case of the Parisian miracles, are the following:

- thousand sick, infirm, and diseased persons, who resorted to the tomb, the professed history of the miracles contains only nine cures.
- 2. The convulsions at the tomb are admitted.

ona mi olisione

3. The diseases were, for the most part, of

### [ 379 ]

of that fort, which depends upon inaction and obstruction, as dropsies, palies, and some tumours, and the state of the sta

pretentions to the art, by working upon the

attending many days, some several weeks, and some several months.

pleterique ed no doidy summa manua ele-

reation in the case of the Parisian miracles,

most powerful, but, at the same time, most

#### 196. Others were temporary \* flourenio

So that all the wonder we are called upon to account for is, that, out of an almost innumerable multitude which resorted to the temb for the cure of their complaints, and many of whom were there agitated by strong convulsions, a very small proportion experienced a beneficial change in their constitution, especially in the action of the nerves and glands.

\* The reader will find these particulars verified in the detail, by the accurate enquiries of the present bishop of Sarum in his Criterion of Miracles, p. 132 et seq.

Some

Some of the cases alledged do not require that we should have recourse to this solution. The first case in the catalogue is fcarcely distinguishable from the progress of a natural recovery. It was that of a young man, who laboured under an inflammation of one eye. and had loft the fight of the other. The inflamed eye was relieved, but the blindness of the other remained. The inflammation had before been abated by medicine; and the young man, at the time of his attendance at the temb, was using a lotion of laudanum. And, what is a flill more material part of the cafe, the inflammation after fome interval returned. Another case was that of a young man who had loft his fight by the puncture of an awl, and the discharge of the aqueous humour through the wound. The fight, which had been gradually returning, was much improved during his visit to the tomb, that is, probably in the same degree in which the discharged humour was replaced by fresh secretions. And it is obfervable, that these two are the only cases, which, from their nature, should feem unlikely to be affected by convultions.

In one material respect I allow, that the Parifian miracles were different from those related by Tacitus, and from the Spanish miracle of the Cardinal de Retz. They had not, like them, all the power and all the prejudice of the country on their fide to begin with. They were alledged by one party against another, by the Jansenists against the Jesuits. These were of course opposed and examined by their adversaries. The confequence of which examination was, that many falsehoods were detected, that with fomething really extraordinary much fraud appeared to be mixed. And if fome of the cases, upon which designed misrepresentation could not be charged, were not at the time fatisfactorily accounted for, it was because the efficacy of strong spasmodic affections was not then fufficiently Finally, the cause of Jansenism known. did not rife by the miracles, but funk, although the miracles had the anterior perfuafion of all the numerous adherents of that cause to set out with.

bin dik och dini

These, let us remember, are the strongest examples, which the history of ages supplies. In none of them was the miracle unequivocal; by none of them were established prejudices and persuasions overthrown; of none of them did the credit make its way, in opposition to authority and power; by none of them were many induced to commit themselves, and that in contradiction to prior opinions, to a life of mortification, danger, and sufferings: none were called upon to attest them, at the expence of their fortunes and safety \*.

ever supplicants a During this time all the arguments

\* It may be thought that the historian of the Parisian miracies, M. Montgeron, forms an exception to this last affection. He presented his book (with a suspicion, as it should seem, of the danger of what he was doing) to the king; and was shortly afterwards committed to prison, from which he never came out. Had the miracles been unequivocal, and had M. Montgeron been originally convinced by them, I should have allowed this exception. It would have stood, I think, alone, in the argument of our adversaries. But, beside what has been observed of the dubious nature of the miracles, the account, which M. Montgeron has himself left of his conversion, shews both the state of his mind, and that his persuasion was not built upon external miracles.

"Scarcely

\* Scarcely had he entered the church-yard, when he was struck," he tells us, "with awe and reverence, having never before heard prayers pronounced with fo much ardour and transport, as he observed amongst the fupplicants at the tomb. Upon this, throwing himself on his knees, resting his elbows on the tombstone, and covering his face with his hands, he spake the following prayer: O thou, by whose intercession so many miracles are faid to be performed, if it be true that a part of thee surviveth the grave, and that thou hast influence with the Almighty, have pity on the darkness of my understanding, and through his mercy obtain the removal of it. Having prayed thus, many thoughts, as he fayeth, began to open themselves to his mind; and fo profound was his attention, that he continued on his knees four hours. not in the least disturbed by the vast crowd of furrounding fupplicants. During this time all the arguments which he ever heard or read in favour of Christianity, occurred to him with fo much force, and feemed fo ftrong and convincing, that he went home fully fatiffied of the truth of religion in general, and of the holiness and power of that person, who," as he supposed, "had engaged the divine goodness to enlighten his understanding fo fuddenly." Douglass Crit. of Mir. originally conserved by dama, I should as

the argument of our advertures. But, befide what has been observed of the audions have of the miracles, awujoy Territ ant 70 dra and the of the necessary which is supposed as hands left of the corver to thems both the flate or his mind, and

this exception. It would have to all his days

that his remains we are built up a external mealing

1 382 1

Established the collected of contrast to the reaction of the collected between the collected by the collecte

# MVSEVM BRITANNICVM

according that be consisted on its larges four lones, not in the knik of larbed by he will execute if for anythments ing happed on read at favour of Christianity, which it against tophin with in a large, and formation occurred to have and formation with the second to the control of the cont

